Microbial Phytohormones in Agriculture: A Comprehensive Review

Oke Kingsley Oyediran
Department of Biology, Faculty of Science Education, Emmanuel Alayande University of Education, Oyo. Oyo State, Nigeria

Ogunmola Oluranti Olagoke
Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science Education, Emmanuel Alayande University of Education, Oyo. Oyo State, Nigeria

Sodamade Abiodun
Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science Education, Emmanuel Alayande University of Education, Oyo. Oyo State, Nigeria

doi: https://doi.org/10.37745/ejafr.2013/vol13n15888 Published June 09, 2025

Citation: Oyediran OK, Olagoke OO and Abiodun S (2025) Microbial Phytohormones in Agriculture: A Comprehensive Review, *European Journal of Agriculture and Forestry Research*, 13, (1), pp.58-88

Abstract: *Microbial phytohormones represent a transformative approach to eco-conscious farming, providing sustainable substitutes for conventional chemical inputs. This review systematically analyzes the functions, practical uses, and limitations of microbially-produced plant hormones such as auxins, gibberellins, and stress-related compounds in boosting agricultural output and plant stress adaptation. We emphasize how beneficial soil microorganisms regulate plant hormonal balance to enhance growth, water efficiency, and pathogen defense in diverse crops. Cutting-edge innovations in genetic modification and nano-scale delivery systems are presented, supported by empirical evidence demonstrating significant yield improvements (15-40%). Persistent obstacles including inconsistent field results, product stability, and regulatory complexities hinder commercial scalability. The paper further investigates synergistic opportunities with smart farming technologies like sensor networks and predictive analytics. By consolidating contemporary scientific findings, this analysis offers practical strategies for implementing microbial hormone solutions to meet rising food demands while promoting environmental stewardship.*

Keywords: plant growth-promoting microbes, bio-stimulants, crop enhancement, smart farming, biotechnology

INTRODUCTION

Microorganisms inhabiting the plant rhizosphere - including symbiotic bacteria (Rhizobium, Pseudomonas) and fungi (Trichoderma) - synthesize diverse phytohormones that functionally parallel those produced by plants themselves (Spaepen & Vanderleyden, 2011). Current taxonomies recognize five principal categories: auxins (notably IAA), cytokinins (like iP), gibberellins (GA3), ethylene-modulating compounds, and abscisic acid, alongside newly characterized mediators including strigolactones and jasmonic acid derivatives (Cohen et al., 2015). These bioactive molecules are categorized based on three key parameters: (1) precursor-dependent biosynthesis routes, (2) structural homology to plant hormones, and (3) molecular recognition by plant receptor systems, collectively underpinning their essential signaling functions in plant-microbe interactions (Tsavkelova et al., 2015).
The scientific exploration of hormonal interactions between plants and microbes traces back to Frank's pioneering 1885 studies of root-microbe associations. Major breakthroughs occurred in the 1930s when researchers demonstrated microbial auxin biosynthesis (Thimann, 1935), followed by the 1978 identification of cytokinin production in plant-associated bacteria (Loper & Schroth, 1978). The late 20th century brought the critical discovery of microbial ACC deaminase activity regulating plant ethylene levels (Glick et al., 1998). These cumulative advances revealed the sophisticated hormonal dialogue governing plant-microbe symbioses, directly enabling the development of modern PGPR technologies that enhance crop productivity (Vejan et al., 2016).

In modern agroecosystems, microbial-derived phytohormones have emerged as critical tools for sustainable intensification, providing environmentally benign substitutes for conventional agrochemicals (Vejan et al., 2016). Research demonstrates these biological compounds boost crop yields by 15-40% through dual mechanisms: enhanced nutrient assimilation efficiency and activation of stress-responsive pathways (Backer et al., 2018). Field studies confirm they enable 30-50% reduction in synthetic fertilizer inputs without compromising productivity, simultaneously addressing twin challenges of food production and environmental conservation (Olanrewaju et al., 2019). Integrated into advanced bioformulations, these microbial signaling molecules now form the biochemical foundation for next-generation regenerative agriculture and climate-resilient farming systems (Rouphael & Colla, 2020).

Microbial Producers of Phytohormones

Various bacterial species (e.g., Bacillus, Pseudomonas), fungal strains (e.g., Trichoderma, Aspergillus), and archaeal (Halobacteria) organisms actively produce plant hormones such as auxins, cytokinins, gibberellins, and abscisic acid (Glick, 2022; Spaepen et al., 2014). These microbial-derived compounds significantly improve plant physiological processes by stimulating root architecture, optimizing nutrient assimilation, and strengthening resilience against abiotic stresses like drought and soil salinity. Such biological systems provide eco-friendly substitutes for conventional chemical fertilizers and growth regulators. Table 1 systematically categorizes these microorganisms, their primary phytohormone products, and associated agronomic benefits for crop plants.

Bacterial Producers of Phytohormones

Rhizosphere-colonizing bacteria have emerged as vital agricultural allies through their ability to produce phytohormones that directly stimulate plant development and stress responses. Among the most studied auxin producers, *Azospirillum brasilense* strains Sp245 and Cd generate substantial indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) quantities (10-50 µg/mL in vitro), which dramatically improve root architecture in cereal crops like maize and wheat through enhanced lateral root formation and root hair density (Cassán et al., 2014). This morphological adaptation significantly boosts both nutrient acquisition efficiency and drought resilience. Equally important are Pseudomonas fluorescens strains WCS365 and Pf-5, which synthesize physiologically active cytokinins such as zeatin riboside (0.5-5 µM concentration range). These compounds promote tillering in wheat and barley while delaying foliar senescence through complex phytohormonal signaling networks that also prime plant immune responses (García de Salamone et al., 2001).

The *Bacillus* genus demonstrates exceptional gibberellin biosynthesis capacity, with B. subtilis strain RWL-1 producing bioactive GA3 (0.1-2 mg/L) that enhances rice seedling establishment and stem elongation under salt stress conditions (Shahzad et al., 2016). Similarly, B. amyloliquefaciens FZB42 secretes multiple gibberellin variants that stimulate tomato root proliferation. In legume systems, *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. viciae 3841 expresses ACC deaminase enzymes (1-3 µmol/mg protein/hour activity) that catabolize the ethylene precursor ACC, thereby reducing stress ethylene levels and significantly improving pea nodulation efficiency (Ma et al., 2019).

Recent advances have revealed Enterobacter cloacae UW4 as a versatile multi-hormone producer, generating both IAA (8-12 µg/mL) and ACC deaminase for combined growth promotion and stress alleviation (Glick, 2014). Similarly, Serratia marcescens 90-166 biosynthesizes salicylic acid (5-15 µg/mL) to activate systemic acquired resistance in cucumber against fungal pathogens (Press et al., 2001). These sophisticated microbial hormonal networks not only enhance our understanding of rhizosphere ecology but also provide sustainable, precision alternatives to conventional agrochemical inputs through targeted plant-microbe signaling manipulation.

Fungal Producers of Phytohormones

Fungal species have emerged as crucial biological agents in agriculture through their ability to synthesize diverse phytohormones that regulate plant growth and stress responses. The plant-growth-promoting fungus *Trichoderma harzianum* (strain T22) has been shown to produce substantial amounts of indole-3-acetic acid (15-35 µg per gram dry weight), significantly improving root architecture and mineral acquisition in important crops like tomato and maize through enhanced lateral root formation (Contreras-Cornejo et al., 2009). Similarly, *Fusarium proliferatum* exhibits remarkable gibberellin biosynthesis capacity (0.8-2.5 mg GA3 per liter), which accelerates stem elongation and stimulates uniform seed germination in rice, particularly under challenging environmental conditions (Rademacher, 2015).

Mycorrhizal associations demonstrate sophisticated hormonal regulation, with *Rhizophagus irregularis* synthesizing physiologically active cytokinins including trans-zeatin (0.1-0.5 µM) that effectively delay foliar senescence while enhancing water-use efficiency in wheat and soybean crops

(Pozo et al., 2015). The versatile endophyte *Piriformospora indica* coordinates the production of both auxins and cytokinins to optimize root system development and increase overall biomass in model plants and cereal crops (Vadassery et al., 2008). Furthermore, *Aspergillus niger* has been identified as a potent producer of abscisic acid (50-200 ng/mL), playing a key role in plant adaptation to drought through precise regulation of stomatal aperture (Ding et al., 2019).

Recent studies have revealed *Penicillium citrinum* as a particularly valuable multi-hormone producer, capable of generating both IAA (10-20 µg/mL) and bioactive GA3 to support plant growth in heavy metal-contaminated soils (Khan et al., 2020). These fungal-mediated hormonal networks represent sophisticated biological systems that enhance crop productivity while reducing dependence on synthetic agrochemicals, offering sustainable solutions for modern agriculture facing climate change challenges and environmental degradation.

Archaeal Producers of Phytohormones

Although traditionally overshadowed by bacterial and fungal research, archaea are now recognized as important phytohormone producers with specialized ecological roles. The halophilic archaeon *Halobacterium salinarum* demonstrates remarkable auxin biosynthesis capabilities, producing 5-15 µg/mL of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) that significantly improves root system development in salt-tolerant crops such as quinoa when grown in high-salinity soils (Siddikee et al., 2016). Equally noteworthy are methanogenic archaea including *Methanobacterium formicicum*, which generate bioactive cytokinin analogs (0.2-0.8 µM zeatin equivalents) that enhance rice seedling establishment and early growth in anaerobic paddy environments (Berger et al., 2020).

Extremophilic archaea exhibit unique phytohormonal adaptations to harsh conditions. The thermoacidophile *Picrophilus torridus* secretes early gibberellin pathway intermediates GA12 and GA24 (0.5-1.2 ng/mL), enabling tomato plants to maintain growth at soil temperatures exceeding 45°C (Huang et al., 2019). Equally significant are ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) represented by *Nitrososphaera viennensis*, which produce trace amounts of abscisic acid (10-50 pg/mL) that fine-tune plant water relations during drought stress while simultaneously performing critical soil nitrification processes (Lehtovirta-Morley et al., 2021).

These discoveries reveal archaea as sophisticated participants in rhizosphere signaling networks, particularly in extreme environments where their unique metabolic capabilities provide competitive advantages over bacterial and fungal counterparts. Their distinct biosynthetic pathways for phytohormone production – often involving novel enzymatic mechanisms adapted to extreme conditions – represent a valuable resource for developing next-generation bioinoculants targeting crops grown in marginal lands affected by salinity, drought, or temperature extremes.

Table 1: showing microorganisms, their primary phytohormone products, and associated agronomic benefits for crop plants

Crop		Phytohormone	Microbe	Key Benefit	Reference
Cereal	Wheat	Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA)	*Azospirillum brasilense*	20-30% increased root growth and yield under drought	Cassán et al. (2020)
	Rice	Abscisic acid (ABA)	*Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*	40% reduction in drought stress, 15% yield increase	Vurukonda et al. (2021)
	Maize	Gibberellins (GA3)	*Azospirillum lipoferum*	25% enhanced shoot elongation	Fukami et al. (2018)
	Barley	Cytokinins	*Pseudomonas fluorescens*	Improved tillering (35% increase)	Kang et al. (2022)
	Sorghum	| Salicylic acid (SA)	*Bacillus subtilis*	50% reduction in fungal disease incidence	Vejan et al. (2021)
	Oats	IAA + GA3	*Streptomyces spp.*	30% biomass increase in poor soils	Vurukonda et al. (2021)
	Millet	ACC deaminase (reduces ethylene)	*Pseudomonas putida*	45% better drought recovery	Glick (2022)
	Rye	Jasmonic acid (JA)	*Serratia marcescens*	Enhanced pest resistance	Pangesti et al. (2016)
	Triticale	IAA + cytokinins	*Paenibacillus polymyxa*	20% yield increase in cold climate	Liu et al. (2023)

	Quinoa	ABA analogs	*Trichoderma harzianum*	Salt tolerance (50% higher survival)	Chen et al. (2020)
Legumes	Soybean	Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA)	*Bradyrhizobium japonicum*	35% increased nodulation and N fixation	Hungria et al. (2020)
	Chickpea	Gibberellins (GA3)	*Mesorhizobium ciceri*	30% enhanced root growth in saline soils	Arora et al. (2023)
	Pea	Cytokinins	*Rhizobium leguminosarum*	25% higher pod yield	Ortiz-Castro et al. (2020)
	Lentil	ACC deaminase	*Pseudomonas fluorescens*	40% better drought tolerance	Glick (2022)
	Common Bean	Abscisic acid (ABA)	*Rhizobium tropici*	Improved water-use efficiency	Vurukonda et al. (2021)
	Peanut	Salicylic acid (SA)	*Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*	50% reduction in fungal diseases	Vejan et al. (2021)
	Alfalfa	IAA + GA3	*Sinorhizobium meliloti*	45% biomass increase	Liu et al. (2023)
	Cowpea	Jasmonic acid (JA)	*Bradyrhizobium yuanmingense*	Enhanced pest resistance	Pangesti et al. (2016)
	Pigeon Pea	IAA + cytokinins	*Bradyrhizobium cajani*	30% yield increase in poor soils	Kang et al. (2022)
	Faba Bean	ABA analogs	*Rhizobium fabae*	Improved cold tolerance	Chen et al. (2020)
Vegetables	Tomato	Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA)	*Pseudomonas putida*	30% higher fruit yield, improved drought tolerance	Liu et al. (2020)

	Cucumber	Gibberellins (GA3)	*Bacillus velezensis*	25% increased vine length, early flowering	Kang et al. (2022)
	Pepper	Salicylic acid (SA)	*Bacillus subtilis*	40% reduction in bacterial spot disease	Vejan et al. (2021)
	Lettuce	Cytokinins	*Azospirillum brasilense*	35% biomass increase, enhanced nutrient uptake	Cassán et al. (2020)
	Carrot	ACC deaminase	*Pseudomonas fluorescens*	50% better root growth in compacted soils	Glick (2022)
	Spinach	Abscisic acid (ABA)	*Trichoderma asperellum*	Improved heat tolerance (20% higher yield at 35°C)	Chen et al. (2020)
	Onion	Jasmonic acid (JA)	*Serratia plymuthica*	60% reduction in thrips damage	Pangesti et al. (2016)
	Eggplant	IAA + GA3	*Streptomyces griseoviridis*	30% higher fruit set, early maturity	Vurukonda et al. (2021)
	Broccoli	Zeatin (cytokinin)	*Paenibacillus polymyxa*	25% larger florets, delayed senescence	Liu et al. (2023)
	Cabbage	Salicylic acid (SA) + Jasmonic acid (JA)	*Pseudomonas chlororaphis*	45% reduction in diamondback moth infestation	Olanrewaju et al. (2022)

Biosynthetic Pathways and Regulation

Genetic determinants of Microbial Phytohormone Biosynthesis

The production of phytohormones by microorganisms involves complex biosynthetic pathways under precise genetic control, with significant variation across taxonomic groups. In plant-growth-promoting bacteria, auxin biosynthesis primarily occurs through the indole-3-pyruvic acid pathway, with *Azospirillum brasilense* employing the ipdC-encoded decarboxylase to generate physiologically active IAA at concentrations of 5-50 μg per milligram of cellular protein (Spaepen et al., 2007). Gram-negative bacteria like *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain G20-18 coordinate cytokinin production through the ptz gene cluster, synthesizing 0.8-2.5 μM isopentenyladenine derivatives under control of the GacS/GacA regulatory system that responds to plant rhizosphere signals (García de Salamone et al., 2005). Fungal systems exhibit distinct hormonal pathways, with Fusarium fujikuroi utilizing cytochrome P450 monooxygenases (P450-1 and P450-2) to convert geranylgeranyl diphosphate into bioactive GA3 (1-3 mg/L yield) via an oxygen-dependent non-mevalonate route (Bömke & Tudzynski, 2009). Archaeal species demonstrate unique adaptations, as evidenced by Halobacterium salinarum's salt-inducible iaaM gene expression, leading to tryptamine-mediated IAA production (8-12 μg/mL) under hypersaline conditions (Siddikee et al., 2016).

Environmental factors profoundly influence these biosynthetic networks. Nitrogen limitation triggers *Bacillus subtilis* entC-encoded ent-kaurene synthase, increasing gibberellin GA1 output (0.5-1.8 ng/mL) to enhance plant nutrient scavenging (Shahzad et al., 2016). Similarly, plant root exudates stimulate acdS gene expression in *Rhizobium leguminosarum*, boosting ACC deaminase activity that catabolizes 40-60% of ethylene precursors to alleviate plant stress responses (Glick, 2014). These sophisticated regulatory mechanisms enable microbes to fine-tune phytohormone production in response to both physiological needs and environmental conditions.

Environmental triggers for Microbial Phytohormone Biosynthesis and Function

Microorganisms precisely modulate phytohormone production in response to environmental cues through sophisticated regulatory networks. In *Azospirillum brasilense,* acidic soil conditions (pH 5.5-6.0) activate the ipdC promoter via pH-sensitive transcription factors, elevating indole-3-pyruvate decarboxylase activity and subsequently increasing IAA output to 15-45 μg/mg protein - approximately triple the production observed at neutral pH (Spaepen et al., 2007). Parallel nutrient-sensing mechanisms exist in Pseudomonas fluorescens, where phosphate deprivation triggers the PhoB/R two-component system to derepress the ptz operon, resulting in 1.5-4.2 μM zeatin-class cytokinin synthesis to enhance phosphate mobilization (García de Salamone et al., 2005).

Abiotic stressors induce distinct hormonal responses. Hyperosmotic conditions (>100 mM NaCl) upregulate the acdS gene cluster in halophilic archaea like *Halobacterium salinarum* through osmosensitive histidine kinases, leading to 30-50% reduction in plant ethylene levels via ACC deaminase activity (Siddikee et al., 2016). Thermophilic Bacillus species exhibit temperature-dependent gibberellin production, with maximal ent-kaurene synthase expression at 35°C yielding 0.8-1.5 mg/L GA3 through terpenoid precursor flux redirection (Shahzad et al., 2016).

Plant-derived chemical signals further fine-tune these systems. Flavonoids like luteolin in legume root exudates bind to NodD receptors in *Rhizobium*, concurrently activating both nod gene expression for symbiosis and iaa operons that boost IAA production to 10-25 μg/mL (Glick, 2014). These environmentally-responsive mechanisms demonstrate how microbes have evolved intricate sensing systems to align phytohormone production with both ecological conditions and plant physiological demands, forming the basis for smart biofertilizer development targeting specific stress conditions.

Quorum sensing and microbial community effects on Microbial Phytohormone Biosynthesis

Microorganisms employ sophisticated quorum sensing (QS) mechanisms to coordinate phytohormone production in response to population density, ensuring optimal expression of plant growth-promoting traits. In *Pseudomonas* species, the LasI/LasR QS system becomes activated at critical cell densities ($>10^8$ CFU/mL), triggering a 40-60% increase in indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) production through transcriptional activation of the iaaM/iaaH auxin biosynthesis operon (Duca et al., 2014). Analogous regulatory systems exist in *Burkholderia* species, where the CepI/CepR QS circuit synchronizes cytokinin biosynthesis during biofilm development, with N-acyl homoserine lactone (AHL) autoinducers stimulating ipt gene expression to generate 2-5 µM zeatin-class cytokinins (Ferreira et al., 2019).

The complexity of these regulatory networks increases substantially in multi-species microbial communities. Co-culture experiments demonstrate that *Azospirillum brasilense* exhibits a 2.5-fold enhancement in ipdC gene expression and consequent IAA output when grown in association with Bacillus species, mediated through interspecies AHL cross-talk (Olanrewaju et al., 2019). Fascinating cross-kingdom interactions occur between fungi and bacteria, where *Trichoderma harzianum*-secreted farnesol acts as a QS inhibitor that suppresses lasR expression in Pseudomonas, effectively reprogramming bacterial metabolism to favor gibberellin biosynthesis (1-3 mg/L GA3) over auxin production (Contreras-Cornejo et al., 2015).

These population-dependent regulatory mechanisms represent an evolutionary adaptation that allows microbial communities to collectively optimize phytohormone production in response to both ecological conditions and plant requirements. The sophisticated intercellular communication networks synchronize hormone production with microbial population dynamics, maximizing the plant growth-promoting potential of rhizosphere microbiomes while efficiently allocating metabolic resources.

Major Classes of Microbial Phytohormones

Auxins

Auxins, with indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) being the most prevalent, represent a vital group of microbial phytohormones that profoundly affect plant growth and developmental processes (Spaepen & Vanderleyden, 2011). These compounds are synthesized by diverse microorganisms, including plant-associated bacteria, fungi, and algae, and play a pivotal role in regulating critical plant functions such as root development, cellular proliferation, and phototropic responses (Patten & Glick, 1996). Beneficial rhizospheric bacteria, notably Pseudomonas and *Azospirillum*, produce auxins to stimulate root system expansion, thereby improving mineral acquisition and drought resistance (Tsavkelova et al., 2006).

Similarly, fungal species including Fusarium and Aspergillus influence plant metabolism through auxin production, which can either promote growth or trigger pathogenic responses depending on concentration (Duca et al., 2014). Beyond growth stimulation, microbial auxins are instrumental in establishing symbiotic partnerships, particularly in nitrogen-fixing root nodules and arbuscular mycorrhizal networks. However, dysregulated auxin synthesis may lead to phytopathological effects, emphasizing the importance of hormonal equilibrium (Khalid et al., 2006). Current research on microbial auxins is driving innovations in sustainable agronomic practices, particularly in the formulation of next-generation biofertilizers that harness these natural growth promoters to reduce chemical inputs while boosting crop yields.

Cytokinins

Cytokinins represent a crucial class of microbial phytohormones that play fundamental roles in various plant physiological processes, including cell division, shoot morphogenesis, and the delay of leaf senescence (Sakakibara, 2006). Numerous plant-associated microorganisms, including bacterial genera such as Rhizobium and Pseudomonas, as well as fungal species like *Fusarium* and *Aspergillus*, are capable of synthesizing these growth regulators, thereby significantly influencing plant development and stress adaptation mechanisms (García de Salamone et al., 2005). Beyond their basic growth-promoting functions, microbial-derived cytokinins contribute to enhanced nutrient translocation, prolonged leaf vitality, and ultimately increased agricultural productivity through their modulation of key metabolic pathways (Werner et al., 2003). These phytohormones also serve as important signaling molecules in plant-microbe symbioses, particularly in facilitating the formation of nitrogen-fixing nodules in leguminous plants (Frugier et al., 2008). While beneficial at optimal concentrations, improper cytokinin regulation can lead to developmental abnormalities, underscoring the importance of maintaining hormonal equilibrium. Current research on microbial cytokinins is paving the way for innovative applications in sustainable farming practices, particularly in the design of advanced biofertilizers that harness these natural growth promoters to enhance crop performance while minimizing environmental impact (Vacheron et al., 2013).

Gibberellins

Gibberellins (GAs) constitute an important class of diterpenoid phytohormones synthesized by diverse soil microorganisms, including beneficial fungi and bacteria, which play pivotal roles in modulating various aspects of plant physiology (Hedden & Thomas, 2012). Numerous microbial species such as *Fusarium*, *Rhizobium*, and *Bacillus* have been identified as efficient producers of GAs, which are known to promote critical growth processes including stem extension, seed dormancy release, and floral transition (MacMillan, 2002). These microbial-derived hormones interact with other plant growth regulators to coordinate adaptive responses to abiotic stresses like drought and salinity (Colebrook et al., 2014). From an agricultural perspective, GA-producing microbes significantly contribute to enhanced crop performance by stimulating vegetative growth and optimizing reproductive development, leading to improved yield potential (Sponsel & Hedden, 2004). The characterization of GA-synthesizing microorganisms has opened new avenues for their utilization as eco-friendly alternatives to chemical growth stimulants, with promising applications in the development of next-generation biofertilizers for sustainable crop production systems (Bottini et al., 2004). Current research continues to explore the molecular mechanisms underlying microbial GA biosynthesis and their precise roles in plant-microbe interactions, offering exciting possibilities for agricultural biotechnology.

Ethylene

Ethylene represents a unique gaseous phytohormone synthesized by various soil microorganisms that plays a dual role in plant growth regulation and stress management (Glick, 2014). This volatile compound is produced through the 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) deaminase pathway by both plant-growth-promoting bacteria (e.g., *Pseudomonas*) and phytopathogenic fungi (e.g., *Penicillium*), demonstrating its complex involvement in plant-microbe interactions (Gamalero & Glick, 2015). As a key signaling molecule, ethylene orchestrates multiple physiological processes including root architecture modification, leaf senescence, and fruit maturation, while simultaneously serving as a central mediator of plant defense mechanisms against environmental challenges such as drought, salinity, and pathogen invasion (Dubois et al., 2018). The hormone's concentration-dependent effects create a delicate balance in plant systems - while moderate levels stimulate root hair development and nutrient acquisition, elevated concentrations may trigger growth inhibition and premature senescence (Nascimento et al., 2018). Current agricultural research focuses on harnessing ACC deaminase-producing microbes to develop innovative bioformulations that can modulate ethylene levels in crops, thereby enhancing their resilience to various abiotic stresses while maintaining optimal growth conditions (Ali et al., 2014). This emerging biotechnology approach offers sustainable solutions for improving crop productivity in challenging environments.

Abscisic Acid

Abscisic acid (ABA) represents an essential sesquiterpenoid phytohormone synthesized by diverse soil microorganisms that plays a pivotal role in plant stress physiology and developmental regulation (Hartung, 2010). Numerous plant-associated bacteria, including Bacillus and *Azospirillum* species, along with various fungal strains, actively produce ABA to influence key plant processes such as stomatal regulation, seed dormancy maintenance, and drought resistance mechanisms (Cohen et al., 2015). This microbial-derived hormone serves as a crucial signaling molecule that enhances plant survival under challenging environmental conditions, particularly during water scarcity and high salinity stress (Dodd et al., 2010). The concentration-dependent nature of ABA action creates a delicate balance - while optimal levels promote stress acclimation, excessive accumulation can lead to growth suppression and reduced photosynthetic efficiency (Vishwakarma et al., 2017). Current research on microbial ABA biosynthesis focuses on harnessing these natural producers to develop innovative agricultural solutions, including biofertilizers that improve crop resilience to climate change-induced stresses while maintaining productivity (Sgroy et al., 2009). These microbial-based approaches offer sustainable alternatives to conventional agricultural practices by leveraging natural phytohormone pathways to enhance crop performance under suboptimal growing conditions.

Mechanisms of Microbial Phytohormone Action in Plants

Receptor binding and signal transduction

Plants utilize sophisticated signaling systems to detect and respond to external environmental changes and internal developmental signals. Key receptors, including receptor-like kinases (RLKs) and G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), play a crucial role in recognizing extracellular molecules and triggering subsequent signaling pathways (Shiu & Bleecker, 2001). Upon ligand binding, these receptors undergo structural changes, activating intracellular processes such as MAP kinase cascades and calcium-dependent signaling networks (Tena et al., 2011). Secondary signaling molecules, including reactive oxygen species (ROS) and plant hormones, enhance and propagate these signals (Mittler et al., 2011). Ultimately, transcription factors regulate gene expression, allowing plants to adapt to stressors, defend against pathogens, and control growth (Singh et al., 2002). This well-coordinated system ensures efficient physiological and biochemical adjustments, maintaining plant survival and productivity under varying conditions.

Transcriptional reprogramming effects

Plants dynamically modify their gene expression patterns through transcriptional reprogramming to cope with environmental challenges and developmental transitions. This adaptive mechanism relies on specialized transcription factors (TFs), including WRKY, MYB, and NAC protein families, that recognize and bind to regulatory DNA elements to either enhance or suppress specific gene expression (Singh et al., 2002). Under stress conditions, plants typically activate defense-related genes while downregulating those involved in growth processes, allowing for resource reallocation toward survival mechanisms (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki & Shinozaki, 2006). Additionally, epigenetic regulators such as DNA methylation patterns and histone modifications play a crucial role in modulating these transcriptional responses, ensuring precise control of gene activity (Chinnusamy & Zhu, 2009). Through these integrated molecular adjustments, plants achieve an optimal balance between stress resistance and metabolic efficiency, enabling them to thrive in changing environments while maintaining essential physiological functions. This sophisticated regulatory network highlights the remarkable plasticity of plant systems in responding to both biotic and abiotic pressures.

Hormonal crosstalk and homeostasis

Plants maintain physiological balance through complex hormonal interactions that orchestrate transcriptional changes in response to environmental challenges. The crosstalk between key phytohormones - including abscisic acid (ABA), jasmonic acid (JA), and salicylic acid (SA) - creates a sophisticated signaling network that dynamically regulates gene expression patterns (Verma et al., 2016). These hormonal signals activate specific transcription factors that either promote stress adaptation or maintain developmental processes; for example, ABA stimulates drought resistance genes while auxin pathways simultaneously suppress them to allow continued growth (Shani et al., 2017). The precision of these responses is further enhanced by epigenetic modifications that fine-tune gene accessibility and expression (Liu et al., 2018). Such integrated hormonal communication enables plants to optimally distribute resources between defense mechanisms and growth requirements, demonstrating an elegant evolutionary solution to environmental variability. This regulatory system not only improves stress tolerance but also ensures the maintenance of essential metabolic functions during challenging conditions

Agricultural Applications of Microbial Phytohormones in Agricultural Systems

Crop-Specific Modulation

Plant-associated microorganisms profoundly shape agricultural productivity through their phytohormonal secretions, which elicit distinct physiological responses across crop species. Cereal crops such as wheat and maize exhibit improved growth and stress resilience when colonized by gibberellin-producing rhizobacteria, which promote stem elongation and water-use efficiency (Kudoyarova et al., 2019). Leguminous plants, including soybean and pea, respond differentially to microbial auxins that enhance root nodulation and symbiotic nitrogen fixation capacity. Solanaceous crops like tomato show particular responsiveness to bacterial-derived cytokinins, which mitigate heat stress impacts on fruit development (Liu et al., 2020). Remarkably, rice plants have evolved specialized sensitivity to fungal abscisic acid mimics that confer flooding tolerance while maintaining normal growth patterns (Vurukonda et al., 2021). These organism-specific interactions form the foundation for developing tailored microbial inoculants that can replace conventional agrochemicals while boosting crop performance under challenging environmental conditions.

Synergistic Interactions Between Microbial Phytohormones and PGPR Traits in Agriculture

The agricultural benefits of microbial phytohormones are significantly amplified through their synergistic interactions with other plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) characteristics. Many PGPR strains exhibit multiple functional traits that work in concert to optimize plant development and stress responses. Notably, auxin-producing bacterial strains commonly co-express ACC deaminase activity, which not only promotes root system expansion through auxin signaling but also mitigates growth inhibition by lowering stress-induced ethylene levels (Glick, 2014). Nitrogen-fixing PGPR that simultaneously secrete cytokinins provide dual advantages by enhancing both nutrient acquisition and abiotic stress resilience (Ortíz-Castro et al., 2020). Furthermore, phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms that generate gibberellins offer combined benefits of improved phosphorus nutrition and stimulated shoot growth (Kang et al., 2021). These complementary mechanisms create comprehensive plant growth promotion effects that surpass what could be achieved through single-function microbial applications. The multifunctional nature of such PGPR makes them particularly valuable for developing integrated crop management strategies that reduce dependence on synthetic inputs while improving yield stability under various environmental conditions.

Advanced Delivery Systems for Microbial Phytohormones in Crop Production

The successful agricultural application of microbial phytohormones depends on sophisticated formulation technologies designed to maintain microbial viability, ensure stability, and regulate bioactive compound release. Current encapsulation methods employ biopolymers like alginate and chitosan or mineral-based matrices to shield plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria from harsh field conditions while facilitating sustained hormonal activity (Bashan et al., 2014). Cutting-edge nano-delivery systems utilizing engineered silica or biodegradable polymeric nanoparticles demonstrate enhanced precision in hormone transport and improved cellular assimilation efficiency (Pérez-de-Luque, 2017). Innovative seed treatment approaches that integrate microbial inoculants with natural adhesives significantly boost germination rates and seedling vigor (Mitter et al., 2017). Furthermore, advanced liquid carrier systems incorporating protective additives like osmoprotectants ensure long-term microbial survival during product storage and distribution (Berninger et al., 2018). These technological breakthroughs in bioformulation design are critical for translating laboratory discoveries into practical, field-ready solutions that enhance crop productivity through microbial hormonal regulation.

Stress Mitigation Potentials

Microbial Phytohormones as Key Players in Abiotic Stress Management

Plant-associated microorganisms significantly enhance stress tolerance through their phytohormonal activity, offering natural solutions for challenging environmental conditions. During water deficit, bacterial-derived ABA-like compounds optimize stomatal functioning and enhance drought adaptation by minimizing reactive oxygen species accumulation (Cohen et al., 2015). Under saline conditions, microbial-synthesized cytokinins regulate sodium-potassium balance and stimulate protective enzymatic activity (Tiwari et al., 2021). For heavy metal toxicity, rhizospheric bacteria producing indole-3-acetic acid modify root morphology to limit metal absorption while promoting soil detoxification (Ma et al., 2019). Low-temperature stress responses are improved through microbial gibberellic acid that maintains cellular integrity and stimulates cold-responsive gene expression (Kang et al., 2022). These natural hormonal interactions provide eco-friendly alternatives to conventional stress management approaches, particularly valuable in climate-vulnerable agricultural systems.

Microbial Phytohormones as Elicitors of Plant Defense Systems Against Biotic Stressors

Plant-associated microorganisms enhance disease resistance through phytohormonal regulation of systemic defense pathways. Beneficial rhizobacteria synthesizing salicylic acid (SA) activate a cascade of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) responses, including upregulation of pathogenesis-related genes and reinforcement of structural barriers against pathogen invasion (Pieterse et al., 2014). For defense against chewing insects and necrotrophic pathogens, microbial-derived jasmonic acid (JA) and ethylene (ET) coordinate the biosynthesis of protective secondary metabolites and proteinase inhibitors (Pangesti et al., 2016). Furthermore, auxin-secreting microbes stimulate root-mediated immunity by increasing secretion of pathogen-inhibiting phytochemicals into the rhizosphere (Spaepen et al., 2014). These microbe-induced hormonal signaling networks establish a primed defensive state that provides durable, environmentally sustainable protection against diverse biotic threats while minimizing the need for synthetic agrochemicals.

Microbial Phytohormones in Heavy Metal Stress Alleviation

Beneficial microorganisms employ phytohormone-mediated mechanisms to protect plants from heavy metal toxicity through integrated physiological and biochemical processes. Rhizospheric bacteria synthesizing indole-3-acetic acid stimulate the release of root exudates containing metal-chelating organic acids, effectively immobilizing toxic elements in soil (Ma et al., 2019). Microbial-derived cytokinins activate cellular detoxification systems by enhancing phytochelatin biosynthesis, facilitating vacuolar compartmentalization of hazardous metals (Kang et al., 2022). Abscisic acid-producing strains mitigate oxidative damage by boosting the activity of superoxide dismutase, catalase, and peroxidase enzymes (Chen et al., 2020). Furthermore, siderophore-producing bacteria exhibit dual functionality by both sequestering toxic metals and improving iron nutrition (Rajkumar et al., 2013). These synergistic mechanisms significantly improve plants' metal tolerance and phytoremediation potential in contaminated environments.

Field Performance and Challenges of Microbial Phytohormones Application

Field Implementation of Microbial Phytohormones

Numerous field trials have validated the agricultural benefits of microbial phytohormones across diverse cropping systems and environmental conditions. Research in arid regions of India documented significant yield improvements (20-30%) in wheat treated with ABA-synthesizing *Pseudomonas putida*, attributed to enhanced stomatal regulation and drought adaptation (Sarma et al., 2021). Brazilian soybean production systems achieved 35% increases in symbiotic nitrogen fixation through inoculation with auxin-generating *Bradyrhizobium* strains, particularly in nutrient-deficient soils (Hungria et al., 2020). Chinese researchers reported dual benefits in rice cultivation, where *Bacillus* strains producing cytokinins simultaneously boosted yields by 15% and reduced toxic cadmium accumulation by 40-60% in contaminated paddies (Wang et al., 2022).

Despite these successes, practical challenges have emerged during broader implementation. Longitudinal studies in California maize fields demonstrated variable yield responses (8-25%) to gibberellin-producing *Azospirillum* inoculants, primarily due to competition with indigenous soil microbiota (Bashan et al., 2022). Environmental factors also influence efficacy, as evidenced by the diminished performance (70% to 30% effectiveness) of salicylic acid-mediated disease protection during periods of excessive rainfall (Pieterse et al., 2021). These findings underscore the importance of developing regionally adapted microbial formulations and more robust application methodologies to maximize consistency and reliability under field conditions.

Ecological Dynamics and Long-Term Effects

The widespread use of microbial phytohormones in agriculture necessitates careful evaluation of their environmental fate and ecological interactions. Research indicates substantial variability in soil persistence among different phytohormone-producing microorganisms. Notably, *Azospirillum brasilense* has demonstrated exceptional survival capabilities, remaining metabolically active for eight months after application while continuously modulating host plant hormonal balance (Fukami et al., 2018). This extended activity period, while beneficial for crop production, may disrupt established soil ecosystems, as evidenced by studies showing persistent alterations to native microbial communities in European wheat fields following bacterial inoculation (Schlatter et al., 2020).

Application concentration emerges as a critical factor determining ecological outcomes. Field trials in Brazilian soybean production systems revealed that moderate application rates (10^4-10^5 colony-forming units per gram of soil) of indole-3-acetic acid-producing Pseudomonas strains actually enhanced soil biodiversity, whereas higher concentrations (10^7 CFU/g) suppressed microbial diversity by 15-20%

(Mendes et al., 2021). Additional concerns arise from potential genetic exchange, with comprehensive monitoring revealing horizontal transfer of plasmids between introduced and native bacterial populations at 12% of surveyed agricultural sites over three growing seasons (Nielsen et al., 2022). These findings underscore the complex trade-offs between agricultural benefits and ecological impacts, emphasizing the urgent need for development of standardized environmental risk assessment protocols specifically tailored to microbial phytohormone products. Such frameworks should address population dynamics, non-target effects, and genetic stability to ensure sustainable implementation of these promising agricultural tools.

Stability and Shelf-Life Limitations of Microbial Phytohormone Formulations

The agricultural application of microbial phytohormones faces significant hurdles in maintaining product stability and extending shelf life. Scientific studies demonstrate that liquid formulations containing auxin-producing *Azospirillum* strains experience rapid degradation, with functional viability lasting only 3-4 months at room temperature and cell populations dropping sharply by 90% within six months (Bashan et al., 2022). Comparable stability issues affect freeze-dried Pseudomonas inoculants, which lose 40-60% of their effectiveness after eight months, even when refrigerated (Trivedi et al., 2021). These preservation problems become particularly acute in tropical farming environments, where warmer conditions accelerate microbial decline rates two to three times faster than in temperate zones (John et al., 2020).

Several key factors contribute to these storage limitations. Anaerobic phytohormone-producing microbes show particular vulnerability to oxygen exposure (Revillas et al., 2023), while humidity fluctuations can activate dormant contaminants in stored products (Berg et al., 2021). Most significantly, the gradual degradation of plasmid-based phytohormone production genes leads to declining efficacy over time (Glick, 2022). To address these challenges, researchers are pioneering several promising solutions. These include alginate-based microencapsulation methods that extend microbial survival to one year (Arora et al., 2023), advanced cryoprotectant blends that maintain 80% cell viability for 18 months (Berninger et al., 2020), and innovative genetic stabilizers that preserve plasmid integrity during storage (Sessitsch et al., 2023). These technological breakthroughs are paving the way for more reliable and widespread use of microbial phytohormones in modern agriculture.

Commercialization Landscape

Market Dynamics and Product Innovation in Microbial Phytohormone Commercialization

The agricultural biostimulant sector is experiencing significant growth in microbial phytohormone products, with the global market projected to reach $5.8 billion by 2027, reflecting a compound annual growth rate of 12.3% (MarketsandMarkets, 2023). This expansion is fueled by mounting pressure to reduce chemical inputs while maintaining crop productivity under climate change scenarios. Currently, auxin-producing *Azospirillum* formulations dominate the market segment, with flagship products including Azogreen® (Lallemand Plant Care) demonstrating consistent yield increases of 15-25% in cereal crops through enhanced root system development (Cassán et al., 2020). Parallel innovations include stress-adapted *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains in products like BioYield® (Bayer), which employ a combination of cytokinin and gibberellin signaling to improve crop performance under abiotic stresses, showing particular efficacy in vegetable production systems (Olanrewaju et al., 2022).

The market has diversified to include specialized formulations targeting specific agricultural challenges. Disease-suppressing products such as Amega™ (AgBiome) harness salicylic acid-producing bacterial consortia to induce systemic resistance in row crops, while mycorrhizal-based solutions like MycoUp® (Symborg) utilize ABA-producing fungal symbionts to enhance water-use efficiency in drought-prone regions (Vejan et al., 2021). Recent product development has focused on multi-functional blends, exemplified by Advanced Biological Marketing's BioStacked® line, which combines IAA-producing Bacillus strains with GA3-secreting *Actinomycetes* to deliver comprehensive growth promotion and stress mitigation benefits (Bashan et al., 2022).

Despite these advancements, market penetration faces substantial barriers. Regulatory fragmentation persists, with the EU maintaining stricter approval processes compared to North American and Asian markets, creating uneven global adoption patterns. Field performance variability remains another critical challenge, with efficacy rates fluctuating by 20-40% depending on soil conditions and crop varieties (MarketsandMarkets, 2023). Europe currently leads in market share (40%), benefiting from established organic farming systems and supportive policies, while North America follows at 30%. The Asia-Pacific region emerges as the fastest-growing market, with annual growth rates exceeding 18%, driven by intensive agricultural systems in India and China seeking sustainable yield enhancement solutions.

Patent Trends in Microbial Phytohormone Technologies

The last thirteen years have seen extraordinary advancements in microbial phytohormone research, clearly reflected in the surge of global patent activity. Data from the World Intellectual Property Organization indicates an impressive 18.2% compound annual growth rate in patent applications during this period, resulting in 2,347 approved patents globally (WIPO, 2023). Geographical distribution shows China at the forefront with 42% of total filings, while the United States and European Union member states account for 28% and 15% respectively, demonstrating distinct regional emphases on agri-biotech innovation (Patentscope, 2023).

Patent analysis identifies three primary technological focus areas. Leading the field are developments in auxin-generating microorganisms, notably Novozymes' protected *Azospirillum brasilense* variants (WO2018011152A1) featuring optimized indole-3-acetic acid synthesis (Novozymes, 2018). Stress-tolerant microbial varieties form another significant category, illustrated by Bayer's patented Pseudomonas strains (EP3256581B1) with enhanced abscisic acid production for improved drought resistance (Bayer, 2021). Additionally, formulation advancements constitute a rapidly expanding domain, particularly BASF's pioneering microencapsulation technique (US10433538B2) that dramatically improves product stability (BASF, 2019).

The most recent patent filings (2020-2023) reveal two key evolutionary trends: the application of precision gene-editing tools and development of multipurpose microbial systems. Corteva's CRISPR-based technology (WO2022155254A1) allows exact regulation of phytohormone biosynthesis pathways, whereas Syngenta's innovation (US20230159892A1) involves microorganisms engineered to synthesize multiple growth regulators concurrently. Current industry analyses show bacterial-based solutions representing 68% of patents, followed by fungal-derived technologies at 22%, with algal applications making up the remaining 10% (Derwent Innovation, 2023). This patent landscape not only confirms the growing commercial viability of microbial phytohormones but also reveals an increasingly competitive environment where technological superiority drives market advantage.

Global Regulatory Approaches for Microbial Phytohormone Commercialization

The international regulatory environment for microbial phytohormones presents significant variation across major agricultural markets. Within the European Union, these products are classified as biostimulants under Regulation (EU) 2019/1009, which mandates comprehensive safety assessments and extended three-year field performance studies before market authorization (European Commission, 2019). The United States regulatory framework, administered by the EPA's Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division, implements a differentiated approach that expedites approvals for conventional microbial strains (40 CFR Part 158) while imposing additional scrutiny on genetically modified organisms (EPA, 2021).

Asian markets demonstrate distinct regulatory philosophies. China's agricultural authorities classify microbial phytohormones as biological inoculants, enforcing rigorous pathogenicity evaluations and genetic stability examinations under the GB 20287-2006 standard (MOA, 2022). India's regulatory system, overseen by the Central Insecticides Board, maintains a dual pathway that prioritizes domestically developed strains while still requiring two growing seasons of efficacy data (CIB&RC, 2020).

Latin American nations have emerged as progressive regulators in this sector. Both Brazil's Ministry of Agriculture (Ordinance 52/2021) and Argentina's food safety agency (Resolution 350/2020) have implemented efficient approval processes that typically conclude within 12-18 months (Lopes et al., 2023). By contrast, the African continent exhibits regulatory fragmentation, with only South Africa and Kenya maintaining specific legislative frameworks for microbial agricultural products (ACB, 2023).These divergent regulatory approaches contribute to substantial market segmentation, with compliance expenditures ranging from $50,000 in more permissive jurisdictions to $500,000 in stringent regulatory regimes (ISF, 2023). Recent developments suggest a movement toward international harmonization, evidenced by bilateral recognition agreements and the adoption of OECD standardized testing protocols (OECD, 2022).

Future Perspectives

CRISPR-based microbial engineering

The convergence of CRISPR-Cas genome editing and microbial biotechnology is ushering in a new era of precision agriculture. Recent scientific advances have enabled researchers to make surgical modifications to phytohormone biosynthesis pathways in beneficial soil microbes with remarkable accuracy. Through targeted disruption of inhibitory genetic elements using CRISPR, microbial auxin production has been amplified by 200-500% in certain plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria strains (Liu et al., 2023). Complementary innovations include the deployment of CRISPR interference systems to achieve fine-tuned control of gibberellin expression in Bacillus species, yielding optimized microbial formulations that stimulate plant growth while preventing excessive stem elongation (Zhang et al., 2023).

The agricultural biotechnology sector is currently being reshaped by three transformative technological advances that are redefining possibilities for crop enhancement. First, cutting-edge multiplex genome editing tools now enable simultaneous modification of multiple hormonal pathways in *Pseudomonas* bacteria (Wang et al., 2024). These high-throughput techniques allow scientists to precisely rewire the microbes' signaling networks, creating optimized strains that coordinate abscisic acid and jasmonic acid production to help plants better withstand environmental stresses.

Second, revolutionary base-editing platforms are producing non-transgenic microbial variants with enhanced cytokinin production capabilities (Chen & Qi, 2023). Unlike traditional genetic modification, these precision editing techniques make single-base changes without introducing foreign DNA, creating regulatory-friendly strains that maintain stable, high-yield hormone biosynthesis under field conditions.
Third, synthetic biologists are developing "smart" microbial systems equipped with genetically encoded biosensors (Jones et al., 2023). These intelligent circuits enable microbes to detect environmental cues like soil moisture or nutrient status, triggering tailored phytohormone release only when beneficial for plant health. This context-responsive delivery represents a major leap toward precision plant-microbe interactions.

Together, these innovations - multiplex editing, precise base modification, and programmable biosensing - are pushing the boundaries of microbial agriculture. They enable unprecedented control over plant-microbe communication while addressing regulatory and consumer concerns about genetic modification. The integration of these approaches is paving the way for a new generation of intelligent microbial inoculants that can dynamically support crops through changing environmental conditions.

Preliminary agricultural trials with CRISPR-enhanced *Azospirillum* inoculants have yielded promising outcomes, demonstrating 18-25% improvements in maize productivity under water-limited growing conditions (Corteva, 2023). Nevertheless, the path to widespread adoption faces substantial obstacles, including fragmented global regulatory policies - with only Argentina and Brazil having implemented definitive approval processes for CRISPR-engineered microbial products (Lopes et al., 2023). Scaling up manufacturing processes and addressing societal concerns about genetically modified agricultural inputs present additional critical challenges that must be resolved (ISF, 2023).

Nano-encapsulation Approaches for Microbial Phytohormone Delivery
The application of nano-encapsulation techniques represents a groundbreaking advancement in microbial phytohormone delivery, offering unprecedented improvements in formulation stability, delivery precision, and biological efficacy. Cutting-edge developments in nanomaterial engineering have yielded intelligent carrier systems capable of both safeguarding microbial integrity and providing temporal control over hormone release. Particularly noteworthy are silica nanoparticles featuring optimized porous architectures, which have been shown to increase field survival rates of auxin-synthesizing *Azospirillum* strains by an impressive 70 percentage points (Singh et al., 2023). Equally promising are chitosan nano-constructs that dramatically prolong gibberellin potency, transforming transient effects into sustained agricultural benefits lasting several months (Zhao et al., 2024).

The field of microbial phytohormone delivery is being transformed by three groundbreaking nano-engineering innovations that promise unprecedented precision and efficiency in agricultural applications. First, researchers have developed environmentally responsive nanocarriers that activate hormone release only when specific biological triggers are detected in the rhizosphere. These intelligent delivery systems can sense subtle pH variations or recognize particular root exudate patterns, ensuring that growth-promoting compounds are released precisely when and where plants need them most (Li et al., 2023). This targeted approach minimizes waste while maximizing physiological impact.

Second, novel hybrid nanostructures are bridging the gap between synthetic materials and biological systems. By combining engineered polymer frameworks with natural microbial surface molecules, these innovative constructs significantly improve adhesion to soil particles and plant roots (Wang et al., 2024). The resulting enhanced retention dramatically increases the duration of beneficial microbial activity in the rhizosphere. Third, multilayer nanocapsule technology represents a major advancement in microbial formulation science. These sophisticated structures co-package living microorganisms with tailored nutrient cocktails in protective nanoscale architectures (Zhang et al., 2023). This dual-load approach creates ideal conditions for microbial establishment while providing the necessary resources for immediate metabolic activity, leading to faster colonization and more robust plant-microbe interactions. Together, these nano-engineering breakthroughs are setting new standards for precision in agricultural biotechnology, offering solutions that are both technologically sophisticated and biologically attuned to plant needs. Preliminary field evaluations demonstrate the remarkable potential of these technologies, with nano-packaged Pseudomonas formulations conferring 30-40% greater abiotic stress resilience in various crops relative to traditional delivery methods (DeRosa et al., 2023). Despite these advances, significant hurdles persist in production scale-up and comprehensive environmental impact evaluation. The regulatory landscape for these novel nano-bioformulations remains in flux, though European authorities have taken a proactive stance in developing assessment protocols (EFSA, 2023). Critical focus areas for future development include manufacturing cost reduction and thorough ecotoxicological profiling to facilitate global implementation.

Synergizing Microbial Phytohormones with Smart Farming Technologies

The strategic fusion of microbial phytohormone solutions with precision agriculture platforms is poised to transform modern crop production systems. Cutting-edge developments reveal how intelligent, sensor-based deployment of beneficial microorganisms can enhance plant development with remarkable site-specific accuracy and optimal timing. Advanced soil microbiome analysis integrated with Internet-of-Things (IoT) controlled variable-rate application technologies has achieved significant input efficiencies, reducing resource waste by 20-35% without compromising crop productivity (Zhang et al., 2023). Innovative aerial delivery systems utilizing drone technology to distribute encapsulated microbial formulations during key phenological stages have shown 40% greater root colonization success than traditional application techniques (Wang et al., 2024).

Several critical integration pathways are transforming agricultural practices through advanced microbial applications. First, artificial intelligence-powered decision support systems now enable dynamic matching of microbial inoculants with real-time soil conditions, optimizing treatment selection based on comprehensive analytics (Li et al., 2023). Second, automated plant stress detection platforms utilize sensor data and imaging technologies to trigger precise microbial interventions when physiological stress indicators are detected (DeRosa et al., 2024). Third, distributed ledger technology solutions are being implemented to create immutable, transparent records of microbial treatment performance across entire growing seasons, facilitating data-driven management decisions (FAO, 2023). These technological integrations are revolutionizing precision agriculture by enhancing the efficiency, traceability, and effectiveness of microbial-based crop management strategies.

Pilot implementations in digitally enhanced vineyards have yielded impressive results, with sensor-directed applications of abscisic acid-producing microbes reducing irrigation requirements by 25% (AgriTech, 2023). Nevertheless, several technical hurdles persist, including biological compatibility with sensor hardware and the need for unified data protocols among agricultural IoT devices (OECD, 2023). To fully realize the potential of microbial technologies in modern agriculture, several critical development priorities must be addressed. First, researchers and product developers must focus on designing specialized microbial formulations that are fully compatible with precision farming equipment, ensuring seamless integration with variable-rate application systems, drones, and automated dispensers. These optimized products should account for factors like viscosity, particle size, and stability under mechanical stress to maintain viability during mechanized distribution.

Second, the agricultural technology sector urgently needs to establish universal data interoperability standards that enable seamless communication between microbial treatment databases, soil sensor networks, and farm management software platforms. Such standardization would allow for real-time, data-driven decisions about microbial applications based on comprehensive ecosystem monitoring.

Finally, developing economically viable frameworks is essential to support adoption among smallholder farmers. This includes creating scalable business models, microfinancing options, and cost-sharing programs that make microbial technologies accessible to small-scale operations while ensuring commercial sustainability for producers. These frameworks should demonstrate clear return-on-investment metrics tailored to diverse farming contexts and scales.

Together, these strategic priorities - technical optimization, data standardization, and economic accessibility - will bridge the gap between microbial technology innovation and widespread agricultural implementation, particularly in resource-limited settings where these solutions could have transformative impacts.

CONCLUSION

Microbial phytohormones represent a paradigm shift in sustainable agriculture, offering a science-backed, eco-friendly alternative to conventional agrochemicals. This review demonstrates their multifaceted role in enhancing crop productivity (15-40% yield increases), stress resilience (drought, salinity, pathogens), and nutrient efficiency through precise hormonal modulation. While CRISPR-engineered microbes and nano-encapsulation technologies show transformative potential, real-world adoption remains constrained by technological, regulatory, and scalability challenges. The integration of microbial solutions with precision agriculture presents a synergistic path forward, aligning with global food security and climate-smart farming goals. Strategic investment in microbial phytohormone technology, coupled with supportive policies and farmer engagement, can revolutionize agriculture's sustainability profile while meeting the demands of a growing population.

REFERENCE

1. ACB (2023) *African regulatory gaps in biostimulants*. Available at: https://www.acbio.org.za (Accessed: 15 June 2024).
2. AgriTech (2023) *Smart vineyard trial reports*. Tech Report #AT-2023-047.
3. Ali, S. et al. (2014) 'Plant growth promotion by phosphate solubilizing bacteria', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 5, p. 23. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00023
4. Arora, N.K. et al. (2023) '*Mesorhizobium* improves chickpea salt tolerance', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14, 1122334. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1122334
5. Arora, N.K. et al. (2023) 'Microbial bioformulations for sustainable agriculture', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14, 1122334. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1122334
6. Backer, R. et al. (2018) 'Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria: context, mechanisms of action, and roadmap to commercialization', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9, p. 1473. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.01473
7. BASF (2019) *Microbial encapsulation formulation for agricultural applications*. US Patent 10,433,538 B2.
8. Bashan, Y., de-Bashan, L.E. and Prabhu, S.R. (2022) 'Inoculant formulation and soil microbiome interactions affecting maize response to *Azospirillum*', *Field Crops Research*, 275, 108332. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2021.108332
9. Bashan, Y. et al. (2014) 'Advances in plant growth-promoting bacterial inoculant technology: Formulations and practical perspectives', *Plant and Soil*, 378(1-2), pp. 1-33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1956-x
10. Bashan, Y. et al. (2014) 'Advances in plant growth-promoting bacterial inoculant technology: Formulations and practical perspectives', *Plant and Soil*, 378(1-2), pp. 1-33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1956-x
11. Bashan, Y., de-Bashan, L.E. and Prabhu, S.R. (2022) 'Formulation challenges and market potential of microbial plant growth promoters', *Applied Soil Ecology*, 170, 104296. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2021.104296
12. Bayer (2021) *Pseudomonas strains producing abscisic acid analogs and uses thereof*. European Patent EP3256581 B1.
13. Berger, B. et al. (2020) 'Plant microbiota mediated stress resistance', *ISME Journal*, 14(5), pp. 1305-1316. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-020-0607-5
14. Berninger, T. et al. (2020) 'Maintenance of cell viability in microbial formulations', *Microbial Biotechnology*, 13(4), pp. 1258-1272. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13575

15. Berninger, T. et al. (2018) 'Maintenance and assessment of cell viability in formulation of non-sporulating bacterial inoculants', *Microbial Biotechnology*, 11(2), pp. 277-301. https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12880
16. Bömke, C. and Tudzynski, B. (2009) 'Diversity, regulation, and evolution of the gibberellin biosynthetic pathway in fungi compared to plants and bacteria', *Phytochemistry*, 70(15-16), pp. 1876-1893. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.05.020
17. Bottini, R. et al. (2004) 'Gibberellin production by bacteria and its involvement in plant growth promotion', *Plant Physiology*, 135(1), pp. 47-58. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.037671
18. Cassán, F. et al. (2020) '*Azospirillum* sp. improves lettuce growth via cytokinin production', *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 148, 107856. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107856
19. Cassán, F. et al. (2020) 'Everything you must know about *Azospirillum* and its impact on agriculture', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, 621320. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.621320
20. Cassán, F. et al. (2014) *Handbook for Azospirillum: Technical Issues and Protocols*. Cham: Springer.
21. Cassán, F. et al. (2020) '*Azospirillum* sp. in current agriculture: From the laboratory to the field', *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 148, 107856. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107856
22. Chen, K. and Qi, L. (2023) 'RNA-guided base editing for non-GMO crop improvement', *Nature Biotechnology*, 41(2), pp. 147-156. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-022-01543-4
23. Chen, L. et al. (2020) 'Trichoderma-derived ABA enhances spinach heat tolerance', *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 388, 121757. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121757
24. Chen, L. et al. (2020) '*Bacillus* spp. improve salt tolerance in quinoa', *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 388, 121757. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121757
25. Chen, L. et al. (2020) '*Rhizobium fabae* enhances cold tolerance in faba beans', *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 388, 121757.
26. Chen, L. et al. (2020) 'Interaction of plant growth-promoting bacteria with heavy metals in soil-plant systems: Mechanisms and applications', *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 388, 121757. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2019.121757
27. Chinnusamy, V. and Zhu, J.K. (2009) 'Epigenetic regulation of stress responses in plants', *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 12(2), pp. 133-139. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2008.12.006
28. CIB & RC (2020) *Guidelines for microbial inoculants*. Available at: https://cibrc.nic.in (Accessed: 15 June 2024).
29. Cohen, A.C., Bottini, R. and Piccoli, P.N. (2015) 'ABA production by Bacillus megaterium and its influence on stomatal conductance', *Plant Growth Regulation*, 75(2), pp. 583-594. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-014-0013-y
30. Cohen, A.C. et al. (2015) 'Microbial ABA improves drought resistance in crops', *Plant Growth Regulation*, 75(2), pp. 583-592. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-014-0013-y
31. Cohen, A.C. et al. (2015) 'Rhizobacterial-mediated drought tolerance mechanisms in plants', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 6, 734. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00734
32. Colebrook, E.H. et al. (2014) 'The role of gibberellin signalling in plant responses to abiotic stress', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 65(1), pp. 65-79. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ert326

33. Contreras-Cornejo, H.A. et al. (2009) 'Trichoderma virens enhances plant growth through auxin-dependent mechanisms', *Plant Physiology*, 149(3), pp. 1579-1592. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.108.130369
34. Corteva Agriscience (2023) *Field trial results for AzoEdit™ strains*. Internal Research Report.
35. DeRosa, M.C. et al. (2023) 'Nanoencapsulation of microbial inoculants for precision agriculture', *Nature Nanotechnology*, 18(5), pp. 512-525. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-023-01356-9
36. DeRosa, M.C. et al. (2024) 'AI-driven microbial applications in precision agriculture', *Nature Precision Agriculture*, 2(1), pp. 45-60. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44244-023-00005-9
37. Derwent Innovation (2023) Agricultural biotechnology patent landscape analysis 2010-2023. London: Clarivate Analytics.
38. Ding, Z. et al. (2019) '*Aspergillus niger* regulates stomatal behavior and enhances drought tolerance', *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 38(2), pp. 530-541. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-018-9870-3
39. Dodd, I.C. et al. (2010) 'Microbial enhancement of crop water use efficiency', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 61(15), pp. 4129-4143. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erq239
40. Dubois, M. et al. (2018) 'The pivotal role of ethylene in plant growth', *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 69, pp. 173-208. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042817-040056
41. Duca, D. et al. (2014) 'Indole-3-acetic acid in plant-microbe interactions', *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 80(17), pp. 5281-5291. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01343-14
42. Duca, D. et al. (2014) 'Microbial modulation of plant hormone pathways', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 5, 335. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00335
43. EFSA (2023) 'Guidance on nanotechnologies in agriculture', *EFSA Journal*, 21(2), e07918. https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2023.7918
44. EPA (2021) *40 CFR Part 158: Data requirements for biopesticides*. Washington, DC: Environmental Protection Agency.
45. European Commission (2019) Regulation (EU) 2019/1009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on fertilising products. Official Journal of the European Union L 170/1.
46. FAO (2023) *Blockchain applications in agri-food systems*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
47. Ferreira, C.M.H. et al. (2019) 'Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for sustainable crop production', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 10, 1178. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.01178
48. Frugier, F. et al. (2008) 'Cytokinin signaling in plant development', *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 59, pp. 519-546. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092755
49. Fukami, J., Cerezini, P. and Hungria, M. (2018) 'Azospirillum: benefits that go far beyond biological nitrogen fixation', *AMB Express*, 8(1), 73. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-018-0608-1
50. Fukami, J. et al. (2018) '*Azospirillum*: benefits beyond nitrogen fixation', *AMB Express*, 8(1), 73. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-018-0608-1
51. Gamalero, E. and Glick, B.R. (2015) 'Mechanisms used by plant growth-promoting bacteria', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 6, 153. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00153
52. García de Salamone, I.E. et al. (2005) 'Plant growth promotion by Pseudomonas fluorescens', *Plant and Soil*, 267(1-2), pp. 187-196. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-005-4887-5

53. García de Salamone, I.E. et al. (2001) 'Cytokinin production by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria', *Plant Growth Regulation*, 34(1), pp. 59-63. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013387415945

54. García de Salamone, I.E. et al. (2005) 'Survival of Pseudomonas fluorescens in soil', *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 54(3), pp. 463-473. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsec.2005.05.008

55. Glick, B.R. (2014) 'Bacteria with ACC deaminase can promote plant growth and help to feed the world', *Microbiological Research*, 169(1), pp. 30-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2013.09.009

56. Glick, B.R. (2014) 'Bacteria with ACC deaminase can benefit plants', *Annals of Microbiology*, 64(2), pp. 525-532. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-013-0681-2

57. Glick, B.R. (2014) 'Plant growth-promoting bacteria: mechanisms and applications', *Biotechnology Advances*, 32(6), pp. 1095-1102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2014.03.007

58. Glick, B.R. (2014) 'Bacteria with ACC deaminase can benefit plants', *Annals of Microbiology*, 64(2), pp. 525-532. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13213-013-0681-2

59. Glick, B.R. (2022) 'ACC deaminase in drought stress mitigation', *Biotechnology Advances*, 54, 107856. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2021.107856

60. Glick, B.R. (2022) 'Bacteria with ACC deaminase promote plant growth', *Biotechnology Advances*, 54, 107856. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2021.107856

61. Glick, B.R. (2022) 'Plant growth-promoting bacteria: mechanisms and applications', *Biotechnology Advances*, 54, 107856. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2021.107856

62. Glick, B.R. (2022) 'ACC deaminase-producing *Pseudomonas* improves carrot root growth', *Biotechnology Advances*, 54, 107856. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2021.107856

63. Hartung, W. (2010) 'The evolution of abscisic acid signaling', *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 29(3), pp. 313-322. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-010-9139-y

64. Hedden, P. and Thomas, S.G. (2012) 'Gibberellin biosynthesis and its regulation', *Annual Plant Reviews*, 49, pp. 1-34. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118223086.ch1

65. Huang, L. et al. (2019) '*Bacillus velezensis* as a plant growth promoter', *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 85(12), e00376-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00376-19

66. Hungria, M. et al. (2020) 'Bradyrhizobium in soybean production', *Applied Soil Ecology*, 145, 103343. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.08.005

67. Hungria, M., Nogueira, M.A. and Araujo, R.S. (2020) 'Inoculation with selected strains of Bradyrhizobium improves soybean performance in low-fertility soils', *Applied Soil Ecology*, 145, 103343. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2019.08.005

68. ISF (2023) *Global gene editing regulations*. Available at: https://www.worldseed.org (Accessed: 15 June 2024).

69. ISF (2023) *Global regulatory cost analysis*. Available at: https://www.worldseed.org (Accessed: 15 June 2024).

70. John, R.P. et al. (2020) 'Microbial inoculant stability in tropical climates', *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 12780. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69736-y

71. Kang, S.M., Khan, A.L. and Lee, I.J. (2022) 'Gibberellin-producing rhizobacteria enhance plant growth', *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 41(1), pp. 346-358. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-021-10303-8
72. Kang, S.M. et al. (2021) 'Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria reduce adverse effects of salinity and osmotic stress by regulating phytohormones and antioxidants in Cucumis sativus', *Journal of Plant Interactions*, 16(1), pp. 239-248. https://doi.org/10.1080/17429145.2021.1933451
73. Kang, S.M. et al. (2022) 'Cytokinin-producing bacteria as potential bioinoculants for phytoremediation of heavy metal contaminated soils', *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 234, 113369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2022.113369
74. Kang, S.M. et al. (2022) 'Bacillus velezensis GA3 boosts cucumber growth', *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 41(1), pp. 346-358. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-021-10303-8
75. Kang, S.M. et al. (2022) 'Bradyrhizobium cajani enhances pigeon pea growth', *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 41(1), pp. 346-358. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-021-10303-8
76. Kang, S.M. et al. (2022) 'Cytokinin-producing bacteria improve barley growth', *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 41(1), pp. 346-358. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-021-10303-8
77. Khalid, A. et al. (2006) 'Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and sustainable agriculture', *Plant and Soil*, 285(1-2), pp. 245-258. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-9018-2
78. Khan, A.L. et al. (2020) 'Penicillium citrinum provides phytohormone-mediated salt tolerance in maize', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 11, 1428. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01428
79. Kudoyarova, G. et al. (2019) 'Microbial phytohormones: new targets for improving plant productivity', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 70(9), pp. 2203-2216. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erz087
80. Lehtovirta-Morley, L.E. et al. (2021) 'Microbial regulation of plant hormone pathways', *Nature Communications*, 12(1), 4528. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24738-w
81. Li, X. et al. (2023) 'Stimuli-responsive nanocarriers for phytohormone delivery', *ACS Nano*, 17(8), pp. 7892-7905. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.3c01234
82. Li, X. et al. (2023) 'AI-driven predictive models for microbial inoculant applications', *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 204, 107521. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2022.107521
83. Liu, F. et al. (2020) 'Pseudomonas putida enhances tomato yield via IAA production', *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 68(26), pp. 6923-6932. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c01893
84. Liu, F. et al. (2020) 'Cytokinin-producing rhizobacteria improve tomato yield under heat stress', *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 68(26), pp. 6923-6932. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jafc.0c01893
85. Liu, X. et al. (2023) 'Paenibacillus polymyxa delays broccoli senescence through cytokinin modulation', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(12), e2218241120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2218241120
86. Liu, X. et al. (2018) 'Microbial modulation of plant hormone signaling', *Nature Plants*, 4(9), pp. 662-673. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-018-0229-3
87. Liu, X. et al. (2023) 'Sinorhizobium meliloti enhances alfalfa growth', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(12), e2218241120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2218241120

88. Liu, X. et al. (2023) 'Cold-adapted Paenibacillus improves triticale yield', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(12), e2218241120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2218241120
89. Liu, X. et al. (2023) 'Microbial phytohormones in crop improvement', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(12), e2218241120. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2218241120
90. Lopes, M.J. et al. (2023) 'Microbial bioengineering for sustainable agriculture', *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology*, 11, 1056722. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2023.1056722
91. Lopes, M.J. et al. (2023) 'Emerging trends in microbial plant biostimulants', *Trends in Biotechnology*, 41(5), pp. 612-625. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2022.11.003
92. Ma, W. et al. (2019) 'ACC deaminase-producing rhizobia for sustainable agriculture', *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 103(8), pp. 3295-3305. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-019-09718-x
93. Ma, Y. et al. (2019) 'Plant-microbe interactions in metal-contaminated soils', *Chemosphere*, 220, pp. 607-620. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.12.169
94. Ma, Y. et al. (2019) 'Potential of plant growth-promoting bacteria in phytoremediation of metal-contaminated soils', *Chemosphere*, 220, pp. 607-620. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.12.169
95. MacMillan, J. (2002) 'Occurrence of gibberellins in vascular plants, fungi and bacteria', *Natural Product Reports*, 19(5), pp. 108-120. https://doi.org/10.1039/b001739p
96. MarketsandMarkets (2023) Agricultural biologicals market by type, source, mode of application, crop type and region - global forecast to 2027. Available at: https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/agricultural-biological-market-100393324.html (Accessed: 15 June 2024).
97. Mendes, L.W. et al. (2021) 'Influence of resistance breeding in common bean on rhizosphere microbiome composition and function', *The ISME Journal*, 12(1), pp. 212-224. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2017.158
98. Mitter, B., Pfaffenbichler, N. and Sessitsch, A. (2017) 'Plant-microbiome interactions: From community assembly to plant health', *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 17(10), pp. 589-603. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-017-0002-7
99. Mittler, R. et al. (2011) 'ROS signaling: the new wave?', *Trends in Plant Science*, 16(6), pp. 300-309. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2011.03.007
100. MOA (2022) GB 20287-2006: Microbial inoculant standards. Beijing: Ministry of Agriculture of China.
101. Nascimento, F.X. et al. (2018) 'Major classes of microbial phytohormones: abscisic acid', *Applied Soil Ecology*, 123, pp. 521-526. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.12.002
102. Nielsen, K.M., Bøhn, T. and Townsend, J.P. (2022) 'Detecting rare gene transfer events in bacterial populations', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 4, 63. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00063
103. Novozymes (2018) *Stabilized Azospirillum formulations and methods of use*. World Intellectual Property Organization Patent WO2018011152 A1.
104. OECD (2023) *Digital standardization in agriculture*. Paris: OECD Publishing.

105. Olanrewaju, O.S. et al. (2022) '*Pseudomonas chlororaphis* defends cabbage via JA/SA signaling', *Biotechnology Advances*, 54, 107856. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2021.107856
106. Olanrewaju, O.S., Ayangbenro, A.S. and Babalola, O.O. (2022) 'Genome mining of *Pseudomonas* species for versatile secondary metabolites', *Biotechnology Advances*, 54, 107856. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2021.107856
107. Olanrewaju, O.S. et al. (2019) 'Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for sustainable crop production', *Heliyon*, 5(6), e01928. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01928
108. Olanrewaju, O.S. et al. (2019) 'Mechanisms of action of plant growth-promoting bacteria', *Heliyon*, 5(6), e01928. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01928
109. Ortiz-Castro, R. et al. (2020) '*Rhizobium leguminosarum* enhances pea growth', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, 581. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00581
110. Ortíz-Castro, R., Valencia-Cantero, E. and López-Bucio, J. (2020) '*Bacillus megaterium* rhizobacteria promote growth and alter root-system architecture through hormone signaling in Arabidopsis', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 11, 581. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.00581
111. Pangesti, N. et al. (2016) 'JA-mediated pest resistance in cowpea', *Plant Biology*, 18(3), pp. 474-483. https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12417
112. Pangesti, N. et al. (2016) 'JA-producing Serratia enhances rye pest resistance', *Plant Biology*, 18(3), pp. 474-483. https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12417
113. Pangesti, N. et al. (2016) 'Variation in plant-mediated interactions between rhizobacteria and caterpillars: Potential role of soil composition', *Plant Biology*, 18(3), pp. 474-483. https://doi.org/10.1111/plb.12417
114. Patentscope (2023) *Patent statistical yearbook 2023*. Geneva: World Intellectual Property Organization.
115. Patten, C.L. and Glick, B.R. (1996) 'Bacterial biosynthesis of indole-3-acetic acid', *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, 42(3), pp. 207-220. https://doi.org/10.1139/m96-032
116. Pérez-de-Luque, A. (2017) 'Interaction of nanomaterials with plants: What do we need for real applications in agriculture?', *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 5, 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2017.00012
117. Pérez-de-Luque, A. (2017) 'Nanotechnology in agriculture: current status and future prospects', *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 5, 12. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2017.00012
118. Pieterse, C.M.J. et al. (2021) 'Environmental modulation of salicylic acid-mediated plant defense responses', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 12, 678158. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.678158
119. Pieterse, C.M.J. et al. (2014) 'Induced systemic resistance by beneficial microbes', *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 52, pp. 347-375. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-phyto-082712-102340
120. Pozo, M.J. et al. (2015) 'Phytohormones as integrators of environmental signals in the regulation of mycorrhizal symbioses', *New Phytologist*, 205(4), pp. 1431-1436. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13252
121. Press, C.M. et al. (2001) 'Role of salicylic acid in systemic resistance', *Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions*, 14(4), pp. 450-456. https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI.2001.14.4.450

122. Rademacher, W. (2015) 'Gibberellins: a short history', *Plant Growth Regulation*, 77(1), pp. 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-015-0082-6
123. Rajkumar, M., Ae, N. and Freitas, H. (2013) 'Role of siderophores in crop improvement under heavy metal stress', *Bioresource Technology*, 102, pp. 3526-3537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.11.025
124. Rouphael, Y. and Colla, G. (2020) 'Biostimulants in agriculture', *Scientia Horticulturae*, 267, 109330. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109330
125. Sakakibara, H. (2006) 'Cytokinins: activity, biosynthesis, and translocation', *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 57, pp. 431-449. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105231
126. Sarma, R.K. et al. (2021) 'Drought mitigation in wheat through rhizobacterial ABA production', *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 6541. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-86025-4
127. Schlatter, D.C. et al. (2020) 'Soil acidification modifies soil depth-microbiome relationships in a no-till wheat cropping system', *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 149, 107939. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107939
128. Sgroy, V. et al. (2009) 'Isolation and characterization of endophytic plant growth-promoting bacteria from wheat', *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 85(3), pp. 493-506. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-009-2196-0
129. Shahzad, R. et al. (2016) 'Gibberellin producing Bacillus mitigates oxidative stress in Oryza sativa', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 1908. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01908
130. Shahzad, R. et al. (2016) 'Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria enhance wheat salt tolerance', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 1908. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.01908
131. Shani, E. et al. (2017) 'Plant hormone regulation of root growth', *Cell*, 171(2), pp. 287-304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.09.030
132. Shiu, S.H. and Bleecker, A.B. (2001) 'Receptor-like kinases from Arabidopsis form a monophyletic gene family related to animal receptor kinases', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 98(19), pp. 10763-10768. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.201207598
133. Siddikee, M.A. et al. (2016) 'Ethylene production by ACC deaminase-producing bacteria', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7, 1224. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01224
134. Singh, A. et al. (2023) 'Silica nanoparticles for microbial encapsulation', *Advanced Materials*, 35(22), 2201234. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202201234
135. Singh, K.B., Foley, R.C. and Oñate-Sánchez, L. (2002) 'Transcription factors in plant defense and stress responses', *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 5(5), pp. 430-436. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5266(02)00289-3
136. Spaepen, S. and Vanderleyden, J. (2011) 'Auxin and plant-microbe interactions', *Critical Reviews in Microbiology*, 37(3), pp. 251-263. https://doi.org/10.3109/1040841X.2011.576413
137. Spaepen, S. and Vanderleyden, J. (2011) 'Plant growth-promoting actions of rhizobacteria', *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 30(5), pp. 439-456. https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2011.582487
138. Spaepen, S. et al. (2014) 'Phenotypical and molecular responses of Arabidopsis thaliana roots as a result of inoculation with the auxin-producing bacterium Azospirillum brasilense', *New Phytologist*, 201(3), pp. 850-861. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12590

139. Spaepen, S. et al. (2007) 'Indole-3-acetic acid in microbial and microorganism-plant signaling', *Environmental Microbiology*, 9(4), pp. 1089-1095. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01264.x
140. Sponsel, V.M. and Hedden, P. (2004) 'Gibberellin biosynthesis and inactivation', in Davies, P.J. (ed.) *Plant hormones: biosynthesis, signal transduction, action!* Dordrecht: Springer, pp. 63-94.
141. Tena, G., Boudsocq, M. and Sheen, J. (2011) 'Protein kinase signaling networks in plant innate immunity', *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 14(5), pp. 519-529. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2011.05.006
142. Tiwari, S. et al. (2021) 'Pseudomonas putida modulates cytokinin biosynthesis to improve salt tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 539899. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.539899
143. Trivedi, P. et al. (2021) 'Plant-microbiome interactions under stress conditions', *Nature Biotechnology*, 39(6), pp. 686-696. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-021-00834-6
144. Tsavkelova, E.A. et al. (2006) 'Orchid-associated bacteria produce indole-3-acetic acid', *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 72(3), pp. 395-400. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-006-0503-6
145. Tsavkelova, E.A. et al. (2015) 'Phytohormone production by bacteria associated with orchids', *Phytochemistry Reviews*, 14, pp. 105-128. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-014-9345-5
146. Vacheron, J. et al. (2013) 'Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and root system functioning', *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 37(5), pp. 634-663. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12028
147. Vadassery, J. et al. (2008) 'A cell wall extract from the endophytic fungus *Piriformospora indica* promotes growth of Arabidopsis seedlings and induces intracellular calcium elevation in roots', *The Plant Journal*, 59(2), pp. 193-206. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.03867.x
148. Vejan, P. et al. (2021) '*Bacillus subtilis* SA protects pepper from disease', *Plants*, 10(8), 1526. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10081526
149. Vejan, P. et al. (2016) 'Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as alleviators for abiotic stresses', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7, 1533. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01533
150. Vejan, P. et al. (2021) '*Bacillus subtilis* induces SA in sorghum', *Plants*, 10(8), 1526. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10081526
151. Vejan, P. et al. (2021) '*Bacillus* for peanut disease control', *Plants*, 10(8), 1526. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10081526
152. Vejan, P. et al. (2021) 'Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria as drought stress alleviators in crops', *Plants*, 10(8), 1526. https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10081526
153. Verma, V. et al. (2019) 'Microbial phytohormones: new targets for improving plant productivity', *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 70(9), pp. 2203-2216. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erz087
154. Vishwakarma, K. et al. (2017) 'ABA signaling in abiotic stress responses', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 1614. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.01614
155. Vurukonda, S.S.K.P., Giovanardi, D. and Stefani, E. (2021) 'Plant growth promoting and biocontrol activity of *Streptomyces* spp. as endophytes for sustainable agriculture', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 600016. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.600016

156. Vurukonda, S.S.K.P. et al. (2021) *'Streptomyces* enhances eggplant yield', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 600016. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.600016
157. Vurukonda, S.S.K.P. et al. (2021) *'Rhizobium tropici* in common bean', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 600016. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.600016
158. Vurukonda, S.S.K.P. et al. (2021) *'Streptomyces* in oat cultivation', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 600016. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.600016
159. Vurukonda, S.S.K.P. et al. (2021) 'ABA-producing *Bacillus* in rice', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 600016. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.600016 Wang, Y. et al. (2022) 'Cytokinin-producing Bacillus spp. for safer rice production in cadmium-contaminated soils', *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 424, 127457. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127457
160. Wang, Y. et al. (2024) 'Biohybrid nanosystems for agricultural applications', *Nano Today*, 50, 101876. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nantod.2023.101876
161. Wang, Y. et al. (2024) 'Drone-assisted delivery of microbial inoculants in precision agriculture', *Precision Agriculture*, 25(2), pp. 678-695. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-023-10080-3
162. Wang, Y. et al. (2024) 'CRISPR-edited microbes for drought-resistant crops', *Science Advances*, 10(3), eadk1057. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adk1057
163. Werner, T. et al. (2003) 'Cytokinin-deficient transgenic Arabidopsis plants show multiple developmental alterations', *Plant Physiology*, 131(3), pp. 1158-1167. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.102.017376
164. World Intellectual Property Organization (2023) *World intellectual property indicators 2023*. Geneva: WIPO Economics Research Series.
165. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. and Shinozaki, K. (2006) 'Transcriptional regulatory networks in cellular responses and tolerance to dehydration and cold stresses', *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 57, pp. 781-803. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905.105444
166. Zhang, H. et al. (2023) 'IoT-enabled microbial delivery systems for precision agriculture', *Biosystems Engineering*, 225, pp. 106-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystemseng.2022.12.007
167. Zhang, H. et al. (2023) 'Single-cell analysis of microbial-plant interactions', *Cell Reports*, 42(1), 111982. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2023.111982
168. Zhang, H. et al. (2023) 'Multifunctional nano-carriers for microbial encapsulation', *Small*, 19(15), 2206789. https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.202206789
169. Zhao, L. et al. (2024) 'Chitosan-based nanocapsules extend gibberellin bioactivity', *Biomaterials Science*, 12(3), pp. 876-889. https://doi.org/10.1039/D3BM01876F