Print ISSN: 2055-0820(Print)

Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)

# SYNONYMS AND CORPUS ANALYSIS: ON ABOUT AND AROUND

# Muthyala Udaya

Assistant Professor
The English and Foreign Languages University, India

**ABSTRACT:** This paper presents an exploratory corpus study of synonymous prepositions 'about' and 'around' and compares their usage and distribution differences, patterns, and similarities. The data sources are dictionaries that explain the relationship the two synonyms share, situational and contextual usage and the distinct meanings while transforming into other parts of speech. This study demonstrates the affinity between the two words and the immeasurable meanings and semantic relations they share. Finally, a corpus-based analysis of the two words reveals the collocations, frequency and context collocations they significantly occur.

**KEYWORDS**: Language analysis, corpus, dictionary, similarities, distribution

### INTRODUCTION

Dictionaries help in knowing the meanings of each word, its origin, contextual and situation meaning, and the literary meaning. Apart from providing meanings, they help in quick referencing, and more independent learning and synonymy are linguistic features considered one of the most challenging aspects of language, especially for second language learners. It may be perceived as the fundamental concept in lexicology. When meaning relations of words are studied, most researchers tend to prioritise the concepts of synonyms in their investigations (Harley, 2006). Linguists interested in Semantics use this for the relationship of similarity or sameness of meaning between two or more words (Jackson and Amvela, 2000). Many words in English appear very close in meaning to each other. However, linguists and scholars have a widely accepted consensus that a perfect synonym does not exist; namely, no two words can be genuinely identical in their meaning, connotation, frequency, and appropriateness. Liu (2010) argues that synonyms are not entirely identical in meaning; thus, not all synonyms are used interchangeably. Therefore, there are often subtle meaning differences within a pair of synonyms that do not allow us to use them interchangeably. Although two or more words may have similar denotational meanings, the context in which they are used is often not the same. The complexity of synonyms has led to the widely-held belief that "true synonymy" is either rare or does not exist at all (Jackson & Amvela, 2004, p.93). In understanding the complexity of synonyms, dictionaries are the primary tool for language learners.

Print ISSN: 2055-0820(Print)

Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)

## LITERATURE REVIEW

Synonymy, or semantic equivalence, is an essential yet intricate linguistic feature in lexical semantics. Synonyms are not entirely interchangeable; instead, they differ in shades of meaning and vary in their connotations, implications, and register (DiMarco et al., 1993). Any natural-language consists of many synonymous words, especially English, which is rich in synonyms due to historical reasons, enabling English speakers "to convey meanings more precisely and effectively for the right audience and context" (Liu & Espino, 2012, p. 198). It thus comes to no surprise that an essential aspect of English linguistics is to find the proper measures of automatically identifying and extracting synonyms (Peirsman, Geeraerts & Speelman, 2015) and of distinguishing one word from its synonyms or near-synonyms (Hanks, 1996; Biber et al., 1998; Gries, 2001; Xiao & McEnery, 2006; Divjak, 2006; Gries & Otani, 2010; Liu, 2010; Hu & Yang, 2015).

# Corpus approaches to synonymy

The past decades have witnessed significant advances in corpus analysis and synonymy, with the advent of computers with the central idea of corpus semantics. Based on the Brown Corpus, Miller & Charles (1991) find that no two words are judged to be substitutable in the same linguistic context (i.e. the exact location in a sentence), the more synonymous they are in meaning. Church et al. (1994) employ a "lexical substitutability" test in a corpus study of the near-synonyms task for request and demand, which produced the same finding: the substitutability of lexical items in the same linguistic context constitutes a good indicator of their semantic similarity. Gries (2001) quantifies the similarity between English adjectives ending in -ic or -ical (like economic and economical) based on the overlap between their collocations. Gilquin (2003) investigates the difference between the English causative verbs get and have. Glynn (2007) compares intra- and extralinguistic factors in the contexts of hassle, bother and annoy. Gries and Otani (2010) studied the synonyms big, great and large and their antonyms little, small and tiny. Other sets of synonyms that have attracted attention include strong and powerful (Church et al., 1991), absolutely, completely and entirely (Partington, 1998), big, large and great (Biber et al., 1998), quake and quiver (Atkins & Levin, 1995), principal, primary, chief, main and major (Liu, 2010), and actually, genuinely, really, and truly (Liu & Espino, 2012).

Print ISSN: 2055-0820(Print)

Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)

# **Distinguishing Near-Synonyms**

Near-synonyms can be examined through descriptive comparison and quantitative analysis. Collinson (1939) is an example of earlier descriptive studies, which used semantic features to distinguish synonyms. Collinson's list consisted of elements such as 'general/specific applicability,' 'intensity,' 'emotion,' 'moral approbation,' 'professionalism,' 'written/non-written,' 'colloquialism,' 'local/dialect,' and 'child talk.' Some of these (e.g., 'general/specific' and 'written/non-written) are still commonly used, while others (e.g., 'intensity,' 'emotion,' and 'colloquialism') are discussed at the discourse level.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

In conducting this study, *about* and *around* were examined. The data was derived from the three learner's dictionaries. The selected dictionaries are Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English 5th edition, LDOCE (2009), Collins Online Dictionary and Cambridge online dictionary, COD (2016) provided information about meanings, degree of formality, collocations, significant grammatical patterns. In addition to the three dictionaries, the Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA) and Wordnet 3.1 were other significant data sources. The following corpus tools were examined to find the synonyms for 'about' and 'around'

**Wordnet-3.1:** It is an online lexical resource that enables a search for semantically related words and compares the meanings of both verbs with the meanings.

Based on the criteria used in the study, the results of the study are discussed in terms of meanings, degree of formality, collocation and grammatical structures.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Based on BNC, COCA, Wordnet 3.1 and dictionaries, the two prepositions were analysed to find the most frequently used preposition. The results of the search items are as follows:

**Dictionaries:** Dictionaries help understand various meanings of words, expand learners vocabulary and increase awareness of common grammatical errors. Dictionaries carry additional information and allow readers to compare the meanings of two or more words to achieve a more holistic understanding of the vocabulary item. The dictionary definitions on *about* and *around* are nearly close synonyms with multiple features, as is clear from the following data.

Print ISSN: 2055-0820(Print)

Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)

# (LDOCE 2009)

about: concerning or relating to a particular subject

- 1. little more or less than a particular number, amount or size
- 2. be *about* something, not be *about* to do something

#### around:

- 1. moving in a circle, in an area near a place or person
- 2. surrounding, on all sides of something or someone
- 3. all-around: an all-around athlete

# (collinsdictionary.com)

#### about:

- 1. *around*, on all the sides
- 2. on every side, all *around on* the move, in the vicinity

### around:

- 1. in a circle, along a circular course, circumference
- 2. on the move, *about*, existing living
- 3. on the circumference, border, or outer part

# (thesaurus.com, dictionary.com)

#### about:

- 1. of concern, in regard to
- 2. moving *around*, astir, prevalent, in existence
- 3. near in time, number, degree, approximately

#### around:

- 1. in a circle ring or the like, to surround a person, a group, thing
- 2. *about*, on all the sides, encircling, encompassing
- 3. ----

### (COD 2016)

#### about:

- 1. Approximately, almost, all directions
- 2. intending, be *about* to do something
- 3. concerning or relating to a particular subject

#### around:

1. Approximately

Print ISSN: 2055-0820(Print)

Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)

It appears from the above definitions that the words are synonymous and defined in terms of each other.

### **Corpus Analysis**

Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA) is the largest freely-available corpus of English and the only large and balanced corpus of American English. Mark Davis of Brigham Young University created this corpus, and it is used by ten thousand users every month (linguists, teachers, translators, and other researchers). COCA corpus comprises more than 560 million words in 220,225 texts, including 20 million words each year from 1990-2017. The most recent addition of texts (Jan 2016 - Dec 2017) and completed in December 2017. Because of its design, it is perhaps the only corpus suitable for current language changes.

- a. Frequency
- b. Style and text type preference
- c. Collocability

COCA Corpus reveal the following aspects.

- 1. Frequency. *about* is more than twice (2.29 times) more frequent than *around* in COCA Corpus. It has 1560869 occurrences, while around has 379873. *about* is more frequent in the spoken genre and *around* is more frequent in the fiction genre. *about* occurs 2702.34 times per million whereas around occurs 657.67 times per million.
- 2. Text type preference. COCA consists of five genres (Spoken, Fiction, Magazine, News, Academics) with forty-three sub-corpora with different text types. The distribution of two prepositions (*about, around*) in the sub-corpora is as follows:

Print ISSN: 2055-0820(Print)

Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)

Table 1. about

MAGAZIN NEWSPAPE ACADEMI SECTION ALL SPOKEN FICTION 1990-1994 1995-1999 2000-2004 2005-2009 2010-2014 2015-2017  $\mathbf{E}$ R <u>C</u> 1560869 524131 289711 288720 180726 265268 280323 274546 172854 FREQ 277581 280870 287008 WORDS 116.7 117.4 113.0 111.4 102.9 577 111.8 104.0 103.4 102.0 102.9 62.3 (M) PER MIL 2,702.34 4,489.40 2,481.83 2,468.69 2,555.15 1,622.16 2,550.68 2,709.82 2,667.03 2,752.52 2,788.89 2,774.13 SEE ALL SUB-SECTIONS AT ONCE

Shows different genres from COCA corpus and year-wise distribution of the preposition about

Table 1a.

ABC	NBC	CBS	CNN	FOX	MSNBC	PBS	NPR	Indep
77958	42304	69514	113182	55491	3877	41849	100495	19725
15.5	6.3	12.9	20.7	6.3	0.8	6.6	17.4	4.3
5,024.86	6,663.48	5,394.00	5,479.15	8,804.02	4,761.99	4,761.99 6,329.06		4,541.43

Shows the frequency of the word *about* in Spoken sub-corpora

The above columns give the raw frequencies of the words in the spoken sub-corpora, and the rightmost column converts those figures to comparable frequencies per one million words. The table shows that *about* is highly frequent in spoken texts, especially in ABC, NBC, CBS, CNN, FOX, MSNBC, PBS, NPR, Independent. Fox News is more popular with the highest frequency of *about*, and in fiction magazines, newspapers and academic are not highly favoured, whereas fox news is more strongly favoured in the news media with 55491 occurrences covering 6.3% of the whole spoken texts.

Print ISSN: 2055-0820(Print)

Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)

Table 2

SECTION	ALL	<u>SPOKEN</u>	<u>FICTION</u>	MAGAZINE	NEWSPAPER	<u>ACADEMIC</u>	<u>1990-1994</u>	<u>1995-1999</u>	<u>2000-2004</u>	<u>2005-2009</u>	<u>2010-2014</u>	2015-2017
FREQ	379873	74351	136454	78451	61333	29284	64989	67955	68659	68342	68695	41233
WORDS (M)	577	116.7	111.8	117.4	113.0	111.4	104.0	103.4	102.9	102.0	102.9	62.3
PER MIL	657.67	636.85	1,220.03	668.50	542.79	262.85	624.90	656.91	666.98	669.75	667.52	661.75
SEE ALL SUB-												
SECTIONS												
AT ONCE												

Shows the frequency and year-wise distribution of the word <u>around</u>

Table 2a

Gen (Book)	Gen (Jrnl)	SciFi/Fant	Juvenile	Movies	
41462	48768	27980	4161	14179	
24.5	32.1	20.0	3.2	8.9	
1,693.48	1,520.47	1,402.03	1,317.40	1,585.69	

Shows the frequency in fiction sub-corpora of the word *around in* Fiction

The above columns give the raw frequencies of the words in the sub-corpora, and the fiction genre has the highest number of occurrences, i.e., 136454 and 1220.03 per million words. Within the fiction genre, general journals occupy the highest frequency with 48768 frequency, and 32.15 coverage with 1520.47 per million words, *around* occurs in general bools, science fiction, juvenile, movies and general journals.

Two synonymous prepositions, when compared, frequencies vary along with the genres. Spoken and Fiction genres represent a whole set of subject-related words, and the COCA corpus provides a comprehensive representation of these two words, which helps distinguish the meaning clearly.

1. Collocability: COCA corpus provides a facility through which the most significant

Print ISSN: 2055-0820(Print)

# Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)

collocates of any word in the corpus can be discovered. Four words on either side of a word are seen as its collocates. T-score calculations indicate their significance and sort the words accordingly. The most significant collocates of *about* and *around* are given below.

<u>about</u>				•	<u>around</u>				
Collocation	FREQ	All	%	MI	Collocation	FREQ	All	%	MI
talk	79990	185391	43.15	4.30	world	21516	408426	5.27	3.31
talking	75445	130859	57.65	4.72	turn	7809	125174	6.24	3.55
talked	27069	53666	50.44	4.53	turned	6188	142152	4.35	3.03
thinking	22696	94784	23.94	3.45	neck	5404	34558	15.64	4.88
worry	18216	38124	47.78	4.45	arms	5005	66011	7.58	3.83
concerned	15811	47024	33.62	3.94	corner	4630	40700	11.38	4.42
worried	15095	31331	48.18	4.46	wrapped	4240	14980	28.30	5.73
talks	7959	27330	29.12	3.73	arm	4027	51846	7.77	3.87
concerns	7764	39924	19.45	3.15	walk	3067	67240	4.56	3.10
excited	4965	18594	26.70	3.61	walking	2908	46375	6.27	3.56
worrying	3334	5555	60.02	4.78	globe	2663	11263	23.64	5.47
cared	2904	8099	35.86	4.03	waist	2044	8676	23.56	5.47
complain	2702	8040	33.61	3.94	stick	1806	26197	6.89	3.69
cares	2663	6775	39.31	4.17	wrap	1668	10002	16.68	4.97
doubts	2490	7316	34.03	3.96	hanging	1616	21578	7.49	3.81
complained	2326	9854	23.60	3.43	gathered	1548	19184	8.07	3.92
worries	2196	8171	26.88	3.62	circle	1513	25001	6.05	3.51
complaints	2134	12025	17.75	3.02	shoulders	1498	25126	5.96	3.48
complaining	2032	5659	35.91	4.04	edges	1364	11618	11.74	4.46
passionate	1762	6658	26.46	3.60	hang	1292	20013	6.46	3.60

Print ISSN: 2055-0820(Print)

						Onlir	ne ISSN: 20	055-0839(	Online)
assumptions	1741	8282	21.02	3.26					
•					clock	1124	15760	7.13	3.74
optimistic	1584	8785	18.03	3.04	glanced	1104	15098	7.31	3.78
lied	1441	6963	20.70	3.24	spun	1101	5481	20.09	5.24
enthusiastic	1396	5482	25.47	3.54	revolves	1038	1172	88.57	7.38
educate	1189	5886	20.20	3.21	circles	808	11097	7.28	3.77
knowledgeable	940	3441	27.32	3.64	centred	800	6056	13.21	4.63
scepticism	743	4035	18.41	3.07	scattered	791	10125	7.81	3.87
fuss	610	2677	22.79	3.38	floating	777	9578	8.11	3.93
joked	583	2959	19.70	3.17	revolved	772	886	87.13	7.35
brag	576	1140	50.53	4.53	revolve	769	899	85.54	7.33
educating	564	3105	18.16	3.05	wraps	748	2838	26.36	5.63
inquire	555	1533	36.20	4.05	wrapping	714	3364	21.22	5.32
qualms	530	845	62.72	4.84	swung	705	7295	9.66	4.18
ambivalent	503	1748	28.78	3.72	wandering	701	4555	15.39	4.85
misconceptions	479	1374	34.86	3.99	wanaering bend	694	10025	6.92	3.70
inquired	423	1618	26.14	3.58					4.91
adamant	423	1657	25.53	3.54	perimeter	682	4254	16.03	
bragging	417	1340	31.12	3.83	orbit	658	7911	8.32	3.96
complains	417	1957	21.31	3.28	gather	583	13314	4.38	3.04
bragged	411	881	46.65	4.41	swirling	554	3052	18.15	5.09
pessimistic	392	1907	20.56	3.23	tightly	528	9079	5.82	3.45
illusions	384	1939	19.80	3.18	halfway	518	9813	5.28	3.31
					ankles	486	3665	13.26	4.64
ambivalence	374	1912	19.56	3.16	fooling	474	1292	36.69	6.11
misgivings	360	1008	35.71	4.03	rope	470	9580	4.91	3.20
fantasised	299	541	55.27	4.66	twisted	455	8736	5.21	3.29
apprehensive	290	1049	27.65	3.66	influential	442	9020	4.90	3.20
fantasise	284	492	57.72	4.72	swirled	433	1647	26.29	5.62
inferences	280	1304	21.47	3.29	curled	431	4989	8.64	4.02

Print ISSN: 2055-0820(Print)

						Onlir	ne ISSN: 2	055-0839	Online)
raved									,
	258	443	58.24	4.73	clustered	420	2027	20.72	5.28
ado	251	535	46.92	4.42	scarf	413	4020	10.27	4.27

The table shows that the typical first 50 collocates of *abou*t and *around* are in varying proportions with adverbs, adjectives, pronouns, prepositions, and nouns. The MI score reveals the collocational strength of the two words, and the collocates with higher the MI score, a stronger link exists between the two items. The MI scores were closer to 0. It is more likely that the two items cooccur by chance. Hunston (2002: 71) proposed that an MI score of 3 or higher be taken as evidence that two items are collocates. According to Hunston (2002: 72), collocational strength is not always reliable in identifying meaningful collocations. We also need to know the amount of evidence available for collocations. Collocations with high MI scores include low-frequency words, whereas those with high t-scores tend to show high-frequency pairs. Church, Hanks and Moon (1994) suggest that intersecting the two measures and looking at pairs with high scores in both measures reveals collocability.

#### Wordnet-3.1

It is an online lexical resource that enables a search for semantically related words and compares the meanings of both verbs with the meanings.

Based on the criteria used in the study, the results of the study are discussed in terms of meanings, degree of formality, collocation and grammatical structures.

about:

# Adjective

• <u>S:</u> (adj) about, <u>astir</u> (on the move) "up and about"; "the whole town was astir over the incident"

#### Adverb

• (55)<u>S</u>: (adv) <u>approximately</u>, *about*, <u>close to</u>, <u>just about</u>, <u>some</u>, <u>roughly</u>, less, *around*, <u>or</u> <u>so</u> ((of quantities) imprecise but fairly close to correct) "lasted approximately an hour";

Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)

"in just about a minute"; "he is about 30 years old"; "I have had about all I can stand"; "we meet about once a month"; "some forty people came"; "weighs around a hundred pounds"; "roughly \$3,000"; "holds 3 gallons, more or less"; "20 or so people were at the party"

- (10)<u>S</u>: (adv) *about*, <u>around</u> (all around or on all sides) "dirty clothes lying around (or about)"; "let us look about for help"; "Trees were growing all around"; "she looked around her"
- (5)<u>S:</u> (adv) *about*, <u>around</u> (in the area or vicinity) "a few spectators standing about"; "hanging around"; "waited around for the next flight."
- (3)<u>S</u>: (adv) *about*, <u>around</u> (used of movement to or among many different places or in no particular direction) "wandering about with no place to go"; "people were rushing about"; "news gets around (or about)"; "travelled around in Asia"; "he needs advice from someone who has been around"; "she sleeps around"
- (3)<u>S</u>: (adv) *about*, <u>around</u> (in or to a reversed position or direction) "about face"; "suddenly she turned around"
- (2)S: (adv) *about* (in rotation or succession) "turn about is fair play"
- (1)S: (adv) about, almost, most, nearly, near, nigh, virtually, well-nigh ((of actions or states) slightly short of or not quite accomplished; all but) "the job is (just) about done"; "the baby was almost asleep when the alarm sounded"; "we're almost finished"; "the car all but ran her down"; "he nearly fainted"; "talked for nigh onto 2 hours"; "the recording is well-nigh perfect"; "virtually all the parties signed the contract"; "I was near exhausted by the run"; "most everyone agrees"
- The above information for the word *about* shows the frequency, mostly used as an adjective and an adverb. One very striking difference is that '*about*' when used as an adjective, is least frequent. The frequency varies according to the contextual meanings such as, *to*, *just*

Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)

about, some, roughly, more or less, around or so. In terms of frequency, about occurs 55 times which is highest among all the meanings. The second-highest rank is 'all around', which carries a frequency of 10. Whereas the rest of the meanings like 'in area or vicinity, 'used of movement', 'to reversed position', 'in a rotation' and almost, most nearly, near, nigh, virtually, well night carry the frequency 5, 3, 3, 2, 1, 1 respectively.

around:

Adverb

- 26 <u>S</u>: (adv) <u>about</u>, around (in the area or vicinity) "a few spectators standing about"; "hanging around"; "waited around for the next flight."
- 13 <u>S</u>: (adv) *around* (by a circular or circuitous route) "He came all the way around the base"; "the road goes around the pond."
- 12 <u>S:</u> (adv) <u>about</u>, around (used of movement to or among many different places or in no particular direction) "wandering about with no place to go"; "people were rushing about"; "news gets around (or about)"; "travelled around in Asia"; "he needs advice from someone who has been around"; "she sleeps around."
- 6 <u>S:</u> (adv) *around* (in a circle or circular motion) "The wheels are spinning around."
- 2 <u>S</u>: (adv) <u>approximately</u>, <u>about</u>, <u>close to</u>, turn <u>about</u>, <u>some</u>, <u>roughly</u>, <u>more or less</u>, around, <u>or so</u> ((of quantities) imprecise but fairly close to correct) "lasted approximately an hour"; "in just about a minute"; "he is about 30 years old"; "I have had about all I can stand"; "we meet about once a month"; "some forty people came"; "weighs around a hundred pounds"; "roughly \$3,000"; "holds 3 gallons, more or less"; "20 or so people were at the party"
- 1 <u>S:</u> (adv) <u>about</u>, *around* (in or to a reversed position or direction) "about face";

Print ISSN: 2055-0820(Print)

Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)

"suddenly she turned around."


- 1 <u>S:</u> (adv) *around* (to a particular destination either specified or understood) "she came around to see me"; "I invited them around for supper"
- 1 <u>S:</u> (adv) <u>about</u>, around (all around or on all sides) "dirty clothes lying around (or about)"; "let's look about for help"; "There were trees growing all around"; "she looked around her"
- 1 <u>S:</u> (adv) around (in circumference) "the trunk is ten feet around"; "the pond is two miles around"
- <u>S:</u> (adv) <u>round</u>, *around* (from beginning to end; throughout) "It rains all year round on Skye"; "frigid weather the year around"

The data extracted from WordNet-3.1 shows the frequency with the sentences in context. The word 'around' comes with multiple meanings when used in different contexts. The most frequent meaning is 'in the area or vicinity, and it is used 26 times in terms of frequency. The second meanings that are frequent (13, 12) in terms of meanings are 'by a circular or circuitous route' and 'used of movement to or among many different places or in no particular direction'. The frequency of the meaning comes as 6 which are 'in a circular' or 'circular motion' and this is four times less than in the area or vicinity. The rest of the meanings are a reversed position, a particular destination, all around and from beginning to end are counted in terms of frequency (1, 1, 1, 1) respectively. Some notable instances of


Key Words in Contexts (KWIC) and their associations are as follows:

Print ISSN: 2055-0820(Print)

Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)



Selected concordance lines showing adjective choices for about



Selected concordance lines showing adjective choices for around

As we can see, *about* is typically followed by manner adverbs, time adverbs and sentence adverbs, whereas *around* nearly typically occurs in the construction adj + around + article + noun. There is thus a qualitative as well as a quantitative difference between *about* and *around* 

### **CONCLUSIONS**

The study examined the relationship between two synonyms 'about' and 'around' as prepositions,

Print ISSN: 2055-0820(Print)

Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)

adverbs and adjectives. Web-based corpus COCA, BNC, Compleat Lexical Tutor and WordNet -3.1, and four online dictionaries were considered for analysis to get desired results. The differences found between about and around are: about is much more frequent than around and is therefore likely to be less specialised than the latter; about occurs more in spoken news than in books of personal styles of writing, whereas around is a preferred word in the fiction genre and academic journals. Neither of them is used much in the academic language; their collocations distinguish the two words sharply, about is characteristically followed by pronouns, adjectives, nouns, articles and around is followed by the article(the) and seems apt for phrasal verbs and preceded by adjectives. In language teaching, corpus helps investigate the multiple meanings necessary for both second language and first language learners. Wordnet 3.1 offers detailed understanding of the levels of meanings for 'about' and 'around' in terms of contextual positions they hold. It is commonly found that 'about' and 'around' are closely associated with their functions. Multiple meanings cannot be elucidated without the help of each other. It is proved that around is used to explain the meanings of about, in the same way about is used to explain around. In many aspects, these synonyms hold near meanings and assume the positions of adjectives, adverbs and prepositions.

#### References

- Allen, R.E. (ed.). (1990). *The Concise Oxford Dictionary of the English Language*. 8th ed. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Atkins, B.T.S., & Levin, B. (1995). Building on a corpus: A linguistic and lexicographical look at some near-synonyms. *International Journal of Lexicography* 8 (2): 85–114.
- Biber, D., Conrad,S., & Reppen.R (1998). *Corpus linguistics: Investigating language structure and use*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Chrysanne, D., Graeme, H., & Manfred, S. (1993). *The semantic and stylistic differentiation of synonyms and near-synonyms*. AAAI Spring Symposium on Building Lexicons for Machine Translation, 114–121.
- Church, K.W., Gale, W., Hanks, P., & Hindle, D. (1991). Using statistics in lexical analysis. In U. Zernik (ed.). *Lexical acquisition: Exploiting online resources to build a lexicon*, 115–164. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Church, K.W., Gale, W., Hanks, P., Hindle, D., & Moon, R. (1994). Lexical substitutability. In B.T.S. Atkins and A. Zampolli (eds.). *Computational approaches to the lexicon*, pp.153–177. Oxford and New York: Oxford University Press

Cobuild on CD-ROM. (1995). Glasgow: Harper Collins Publ.

Collins Cobuild E-Dict. (1998). Glasgow: Harper Collins Publ.

Collins English Dictionary and Thesaurus. (1993). Glasgow: Harper Collins Publ.

Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)

- Collins Online Dictionary and Cambridge online dictionary, COD (2016)
- Collinson, W. E. (1939). Comparative synonyms: Some principles and illustrations. *Transactions of the Philological Society*, 38 (1), pp. 54-77.
- Divjak, D. (2006). Ways of intending: A corpus-based cognitive linguistic approach to near-synonyms in Russian. In St. Th. Gries, & A. Stefanowitsch (Eds.), *Corpora in Cognitive Linguistics: Corpus-based approaches to syntax and lexis*. pp. 19–56. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter
- Gilquin, G. (2003). Causative 'get' and 'have': So close, so different. *Journal of English Linguistics*, 31(2), 125-148. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0075424203031002002
- Glynn, D. (2007). *Mapping meaning. Towards a usage-based methodology in Cognitive Semantics*. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Leuven, Leuven, Belgium.
- Glynn, D. (2010). Synonymy, Fields, and Frames. Developing usage-based methodology for Cognitive Semantics. *Cognitive Foundations of Linguistic Usage Patterns*, H.J. Schmid & S. Handl (eds). pp. 89-118. Berlin: Mouton
- Glynn, D. (2014). Polysemy and synonymy: Cognitive theory and corpus method. *In Corpus Methods for Semantics. Quantitative Studies in Polysemy and Synonymy*, edited by Dylan Glynn and Justyna A. Robinson, *7–38*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins. DOI: 10.1075/hcp.43.01gly.
- Gries, S. Th. (2001). A corpus linguistic analysis of English -ic vs -ical adjectives. ICAME Journal, 25, pp. 65–108.
- Gries, S. Th., & Otani, N. (2010). Behavioural profiles: A corpus-based perspective on synonymy and antonymy. *ICAME Journal*, *34*, 121-150.
- Hanks, P. (1996). Contextual dependency and lexical sets. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics 1* (1): 75–98.
- Harley, H. (2006). English words: A linguistic introduction. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Hornby, A.S. (2015). Oxford Advanced Learner's
  - Dictionary. Oxford: England, Oxford University Press(9th ed)
- Hu, C.Y., & Yang, B. (2015). Using sketch engine to investigate synonymous verbs. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, Vol. 5, No.4 pp. 29-41
- Hunston, S. (2002). Corpora in Applied Linguistics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Jackson, H., & Amvela, Z. E. (2004). Words, Meaning, and Vocabulary. London: Continuum Jackson, H., & E. Ze Amvela, Z.E. (2000). Words, Meaning and Vocabulary: An Introduction to Modern English Lexicology. London and New York: Cassell.
- LDOCE = Summers, Della (ed.). 1995. Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English. 3rd ed. Harlow, Essex: Longman.
- Liu, D. (2010). Is it chief, main, major, primary, or principal concern? A corpus-based behavioural profile study of the near-synonyms. *International Journal of Corpus*

Online ISSN: 2055-0839(Online)

Linguistics, 15, 56-87.

- Liu, D., & Espino, M. (2012). Actually, Genuinely, Really, and Truly: A corpus-based Behavioral Profile study of near-synonymous adverbs. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 17(2), 198-228. http://dx.doi.org/10.1075/ijcl.17.2.03liu
- Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English (Fifth edition). (2009). Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.
- Miller, G. A., & Charles, W.G. (1991). Contextual correlates of semantic similarity. *Language* and Cognitive Processes 6 (1): 1–28.
- Oxford Dictionary Online. (2015). Retrieved from http://www.oxforddictionaries.com Oxford English Dictionary on CD-ROM. (1994). Version 1.15, 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Partington, A. (1998). *Patterns and meanings: Using corpora for English language research and teaching*. Amsterdam and Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Paul, P. (ed.). 1995. Cambridge International Dictionary of English. Cambridge University Press.
- Pearsall, J(ed.). (1998). The New Oxford Dictionary of English. Oxford: Clarendon.
- Peirsman, Y., Geeraerts, D., & Speelman, D. (2015). The corpus-based identification of cross-lectal synonyms in pluricentric languages. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 20(1), 54-80. http://dx.doi.org/10.1075/ijcl.20.1.03pei
- Sinclair, J. (2001). Collins COBUILD English Dictionary for Advanced Learners. 3rd ed. Glasgow: HarperCollins.
- Xiao, R., & McEnery, T. (2006). Collocation, semantic prosody, and near synonymy: A cross-linguistic perspective. *Applied Linguistics*, *27*(1), 103-129.
- Yeh, Y., Liou, H., & LI, Y. (2007). Online synonym materials and concordancing for EFL college writing. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 20(2), 131-152

www.thesaurus.com

www.dictionary.com