International Journal of Environment and Pollution Research
Vol.10, No.1 pp.45-55, 2022
Print ISSN: 2056-7537(print),
Online ISSN: 2056-7545(online)

Evaluation of Ambient Air Quality at Nekede and Naze Dumpsites, Imo State, South East Nigeria

Okere, J.K*., Abu, G.O., Azorji, J.N., Nwachukwu, M.O., Igwe, C.E., and Nzenwa, P.O
Department of Chemical Sciences, Hezekiah University, Umudi, Imo State Nigeria
Department Of Microbiology, University Of Portharcourt, Rivers State Nigeria
Department of Biological Sciences, Hezekiah University Umudi, Imo State Nigeria
Department Of Biology, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Imo State Nigeria.
Department of animal and Environmental biology, Imo state university Owerri,

ABSTRACT: *Environmental pollution is one potential consequence of lack of proper management of municipal solid waste. The study was carried out to evaluate on-site air quality at Nekede and Naze dumpsites with respect to dry and wet seasons. Samples were measured at six (6) sampling points within and around the field using a series of calibrated hand held air quality monitoring equipment. At each sampling point, nine (9) air quality parameters (particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}) Hydrogen sulphide (H_2S), Ammonia (NH_3), Sulphur dioxide (SO_2), Methane (CH_4), Carbon dioxide (CO_2), Carbon monoxide (CO) and Nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) were measured.Results showed that $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} were detected in all stations of both dumpsites in both seasons. The highest values for all parameters measured were at the dumpsites except for CO which increased as distance progressed off the dumpsite. The CO ranged 0.42-0.94ppm at Nekede dumpsite and 0.20-1.12 ppm at Naze dumpsite during the dry season with the lowest values measured at station NKAQ1 and NZAQ1 with corresponding values of 0.42 and 0.20 ppm. CH_4 was less than 0.01 ppm at NZAQ3 in both seasons under study. All parameters measured were higher in Nekede area than Naze except for NH_3 which ranged 0.01- 0.15 ppm and 0.02-0.17 ppm respectively for both seasons. Generally NKAQ3 and NZAQ3 which all served as control stations had the lowest concentration of all parameters measured but otherwise for CO. Result further revealed that all parameters except CO exceeded the concentration values stipulated by USEPA and WHO, implying serious health implications in the study area. Results from this study calls for proper waste management system to ameliorate air pollution in the study area.*

KEYWORDS: air quality, pollution, environment, Imo State.

INTRODUCTION

Unguarded disposal of municipal solid waste is a serious environmental concern in most developing nations such as Nigeria (Ibe *et al*., 2021). Over the years, there has been an upsurge in lack of proper waste management and disposal system in Imo State. This has been attributed to increasing population, rapid urbanization, industrialization, and lax environmental laws (Okere *et al*., 2021; Okere *et al*. 2018). The commonest waste disposal system in the state entails collection and dumping out of the city boundaries in open excavated waste dumps (Arukwe *et al*., 2012) which creates a plethora of waste dumpsites in many parts of the city (Ikem *et al*., 2002). Sadly, inadequate funding leaves the government agencies saddled with the responsibility of disposing these wastes with the option of catering for the

@ECRTD-UK: https://www.eajournals.org/
Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

transportation and subsequent disposal of these wastes to their designated dumpsites with huge and unattended waste streams (Angaye and Abowei, 2018). These waste dumpsites have been reported as the major breeding sites for microorganisms and other disease vectors like rodents, which eventually puts the health of the inhabitants at risk (Ibe, *et al*., 2021; Adewuyi and Opasina 2010); and a major agent of air pollution. Consequently, attempt to reduce these piles of waste streams could result in their *in situ* burning, which emits toxic gases and suspended particulates that interfere with ambient air quality (Angaye and Abowei, 2018). The offensive odour emanating from unguarded burning of these wastes is a major source of air pollution with its accompanying health issues (Njoku *et al*. 2016; Opara, *et al*. 2016; Beelen *et al.* 2014).

Atmospheric pollution is one of the most challenging environmental issues that has attracted much research attention in recent times (Ibe, *et al*., 2016). It is a condition in which certain substances, which include gases (sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxides, hydrocarbons, etc.), particulates (smoke, dust, fumes, aerosols, etc), radioactive materials and others are present in high concentrations that could result in undesirable effects to man and the environment (Rai *et al*., 2011). It can be as a result of natural or anthropogenic activities in the environment, as such ambient air quality of any place is usually determined by the extent of pollution (Ubouh *et al*., 2016; Agwu *et al*., 2013).

Air pollution is not a new phenomenon, yet it remains one of the world's greatest problems facing humanity, and the leading environmental cause of morbidity and mortality. Both developed and developing nations share this burden together, though awareness and stricter laws in developed countries have contributed to a larger extent in protecting their environment. Despite the global attention towards air pollution, the impact is still being felt due to its severe long-term consequences. A compelling reason for controlling air pollutants, such as suspended particulate matter (SPM) and sulphur dioxide (SO_2) is their damaging effect on human health. Exposure to high levels of air pollution can cause a variety of adverse health outcomes. It increases the risk of respiratory infections, heart disease and lung cancer. Both short- and long-term exposure to air pollutants have been associated with health impacts. Among the extreme air pollution related effects include high blood pressure and cardiovascular problems. WHO identified SPM as the most sinister in terms of its effects on human health (Sing *et al*., 2009).

Air pollution is believed to kill more people worldwide than AIDS, malaria, breast cancer, or tuberculosis (WHO, 2014). Airborne particulate matter (PM) is especially detrimental to health (Beelen *et al*., 2014), and has previously been estimated to cause between 3 and 7 million deaths every year, primarily by creating or worsening cardio-respiratory disease (Hoek *et al*., 2013). Previous studies have established that, 80% of untimely mortality associated to air pollution results to heart disease and stroke, while 14% results to chronic obtrusive pulmonary disease or acute respiratory infections, and 6% results to lung cancer (Ubouh, 2016). According to Agwu (2013), ambient air quality has been further impacted by piles of unattended waste all over some cities for days and even weeks. These wastes are transformed biologically by microbes or chemically by burning thereby emitting toxic components to all forms of biota.

The air quality of a particular locality is a function of how the people live and breathe (Ayuba *et al*., 2013). Air quality, like weather could change daily or even hourly, hence the need to make information regarding the air quality of an area available is imperative for air quality evaluation and forecast (Ezekwe *et al*., 2016). Evaluation of air quality is necessary in view of its importance in determining level of population exposure to atmospheric pollution, which may cause unpleasant health conditions depending on the type of pollutant (Rim-Rukeh, 2014); its degree of occurrence, length of time, rate of exposure; and the toxic level of the air pollutants in question (Sanjay, 2008). This has become imperative to carry out at intervals owing to increase in population, industrialization, urbanization and paucity of air quality reports in Imo State (Ibe *et al*., 2016). Although, several research works have been carried out in assessing air quality in the State, but there seems to be a paucity of information on full scale monitoring considering the contribution of pollutants from dumpsite areas. It is against this background that this study was carried out to evaluate ambient air quality at selected municipal dumpsites in Imo state, Nigeria.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling Sites

Two (2) municipal solid waste (MSW) dump locations, an operational and the other non-operational were chosen for the study. Georeferencing at each dumpsite sampling point during the data collection was achieved using hand held Garmin Global Positioning System (GPS) V, (model CZ 99052-20).

Sampling Procedures

The Air quality and meteorological data acquisition activities were measured at six (6) sampling points (including the control points) within and around the field using a series of calibrated hand held air quality monitoring equipment. At each sampling point, nine (9) air quality parameters: particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}) Hydrogen sulphide (H_2S), Ammonia (NH_3),Sulphur dioxide (SO_2), Methane (CH_4), Carbon dioxide (CO_2), Carbon monoxide (CO) and Nitrogen dioxide (NO_2), and four (4) meteorological parameters; wind velocity, temperature, wind direction and relative humidity were measured. To determine particulate matter, AEROCET 831, model 80865-1 V2.0.0 was used. AEROCET 831 which has an accuracy of ±10%, calculates a volume for each detected particle, then assigns a standard density for the conversion. The standard density value is augmented by the K-Factor setting to improve measurement accuracy. The monitor uses light scatter to measure individual particles instead of clouds like other monitors (Rim-Rukeh, 2014). The particle information is then grouped into size ranges and converted to mass concentration over 4 minutes at a flow rate of 2.83 L/min, into measuring ranges of 0.5-0.3µm. Levels of H_2S, NH_3, CO, CO_2, SO_2, CH_4 and NO_2 were measured using Industrial Scientific Corporation ITX Multi-Gas monitors. Measurements were done by holding the sensor to a height of about 2 meters in the direction of the prevailing wind and readings recorded at stability.

Meteorological data of temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and wind direction were measured using automated multiparameter handheld Kestrel 4200. The units of readings were adjusted. Its reading accuracy is ± 3%. To avoid inaccurate readings especially for humidity, measurements were taken avoiding direct sunlight, which could heat the air inside the humidity sensor enclosure and cause wrong data. The Kestrel 4200 unit which simultaneously show 3 current measurements was held into the wind

@ECRTD-UK: https://www.eajournals.org/
Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

at a height of about 2 metres. The study was done between 2015 and 2016, during the dry and rainy season respectively.

RESULTS

The dry and rainy seasons' air quality results of Nekede and Naze dumpsites are displayed in Tables 1 and 2. $PM_{2.5}$and PM_{10} were detected in all stations of both dumpsites in both seasons. The highest values for all parameters measured were at the dumpsites except for CO which increased as distance progressed off the dumpsite. The CO ranged 0.42-0.94 ppm at Nekede dumpsite and 0.20-1.12 ppm at Naze dumpsite during the dry season with the lowest values measured at station NKAQ1 and NZAQ1, with corresponding values of 0.42 and 0.20 ppm. CH_4 was less than 0.01 ppm at NZAQ3 in both seasons under study. All parameters measured were higher in Nekede area than Naze except for NH_3 which ranged 0.01- 0.15 ppm and 0.02-0.17 ppm respectively for both seasons as depicted in figures 1 and 2. Generally NKAQ3 and NZAQ3 which all served as control stations had the lowest concentration of all parameters measured but otherwise for CO. The relative humidity at Nekede area ranged 42.50-84.10% while at Naze area, it spanned 45.96-83.80%.

Table 1: Dry season Air Quality results at Nekede and Naze dumpsite areas

	AIR QUALITY MONITORING AT NEKEDE AREA			AIR QUALITY MONITORING AT NAZE AREA		
SAMPLING ID:	NKAQ 1	NKAQ2	NKAQ 3	NZAQ 1	NZAQ 2	NZAQ 3
GPs :	N05.46527 E07.02983	N05.46549 E07.02989	N05.46304 E07.02871	N05.46848 E07.04152	N05.465896 E07.04138	N05.47168 E07.04167
$PM_{2.5}$(ppm)	0.66	0.43	0.19	0.29	0.33	0.05
PM_{10} (ppm)	3.91	2.12	1.09	1.83	2.11	1.31
NO_2 (ppm)	0.18	0.14	0.09	0.10	0.06	0.02
SO_2 (ppm)	0.21	0.16	0.07	0.12	0.05	0.04
H_2S (ppm)	1.37	0.79	0.46	0.60	0.35	0.30
CO (ppm)	0.42	0.71	0.94	0.20	0.29	1.12
CH_4 (ppm)	0.29	0.14	0.06	0.08	0.02	<0.01
NH_3 (ppm)	0.15	0.11	0.04	0.17	0.13	0.06
CO_2 (ppm)	0.88	0.67	0.39	0.37	0.21	0.09
Meteorological Parameters						
Wind Velocity (m/s)	0.70	0.90	1.20	1.20	1.00	1.80
Wind direction	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW
Temperature °C	30.70	29.40	29.90	28.90	29.10	29.20
Relative Humidity (%)	65.70	78.50	42.50	56.40	69.50	45.96

Note: NKAQ1, NKAQ2, NKAQ3=Nekede air quality sample station 1, 2, 3 respectively while, NZAQ1,NZAQ2 and NZAQ3 = Naze dumpsite air quality sample station 1, 2 and 3 respectively.

Table 2: Rainy season Air Quality results at Nekede and Naze dumpsite areas

	AIR QUALITY MONITORING AT NEKEDE AREA			AIR QUALITY MONITORING AT NAZE AREA		
SAMPLING ID:	NKAQ 1	NKAQ2	NKAQ 3	NZAQ 1	NZAQ 2	NZAQ 3
GPs :	N05.46527 E07.02983	N05.46549 E07.02989	N05.46304 E07.02871	N05.46848 E07.04152	N05.465896 E07.04138	N05.47168 E07.04167
$PM_{2.5}$ (ppm)	0.28	0.18	0.07	0.11	0.15	0.02
PM_{10}(ppm)	1.38	0.94	0.43	0.76	0.80	0.58
NO_2 (ppm)	0.08	0.05	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.01
SO_2(ppm)	0.21	0.16	0.07	0.05	0.02	<0.01
H_2S (ppm)	0.62	0.40	0.19	0.27	0.14	0.09
CO(ppm)	0.19	0.32	0.43	0.07	0.13	0.44
CH_4(ppm)	0.13	0.06	0.02	0.05	0.01	<0.01
NH_3(ppm)	0.08	0.04	0.01	0.09	0.06	0.02
CO_2(ppm)	0.39	0.24	0.16	0.14	0.09	0.04
Meteorological Parameters						
Wind Velocity (m/s)	2.70	1.90	1.20	3.20	2.0	1.8
Wind direction	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW
Temperature ºC	27.80	27.40	26.90	27.30	28.10	26.90
Relative Humidity (%)	84.10	83.50	84.00	82.40	80.90	83.80

Note: NKAQ1, NKAQ2, NKAQ3=Nekede air quality sample station 1, 2, 3 respectively while, NZAQ1, NZAQ2 and NZAQ3 = Naze dumpsite air quality sample station 1, 2 and 3 respectively.



Figure 1: Mean seasonal concentration of air quality parameters at Nekede dumpsite



Figure 2: Mean seasonal concentration of air quality parameters at Naze dumpsite.

DISCUSSION

Generally, the concentrations of the pollutants were observed to attenuate as distance increased away from the dumpsite. This decreased concentration of the air pollutants away from the dumpsite could be due to the impact of distance as well as temperature disparity on concentration of pollutants off their regional origin (Ubouh, Nnawuike and Ikwa, 2016). There was inconsistency of the values obtained for $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} as distance increased away from the Naze dumpsite as compared to the Nekede dumpsite. While the control point of Naze (NZAQ3) was higher than preceding station NZAQ2, the Nekede control station (NKAQ3) was lesser than the preceding station NKAQ2. The sawmill located about 250 metres from the Naze dumpsite could have enhanced the concentration of the particulate matter. The general higher level concentration of measured parameters during the dry season as compared with the rainy regime is consistent with study by Pathak and Kushwaha (2012) and Angaye andAbowei (2017). In the study of ambient air quality of MSW dumpsite in a district in India by Pathak and Kushwaha (2012), about 50% lesser variation values for SO_2, NO_2, PM for the rainy season values as compared to the concentration in the dry season was observed. The high frequency of rainfall could clear up most air borne pollutants spawn and discharged from the parent source (Pathak and Kushwaha, 2012).The dry season is generally a serene period in terms of air pollutants dispersal than the rainy regime, which enhances facilitation of further constancy to atmosphere and therefore sluggish dispersal of resultant pollutants occurs, entrapping or concentrating of most ambient air pollutants close to ground level (CPCB, 2003; Singh *et al*., 2006; Kushwaha *et al*., 2008; Pathak and Kushwaha, 2012). Nevertheless, the swell of CO concentration off the dumpsite may be because of high vehicular traffic on the Nekede road which is about 300m from the waste dumpsite. Ubouh *et al. (*2015) asserts same, so emissions from the vehicles could raise the CO concentration level near the road. Thus with higher vehicular traffic around the Naze dumpsite as two parallel road exist (the Akachi road and the Aba road) as compared to just a road (Nekede) around the Nekede dumpsite, that could explain the higher increase in the CO around the Naze dumpsite as distance increased away from the dumpsite as against the Nekede dumpsite.

The higher level of PM_{10} ascertained at the dumpsite was due to waste degradation and aging (Uba, 2015). The concentration levels for all parameters except for NH_3 were higher in both seasons at Nekede dumpsite. According to Okafor *et al.* (2009) and Uba (2015), atmospheric NH_3 sources are animal waste, humus ammonification, including soil emissions, leaching from ammonia benched fertilizers and emissions from the industries. It was observed that major agricultural activities go on around the Naze dumpsites with high application of ammonia based fertilizers which could be responsible for the higher concentration level of NH_3 than around the Nekede dumpsite. Values obtained in this study were lower than values obtained by Rim-Rukeh (2014), however, except for CO, other parameters concentration were above permissible limit as prescribed by WHO (2005) and USEPA (2012). The results of this study align with that of Hassan and Abdullahi (2012), Agwu and Azeh (2013) and Ubouh *et al*. (2016).

Meteorological factors such as temperature, wind speed, and relative humidity have important impact in pollutant concentration. Increased temperature which has an indirect relationship with relative humidity (Markintosh, 2001) could have enhanced poor air quality as seen during dry season, where the average temperature was higher than the rainy regime. Wind speed has been reported to have inverse relationship with pollutants as could be seen from Table 1 and 2. Wind speed is a key parameter that affect dispersion of pollutants (Verma and Desai, 2008). Hence with the poor wind speed in the area, pollutants accumulation could be aided.

So, there is concern about the adverse impact of these resulting concentration levels of pollutants. High level of NH_3 in the air could cause respiratory tract damage, impact on the eyes as well as increased corrosive impact on the mucous membrane (Uba, 2015). SO_2 emission which is largely dependent on combustion and bacterial process (Watanabe *et al*., 2003), could have major health effects at high concentrations. Dara (2008) opines that SO_2 pollution seem to correlate with the effect of NH_3 as it could be eye and ear irritant. Furthermore, it could enhance rate of breathing and air starvation and suffocation, even as it has potential to distort pulmonary functions. A topical Chinese study according to WHO (2005) and Li and Mallat (2018) established that high level concentration of NO_2, PM_{10} and SO_2 could cause alteration of several physiological functions including hematopoietic function (reduced hemoglobin and white blood cell); heart function (increased heart rate and blood pressure); renal function (increased urine creatinine and urea);inflammatory response function (increase in C-reactive protein); liver function (increase in glutamic-pyruvic transaminase, serum albumin, and total bilirubin as well as metabolic endocrine function (high and low density lipoprotein, cholesterol increase, and the ratio of lowdensity lipoprotein to high-density lipoprotein). Issues associated with $PM_{2.5}$ have also been documented. A study in China found out probability of almost 10%, 4.5% and 13.5% risk of death from ischaemic heart disease, increase in the risk of mortality from hemorrhagic stroke, and increase in the risk of mortality from ischaemic stroke respectively (Jan Dejmek*et al*. 2000; Li and Mallat, 2018) from $PM_{2.5}$. Methane, a constituent of landfill gas, capable of posing high explosion hazard between its lower explosive limit (LEL) of 5% and upper explosive limit (UEL) of 15% by volume, can displace oxygen in confined areas, causing an atmosphere devoid of oxygen (Rim-Rukeh, 2014). About 50% of landfill gas emitted is methane, 45% is CO_2 while the 5% constitute of hydrogen, nitrogen, oxygen and other trace gases (Bogner and Spokas, 1996; Okere, 2013; Rim-Rukeh, 2014). The global warming potential (GWP) of methane is 21, over a 100-year period (Gribben, 1986; Okere, 2013; Rim-Rukeh, 2014). The implication is that on a kilogram-for-kilogram basis, over a 100-year period, methane is 21 times more potent than carbon dioxide in causing climate change (Rim-Rukeh, 2014). It is considered an asphyxiant at tremendously high concentrations and has capability to displace oxygen in the blood at a concentration of 1000 ppm.

Hydrogen sulphide (H_2S) which generates an extremely strong rotten-egg stench even at very small concentrations is the most regular sulphide accountable for odours in the landfill and the most emitted from landfills at the maximum rates and concentration, with humans extremely sensitive to the odour even at extremely low concentrations of 0.5-1 ppb (Rim-Rukeh, 2014). The ambient H_2S concentration level is very high measuring about 0.09-1.37 ppm but at levels around 50 ppb, the odour could be offensive to human (ATSDR, 1999) and could cause explosion; the lower and upper explosive limit is 4% and 44% respectively (Rim-Rukeh, 2014).

According to WHO, about 90% of the global populace suffer poor ambient air quality and about 600,000 children die yearly due poor air quality, which is about 9% of total (Oghifo, 2019). It causes respiratory diseases, with 27.5% of deaths due to lower respiratory tract infection such as asthma; cardiovascular diseases such as coronary artery calcium and common carotid artery intima-media thickness (Li and Mallat, 2018); over 29 % of the burden of stroke was attributed to air pollution (Risom *et al*., 2005; Li and Mallat, 2018). Oghifo (2019) documents that the estimated toll of pollution on global economy as regards welfare losses is over US$ 5.1 trillion; and in the 15 countries with the highest greenhouse gas emissions, health impacts of air pollution are estimated to cost close to 5 per cent of GDP; However smart climate change policies that reduces air pollution, could save over 1 million lives a year by 2050 and yield health benefits worth over US$54 trillion – about twice the costs of mitigation.

CONCLUSION

The present study appraised the seasonal variation of air quality at Nekede and Naze dumpsites with reference to nine air pollutants. Results showed a significant level of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} were detected in all stations of both dumpsites in both seasons. Higher levels of PM were recorded during the dry season than the rainy season. The highest values for all parameters measured were at the dumpsites except for CO which increased as distance progressed off the dumpsite. All parameters measured were higher in Nekede area than Naze except for NH_3. Result of the study indicates poor air quality in the study area which implies atmospheric pollution due to elevated concentration of all the parameters measured.This calls for adequate attention and best environmental management practices to reduce the level of air quality deterioration in the study area.

REFERENCES

Abdus-Salam N., Ibrahim M. S., Fatoyinbo F. T. (2011) Dumpsites in Lokoja, Nigeria: a silentpollution zone for underground water. *Waste Manag Bioresource Technol 1*:21–30

Adewuyi, G. O. & Opasina, M. A. (2010) Physicochemical and heavy metals assessments of leachatefrom aperin abandoned dumpsite in Ibadan City, Nigeria. *E-J Chem 7*(4):1278–1283

Agwu, A. & Ozeh, R.N. (2013). Evolution of Ambient Air. Quality of Aba Metropolis, Nigeria. *International Journal of Current Research*, *5* (4), 843-844.

Angaye, T. C. N., & Abowei, J. F. N. (2017) Review on the environmental impacts of municipal solid waste in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects. *Greener Journal of Environmental Management and Public Safety*, *6* (2), 018-033.

Arukwe A, Eggen T, Möder, M. (2012) Solid waste deposits as a significant source of contaminantsof emerging concern to the aquatic and terrestrial environments—a developing country case study from Owerri, Nigeria. *Sci Total Enviro 438*(1):94–102

ATSDR (1999) Toxicological Profile for Hydrogen Sulfide. US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Atlanta.

Ayuba, K. A., Manaf, L. A., Sabrina, A. H., & Nur Azmin, S. W. (2013). Current status of municipal solid waste management practise in FCT Abuja. *Research Journal of Environmental and Earth Sciences 5*(6), 295-304.

Beelen, R., Raaschou-Nielsen, O., Stafoggia, M, Andersen, Z. J., Weinmayr, G., Hoffmann, B.,... Hoek, G. (2014). Effects of long-term exposure to air pollution on natural-cause mortality: an analysis of 22 European cohorts within the multicentre ESCAPE project. *The Lancet,383*(9919), 785-95. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62158-3.

Bogner, J., & Spokas, K. (1996) Field measurement of methane and NMOC emissions: Sources,sinks and implications for emission modeling. *19th Annual Landfill Gas Symposium, Research Triangle Park* (189-199).

Chukwu, K. E. (2015) Water supply management policy in Nigeria: challenges in thwetland area of Niger Delta. *Eur Sci J 11*:303–323

CPCB (2003) Guideline for Ambient Air quality Monitoring. Central Pollution Control Board, Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India. *National ambient air monitoring series*, 17-130

Dara, S. S. (2008). Environmental chemistry and pollution control. *S. Chard and company Limited,15*, 41-42.

De Giglio O., Quaranta, A., Barbuti, G., Napoli, C, Caggiano, G., Montagna, M.T. (2015). Factor influencing groundwater quality: towards an integrated management approach. *Ann Ig* 2:52–57

Egereonu, U. U. (2005) A study on the groundwater pollution by nitrates in the environment, Aba, Nigeria. *J Chem Soc Nigeria 30*(2):211–218

Ezekwe, C. I., Agbakoba C & Igbagara P. W. (2016). Source Gas Emission and Ambient Air quality around the Eneka co-disposal landfill in Port-harcourt, Nigeria. *InternationalJournal of Applied Chemistry and Industrial Sciences*.;2(1):11–23.

Gribben, J. (1986). Temperature rise in global green house. *New Scientist*, *15*, 31-32.

Hassan, S. M. &Abdullahi, M. E. (2012). Evaluation of pollutant in ambient air: A case study of Abuja, Nigeria. *International Journal of Scientist and Research publications*, *2*, 12

Ibe, F.C., Opara, A.I., Amaobi, C.E., & Ibe, B.O. (2021). Environmental risk assessment of the intake of contaminants in aquifers in the vicinity of a reclaimed waste dumpsitein Owerri municipal, Southeastern Nigeria *Applied Water Science* 11:24

Ikem, A., Osibanjo, O., Sridhar, M. K. C., Sobande, A. (2002) Evaluation of groundwater quality characteristics near two waste sites in Ibadan and Lagos Nigeria. *Water Air Soil Pollut 140*:307–333

Jan, D., Solanský, I., Benes, I., Lenícek, J., & Srám R. J. (2000). The impact of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and fine particles on pregnancy outcome. *Environ Health Perspect 108,* 1159 -1164.

Kushwaha, S. K., Singh, R., Kushwah, B. P., Pathak, V., Rai, O. P., & Duvedi, R. (2008). A study of air quality and human health of certain villages of industrial area Raigarh. *Indian I. J. of Environmental Protection 28,* 709-713.

Li, M., & Mallat, L. (2018). Health impacts of air pollution. *SCOR Paper # 42*.

Ljung K., Vahter, M. (2007) Time to Re-evaluate the guideline value for manganese in drinking water. *Environ Health Perspect* 115:1533–1538

Longe, E. O., Omole D. O., Adewumi, I. K. & Ogbiye, A. S. (2010) Water resources use abuse and regulations in Nigeria. *J Sustain Dev Afr 12*(2):35–44

Mahmoud Abu-Allaban & Hani Abu-Qudais (2011). Impact Assessment of Ambient Air Quality by Cement Industry: A Case Study in Jordan, *Aerosol and Air Quality* Research, 11, 802–810.

Markintosh, L. (2001). Weather plots, temperature and relative humidity. *NIWA*

Metz B, Davidson OR, Bosch PR, et al. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2007.

Mor S, Ravindra K, Dahiya R. P. & Chandra A (2006) Leachate characterization and assessment of groundwater pollution near municipal solid waste dumpsite site. Environ Monit Assess 118(1–3):435–456

Njoku, P., Ibe FC, Alinnor J, Opara A (2016) Seasonal variability of carbon monoxide (CO) in the ambient environment of Imo State, Nigeria. *Int Lett Nat Sci* 53:40–52

Obasi, I. A., Nnachi, E. E., Igwe, O. E., Obasi, N. P. (2015) Evaluation of pollution status of heavy metals in the groundwater system around open dumpsites in Abakaliki urban, Southeastern Nigeria. *Afr J Environ Sci Technol 9*(7):600–609

Oghifo, B. (2019). UN calls on Governments to join Clean Air Initiative, bring realistic plan to Sept summit. Thisdaylive. Retrieved from https://www.thisdaylive.com/index.php/2019/07/30/un-calls-on-governments-to- join-cleanair-initiative-bring-realistic-plan-to-sept-summit/

Okere J. K., Azorji J. N, Iheagwam S. K., Emeka J. E., & Nzenwa P. O. (2021). Assessment of Microbial Load in Water and Sediments of Rivers Otamiri and Nworie in Owerri, South Eastern Nigeria *International Journal of Pathogen Research* 6(3): 27-39

Okere, K. J., Abu, G. O., & Ndukwu, B. (2018). Estimation and characterization of municipal solid wastein Nekede landfill, Owerri metropolis, Nigeria. *International Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences (IJEAS)*, *5*(3), 93-100.

Okere, K. J. (2013). *University of Malaya's low carbon approach: Evaluation of CDM potential.* (Masters thesis, University of Malaya, Malaysia*).* Retrieved from http://studentsrepo.um.edu.my/4387/

Opara A. I, Ibe, F. C., Alinnor NPC, J. I.& Enenebeaku C. K. , (2016) Geospatial and geostatistical analyses of particulate matter (PM10) concentrations in Imo State, Nigeria. *Int Lett Nat Sci 57*:89–107

Pathak, V., & Kushwaha, B. P. (2012) Study on ambient air quality of municipal solid waste dumping site district Satna (M.P.), India. *J. Ecophysiol. Occup. Hlth.*, 12, 35-42.

Rim-Rukeh (2014). An assessment of the contribution of municipal solid waste dump sites fire to atmospheric pollution. *Open Journal of Air Pollution, 3,* 53-60.

Risom, L., Møller, P., & Loft, S. (2005). Oxidative stress-induced DNA damage by particulate air pollution. *Mutation Research/ Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis, 592, (1–2)*,119-137.

Samet J & Krewski D. Health effects associated with exposure to ambient air pollution. J Toxicol Environ Health A. 2007;70:227–42

Singh, R., Chaurasia, S., Dwivedi, R. & Kushwaha, B. P. (2006) Air quality and human health around Satna Cement Satna (M.P.). *Indian I. J. of Environmental Protection 268,* 748-751.

Tawari C.C. & Abowei J.F.N. (2012). Air pollution in the Niger Delta area of Nigeria, Internat. *J. Fisheries and Aquatic Sci.*, 1(2), 94-117.

Uba, S. (2015). *Environmental Impact Assessment of Dumpsites in Zaria Metropolis, Kaduna State, Nigeria.* (M.Sc Thesis, Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria). Retrieved from http://kubanni.abu.edu.ng/jspui/handle/123456789/6996.

Ubouh, E.A., Nwawuike, N., & Ikwa, L. (2016). Evaluation of the on-site and off-site ambient air quality (aaq) at Nekede waste dumpsite, Imo state, Nigeria. *British Journal of Earth Sciences Research, 4*(1): 18 – 22

Ubuoh, E. A. & Akhionbare, S. M. O. (2011). "Effects of Pig Production on Ambient Air Quality of Egbeada in Mbaitoli Local Government area of Imo State, Nigeria". *Journal of Sciences and Multidisciplinary Research (JSMR)*. 3:8–16

Ugwu, K.E. and Ofomatah, A.C. (2011). Measurements of some Ambient Primary Pollutants in Nsukka, Enugu State, Nigeria. *J. Chem. Soc. Nigeria*; *36* (2), 76-81

USEPA (1999). National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Source Categories. *Cement Manufacturing Industries Federal Register*.64:113.

USEPA (2012). 2012 Guidelines for water reuse. EPA/600/R-12/618

Watanabe, M. D. B., & Ortega, E. (2011). Ecosystem services and biogeochemical cycles on a global scale: Valuation of water, carbon and nitrogen processes. *Environmental Science & Policy, 14* (6), 594-604.

WHO (2005). World health statistics. WHO Statistical Information System (WHOSIS). Geneva