

EFFECT OF FINANCIAL SECTOR LENDING MANAGEMENT ON ECONOMIC GROWTH IN NIGERIA

Felix Ebum Araoye, (Ph.D, FCA, ACIT), Internal Audit Department, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomosho, Oyo State, Nigeria, e-mail: araoyefelix@yahoo.co.uk,
Tel: +234-0805 770 5859 (Corresponding Author)

Akinola Michael Aruwaji, (Ph.D Student), Department of Management and Accounting, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomosho, Oyo State, Nigeria, e-mail: maaruwaji@lautech.edu.ng, Tel: +234-0803 949 9777.

Tunde Olutokunboh Obafemi, (Ph.D), Department of Accountancy, Federal Polytechnic, Offa, Kwara State, Nigeria, e-mail: obafemitunde01@gmail.com Tel: +234-0703 691 2021.

ABSTRACT: *This study investigates the effect of bank lending management on economic growth in Nigeria for the period 1985-2018. The data for the study were obtained from the Central Bank of Nigeria Bulletins, World Development Indicator and National Bureau of Statistics. The variables for the study include Gross Domestic Product, Deposit Interest Rate, Lending Interest Rate, Bank Asset Quality and Deposit Multiplier. Data for the study was analyzed using Descriptive Statistics, Ordinary Least Square method (OLS) and Multiple Regression Analysis. The result of short and long run regression revealed a negative impact of bank lending management on economic growth. The F-statistic (6.67) was also used to test explanatory power of the model with the corresponding probability value of (0.0007) which is statistically significant at 5%, suggesting that the explanatory variables have joint and significant effect on the economic growth of Nigeria. It is recommended that the regulatory authority set up a regulatory framework that will enhance the capacity of deposit money banks in Nigeria to lend to real sector of the economy at a very low interest rate and attract massive deposit by investors through robust deposit interest rate.*

KEY WORDS: financial sector, lending, management, economic growth, Nigeria

INTRODUCTION

The financial sector is highly regulated by relevant regulatory authorities because they occupy a very vital and sensitive position in the management and growth of nation's economy. The study of Khan and Senhadji (2001) regarded economic growth as persistent increase in Gross Domestic Product of the economy. In the same vein, Anyawoncha (1993) described and affirm that economic growth is determine in relation to its per capital income and the gross services produced in a country within a specific period of time. The financial sector is a critical sector of any economy with its impact evident in various productive ventures and this could be seen in

areas such as business environment, investment, economic prospects, and social dimensions and poverty alleviation (World Bank, 2009). Many studies confirm strong association between the financial sector intervention in form of lending and economic growth ((Greenwood & Jovanovic, 1990; Bencivenga & Smith, 1991; King & Levine, 1993; Greenwood & Smith, 1997). Lending function of banks is crucial and important in every economy and it is generally accepted that there is positive relationship between bank credit and economic growth (Oluitan, 2012). The financial sector provides credit to other sectors of the economy by creating funds and disbursing it from surplus unit to deficit unit. The bank lending inspires investment opportunities and greatly impact on macroeconomic performance resulting in economic growth and job creation. Levine, (2005) affirm that weakness in the financial sector usually lead to financial crises, economic slowdowns, and fiscal costs. The management and development of the sector has a correlation with economic growth (Esther, 2005).

Sanusi (2012), state that well-functioning financial systems are able to create and rally household savings, distribute resources efficiently, diversify risk, improve flow of liquidity, ease information asymmetry and transaction cost and ensures option in raising resources through personal savings and retained earnings. Soludo (2009) maintains that policy thrust in reform of banking sector should build and promote a competitive and healthy financial system that will prevent distress in the sector.

considerable studies on bank lending and economic growth assesses the impact of the operation of financial system on economic growth and whether the effect is economically large, and play a vital role in encouraging growth at definite stages of economic development. In the same vein, the objective of this study is to examine the impact of financial sector lending management on economic growth using effect of variables such as interest rate, assets quality and deposit multiplier on gross domestic product.

Statement of Problems

There has been frequent complaint from organize private sector in Nigeria on the lack of ability of deposit money bank to grant credit facility to private sector as required. The few banks granting this credit do so at exceptionally high interest rate. The inability to grant long term credit to investors requiring investment in capital project that can impact on economic growth is also a major concern. If banks are unable to lend to the deficit units requiring funds for economic investment, the business sector will not grow, deposits will be limited and this will hamper the capacity of banks to create income (Galac, 2001 & Honohan, 1997). According to Udoka and Offiong, (2006) fund available for lending by most banks account form about fifty percent or even more of their total assets and about half to two-thirds of their revenue. This made lending the first and most important function of banks. Lending affects pattern of production, level of entrepreneurship and consequently, aggregate output and productivity. There are few literatures and studies relating to bank lending and economic growth in Nigeria and almost none or very few that considered the combined effect of deposit interest rate, lending interest rate and

asset quality on economic growth. This study therefore focuses on this gap by looking at the joint effect of these variables on economic growth in Nigeria.

Objective of the study

The main objective of the study is to examine the effect of bank lending management on economic growth in Nigeria

Hypothesis

Ho: Bank lending management has no significant effect on economic growth in Nigeria

Hi: Bank lending management has significant impact on economic growth in Nigeria

LITERATURE REVIEW

Conceptual Review

Economic growth is regarded as an increase in the net national product in a given period of time (Dewett, 2005). According to the study, economic growth is referred to as a continuous quantitative change in economic variables over successive periods. Khan and Senhadji (2001), affirm that the economic growth of an economy may be considered using the Gross Domestic Product of the economy. Therefore, the country's economic growth is considered increased when GDP increases. Anyawoncha (1993) state that a nation's economic growth is determine in relation to its per capital income and the total goods and services produced in a country within a given period of time.

Aliyu and Hashin (2014) described bank lending as credit granted to various borrowers by financial institutions. It is an agreement between banks and borrowers where the loaning bank granted resources in trust to a borrower for the money borrowed at a certain interest rate for either a loan, credit card or a credit line repayable at a later date. It is also regarded as money banks lend to customers within a specific time frame in mind. Olowofeso, Adeleke and Udoji (2015) state that bank credit is the aggregate borrowing capacity bank provides to borrowers. CBN, (2003) described bank lending as the amount of loans and advances given by the banking sector to the various economic agents. CBN, (2010) further, confirm bank credit facilities to include loans, advances, commercial papers, bankers' acceptance and bills discounted with a bank credit risk. Nzotta (2004), affirm the general consensus that bank credits have positive relationship with the level of economic activities and that it has influence on what is to be produced, who produces it and quantity to be produced. It should be noted that bank credit affects and impact on the level of money supply in an economy. Bank lending is one major way the bank generates income and this activities eventually influence the country's level of economic growth.

Theoretical Review

There are quite a lot of theories in economics and finance literature. Some relevant theories that deal with financial sector lending management and economic growth are discussed below:

Neo classical growth model

The Neo-Classical theory of Growth was pioneered by Robert Solow. The model holds that a continuous increase in capital investment will only increase the growth rate in the short term. The model affirms that although the ratio of capital to labour goes up, the marginal product of additional units of capital is assumed to decline and the economy eventually moves back to a long-term growth path, with real GDP growing at the same rate as the workforce plus a factor to reflect improving productivity. With the neo-classical model, productivity is deemed to be independent of capital because it is an exogenous variable investment (IMF, 2001).

Robinson growth theory

This is demand-following theory pioneered by Robinson (1952). It is a Keynesian theory which establishes that changes in the real sectors affect financial development. The theory asserts that expansionary fiscal policy will culminate in financial deepening. According to the theory, full employment can be attained through injection of money into the economy and increase in government spending. Stimulation of income and aggregate demand in an economy will lead to an increase in demand for money and increased government spending (McKinnon, 1973).

Financial Repression Theory

The theory was propounded by Cameron, Crisp, Patrick and Tilly (1973); McKinnon (1973) and Shaw (1973) when they established that financial development will contribute more laudably to economic growth in a nation with an independent financial system. This theory believes that the financial sector tends to function well and contribute positively to economic growth when the authority is free from interfering and regulating interest rate regulation, ceilings on deposit and loan rates, guidelines on lending operations or any other official guidelines. It is believed that this intervention could be responsible for the poor performance of some banks and other financial institutions. The theory therefore advocates for a positive real interest rate and financial liberalization.

Empirical Review

The key role being played by financial institutions has given rise to many authors in studying the relationship between bank lending and growth of the economy. The study of Shan and Jianhong (2006) examined the effect of financial sector development on economic growth in China. The study revealed that except for labour input contribution, the financial sector comes as the second in leading economic growth in China. Ben Salem and Trabelsi (2012) examined the importance of financial sector development as a determinant of growth. They used data for the period 1970-2006 and applied the Pedroni's panel cointegration analysis. The study found the existence of a long-run relationship between bank credit finance and economic growth.

In Nigeria, the study of Fadare (2010) used the data from 1999 – 2009 to conclude that there is negative and insignificant relationship between economic growth and bank credit management. Balogun, (2007) investigates the influence of banking reform on economic growth. The results revealed that recapitalization has significant impact on banking services and to the growth of economy. Akpansung and Babalola (2009) study examined the effect of bank lending on the economic growth and found that bank credit has a negative impact on the growth of Nigerian economy with causation running from GDP to bank credit. Nwanyanwu (2010) used OLS econometrics techniques to determine the impact of bank lending on the growth of Nigerian economy. The study discovered that bank credit positively and significantly impact on the growth of Nigerian economy.

Kolapo, Ojo and Olaniyan (2018) study investigate the connection between deposit money banks' credit to private-public sectors and economic development in Nigeria over the period 1970-2016 using Toda and Yamamoto Granger causality test. The results revealed that Deposit Money Banks' credit to government sectors leads to economic development in Nigeria. Olowofeso et al. (2015) examined the impacts of private sector credit on economic growth in Nigeria using the Gregory and Hansen (1996) cointegration test. The results of the long run model confirmed a significant and positive impact of private sector credit growth on output.

Yakubu and Affoi (2014) examine the role of bank credit in economic growth of Nigeria and found that bank credit has significant impact on the economic growth in Nigerian. However, Odufuye (2017) investigates the impact of bank credit on Nigerian economy growth for the period 1992-2015 and discovered that bank lending has insignificant impact on economic growth Maiga (2017), study explore the impact of interest rate on economic growth and the result revealed that the interest rate has a slight impact on economic growth. Udoka and Roland (2012), focus their study on the effects of interest rate on the growth of the Nigeria economy. The result concluded that there is a connection between interest rate and economic growth in Nigeria.

METHODOLOGY

This study made use of secondary data obtained from the publications of the Central Bank of Nigeria, World Development Indicator and National Bureau of Statistics. Analyses of the results contain the presentation and interpretation of the scores obtained from descriptive statistics, (OLS) and multiple regressions at 5% level of significance

This study examined the impact of financial sector lending management on economic growth in Nigeria for the period of 1985-2018. In order to accomplish this task, a log form of OLS and multiple regression model was used to analyse the data obtained.

Model Specification

Howell (1995), stated the multiple regression model in the following way:

$Y = b_0 + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + U_t$. However, this model is modified for the purpose of the study as follows:

$$GDP = f(DIR, LIR, BAQ, DM) \dots \dots \dots (1)$$

$$GDP = \beta_0 + \beta_1DIR + \beta_2LIR + \beta_3BAQ + \beta_4DM + U_t \dots \dots \dots (2)$$

The log form of the model is stated as follows.

$$\text{Log}(GDP) = \beta_0 + \beta_1\text{log}(DIR) + \beta_2(\text{log}LIR) + \beta_3\text{log}(BAQ) + \beta_4\text{log}(DM) + U_t \dots \dots \dots (3)$$

Where

GDP = Gross Domestic Product

DIR = Deposit Interest Rate

LIR = Lending Interest Rate

BAQ = Bank Asset Quality

DM = Deposit Multiplier

β_0 = Constant term

$\beta_1 - \beta_4$ = Coefficient of independent variables

U_t = Error Term

Theoretically the coefficient will take the following outcome:

$\beta_1 - \beta_4 > 0$

Result and Interpretation

Descriptive Statistics

Table 4.1 Descriptive Statistics

Variables	Obs	GDP	DIR	LIR	BAQ	DM
Mean	34	16550.31	12.05495	18.37111	13.69486	69.20313
Median	34	4434.23	12.39083	18.06625	12.9	70.8
Maximum	34	89043.62	23.24167	31.65	37.25329	85.7
Minimum	34	110.06	5.699167	9.433333	3.3	42.9
Stddev	34	25606.77	3.946008	4.767879	7.885556	11.38994
Skewness	34	1.754402	.7255343	.2469484	.7311402	-.5545197
Kurtosis	34	4.716003	3.536731	3.790072	3.532223	2.669561
Variance	34	6.56e+08	15.57098	22.73267	62.18199	129.7306

Source: Author’s Computation (2019) STATA output

The table 4.1 above revealed a mean GDP value of about 16550.31 for the period under consideration. Similarly, the explanatory variables of DIR, LIR, BAQ, and DM maintain average mean distribution value of 12.05495, 18.37111, 13.69486 and 69.20313 respectively.

It was established that all the variables exhibited a high level of consistency as their median values falls within the minimum and maximum values of the series. In addition, all the variables

of the series showed that they are positively skewed as their mean values were greater than their median values for all the series except DIR and DM.

Regression Analysis

Table 4.2 Short Run Effects of Bank Lending Management on Economy Growth

Dependent variable	Independent variables	Coefficient	Standard Error	T	P> t	[95%Conf. interval]	
GDP	<i>DIR</i>	-6052.534	1498.793	-4.71	0.000	-10127.8	-3977.265
	<i>LIR</i>	5735.408	1377.495	3.44	0.002	1909.023	7561.793
	<i>BAQ</i>	-1278.723	501.0292	-2.37	0.025	-2216.75	-160.6961
	<i>DM</i>	-47.76204	353.4583	-0.22	0.828	-802.9985	647.4744
	<i>constant</i>	36234.3	35061.47	1.03	0.311	-35705.89	108174.5
R-squared = 0.5405	Adj squared = 0.4265	R-Prob> = 0.0007	F = 6.76	F(4, 27)	Root MSE =19391		

Source: Author's Computation (2019) STATA output

Table 4.2 showed that a unit rise in DIR, BAQ and DM reduces the level of GDP by -6052.534, -1278.723 and -47.76204 units, indicating a negative relationship between them and economic growth. However, the relationship between economic growth and LIR is positive. This shows that a unit increase in LIR increases GDP of the country by 5735.408 units. The result is significant for the relationship between GDP and DIR, LIR and BAQ since their P-value is less than 0.05 while not significant for the relationship between GDP and DM with p-value of more than 5%.

The R² coefficient (0.5405) indicates that the explanatory variables accounted for approximately 54% of the variation in the GDP. The F-statistic, the test of explanatory power of the model is 6.76 with the corresponding probability value of 0.0007, is statistically significant at 5%, suggesting that the explanatory variables have joint and significant effect on the economic growth of Nigeria using GDP as a proxy.

Table 4.3 Long Run Effect of Bank Credit Management on Economic Growth

Dependent variable	Independent variables	Coefficient	Standard Error	T	P> t	[95%Conf. interval]	
LOGGDP	<i>LOGDIR</i>	-7.228916	1.13028	-5.51	0.000	-8.548059	-3.909773
	<i>LOGLIR</i>	8.011244	1.498923	4.68	0.000	3.935708	10.08678
	<i>LOGBAQ</i>	-.1843698	.5044153	-0.37	0.0718	-1.219344	-.8506048
	<i>LOGDM</i>	-3.259193	1.707799	-1.32	0.197	-5.763307	1.244921
	<i>constant</i>	13.18476	9.242108	1.43	0.165	-5.778484	1.244921
R-squared = 0.5971	Adj squared = 0.5881	R- =	Prob> = 0.0001	F = 9.64	F(4, 27)	Root MSE = 1.4688	

Source: Author's Computation (2019) STATA output

Table 4.3 revealed the effects of bank credit management practices on economic growth. A unit rise in LOGDIR, LOGBAQ and LOGDM reduces the level of LOGGDP by -7.2289, -.1843698 and -3.259193 units, suggesting that there is negative relationship between GDP and these variables. However, the relationship between LOGGDP and LOGLIR is positive. This shows that a unit increase in LOGLIR increases LOGGDP of the country by 8.011 units.

The result is significant for the relationship between LOGGDP and LOGDIR, LOGLIR since their P-value is less than 0.05 while not significant for the relationship between LOGGDP and LOGBAQ, LOGDM since their p-value is greater than 5%. The R² coefficient (0.5971) indicates that the explanatory variables accounted for approximately 60% of the variation in the GDP. The F-statistic of 9.64 with the corresponding probability value of 0.0001, is statistically significant at 5% indicating that the explanatory variables proxied by LOGDIR, LOGLIR, LOGBAQ and LOGDM have joint significant effect on the economic growth.

Augmented Dickey Fuller test

Table 4.4 Augmented Dickey Fuller test

Statistic	Interpolated Dickey-Fuller Test			10% Critical Value	
	1% Critical Value	5% Critical Value	10% Critical Value		
Z(t)	-1.463	-2.539	-1.729	-1.328	
MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.0799					
Source: Author's Computation (2019) STATA output					
D.LOGGDP	Coef.	Std. Err	T	P> t	[95% Conf. Interval]
GDP					
L1	-.0376315	.0257196	-1.46	0.160	-.0914633 .0162002
LD	-.1207561	.2215263	-0.55	0.592	-.5844159 .3429038
L2D	-.2514829	.223503	-1.13	0.275	-.71928 .2163142
L3D	-.1232748	.2169984	0.57	0.577	-.5774577 .330908
L4D	-.0872643	.215254	-0.41	0.690	-.5377961 .3632675
L5D	.0952511	.2518789	0.38	0.710	-.4319375 .6224397
-Cons	.6690557	.2965566	2.26	0.036	0.0483556 1.289756

The ADF test in table 4.4 relies on rejecting a null hypothesis of unit root in favour of the alternative hypothesis of stationarity. Since the absolute value of ADF t-stat is greater than absolute critical value of 1.328 at 10 %, the null hypothesis can be rejected i.e LOGGDP has no unit root or the variable LOGGDP is stationary. From the table above LI is considered valid because it was negative.

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION

The study examined the effect of financial sector lending management on economic growth in Nigeria. The research made use of descriptive statistics, OLS and multiple regressions in analyzing the data obtained from secondary sources. The study investigates the influence of the independent variables of deposit interest rate, lending interest rate, bank asset quality and deposit

multiplier on economic growth. The result revealed short and long run negative impact of bank lending management on economic growth in Nigeria. The F-statistic (9.64) with the corresponding probability value (0.0001), revealed statistically significant relationship between the independent variables and economic growth at 5% level of significance. The result of this study is in agreement with the ones carried out by Maiga (2017) and Fadare (2010) while it differed with some other similar studies that found positive relationship between bank lending and economic growth (Nwanyanwu, 2010; Odufuye, 2017; Kolapo et al, 2018; Abubakar & Gani, 2013). The study therefore recommended that the regulatory authority formulate a policy framework that will guarantee credit to real sector of the economy at a low, reasonable and economical lending interest rate.

Reference

- Abubakar, A., & Gani, I. M. (2013), Impact of Banking Sector Development on Economic Growth: Evidence from Nigeria, *Journal of Business Management & Social Sciences Research*, Vol. 2(4), Pp. 47- 59.
- Akpansung, A. O. & , Babalola, M. O.(2009), Recent Banking Reform in Nigeria: Implication on Sectoral Credit Allocation and Economic Growth, *International Journal of Business and Social Science*. Vol. 5(13), Pp. 91.
- Aliyu, M, & Hashim, Y.A (2014), Impact of Bank Lending on Economic Growth in Nigeria, *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, Vol 5(18), Pp. 174-182
- Anyanwoncha, R.A (1993), Fundamentals of Economics, Africana First Publishers Limited, Onitsha, Nigeria.
- Balogun, D.E (2007), Banking Sector Reforms and the Nigerian Economy: Performance, Pitfalls and Future Policy Options, *University of Lagos, Department of Economics*, MPRA Paper no. 3804
- Bencivenga, V. R. & Smith, B. D. (1991), Financial Intermediation and Endogenous Growth, *Review of Economics Studies*, Vol. 58(2), Pp 403–44
- Ben Salem, J.B., & Trabelsi, M. (2012), More on Finance and Growth in the MENA Region: More Growth, More Finance, *Middle Eastern Finance and Economics*, Vol. 17, Pp. 113-124
- Central Bank of Nigeria (2003), CBN Briefs, 2008-2009 Edition, Research Department, CBN, Abuja
- CBN (2010), Central Bank of Nigeria Monetary Policy Circular.
- Dewett, K. K. (2005), Modern Economic Theory. New Delhi: Shyan Lal Charitable Trust.
- Dey, M. K. & Flaherty, S. (2005), “Stock Exchange Liquidity, Bank Credit, and Economic Growth”. Paper presented at the Max Fry Conference on Finance and Development, University of Birmingham, The Business School University House, Birmingham B15 2TT.
- Esther, O. A (2005), Financial Sector Reforms and Economic Development in Nigeria: The Role of Management, A Paper Delivered At The Inaugural Abuja, Nigeria Titled Management: Key To National Development, Rockview Hotel, Abuja.

- Fadare, S. O. (2010), Recent Banking Sector Reforms and Economic Growth in Nigeria, *Journal of Middle Eastern Finance and Economics*, Vol 3(5), Pp 3-9.
- Galac, T. (2001), Early Warnings of Banks: Research Progress Report, Mimeo Croatia: Croatian National Bank.
- Greenwood, J. & Smith, B. (1997), Financial Markets in Development, and the Development of Financial Markets, *Journal of Economic Dynamic and Control*, Vol 21, Pp 145-181.
- Greenwood, J. & Jovanovic, B (1990), “Financial Development, Growth and the Distribution of Income”, *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 98(5), Pp 1076–1107
- Gregory, A. & Hansen, B. (1996), “Residual-based Tests for Cointegration in Models with Regime Shifts”, *Journal of Econometrics*, Vol. 10, Pp 321 –335.
- Honohan, P. (1997), Banking System Failure in Developing and Transition Countries Countries: Diagnosis and Prediction. BIS Working Paper 39.
- IMF (2001), Financial Sectors Consolidation in Emerging Markets, Chapter V in International Capital Market Report. Newyork: IMF.
- Khan M. & Senhadji, S. (2001), Threshold Effects in the Relationship between Inflation and Growth, *IMF Staff Papers*, Vol. 48(1), Pp. 1-21.
- King, R. & Levine, R (1993), Finance, Entrepreneurship and Growth: Theory and Evidence. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, Vol. 32, Pp. 513-542.
- Kolapo, F.T, Ojo, M.O & Olaniyan T.O (2018), Deposit Money Banks Credit to Private-Public Sectors and Economic Development Nexus: Nigeria in Focus, *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, Vol 8(5), Pp 215-233
- Levine, R. (2005). Bank-Based or Market-Based Financial Systems: Which is better? *Journal of Financial Intermediation* 11 (1), 398–428
- Maiga , F.K (2017), Impact of Interest Rate on Economic Growth in Nigeria, *Pyrex Journal of Business and Finance Management Research*, Vol 3(3), Pp. 98-111
- Mckinnon, R. I. (1973), Money and Capital in Economic Development. Washington D.C: Brookings Institute
- Nwanyanwu, O.J. (2010), An Analysis of Bank Credit on the Nigeria Economic Growth (1992-2008), *Jos Journal of Economics*, Vol. 4, Pp 4-58
- Nzotta, S.M. (2004), Money, Banking and Finance, Theory and Practice, Owerri Hudson Jude Publishers.
- Odufuye, B.M (2017), Bank Credits and its Impact on Nigerian Economy Growth, *International Journal of Development Strategies in Humanities, Management and Social Sciences*, Vol. 7(3), Pp.39-52
- Olowofeso E.O, Adeleke, A.O & Udoji, A.O (2015), Impact of Private Sector Credit on Economic Growth in Nigeria, *CBN Journal of Applied Statistics*, Vol. 6(2), Pp 81-101
- Oluitan, R. O. (2012). Bank Credit and Economic Growth: Evidence from Nigeria”, *International Business and Management*, Vol. 5(2), Pp. 102-110.
- Sanusi, L. S. (2012). Banking reform and its impact on the Nigerian economy, Lecture presented at the University of Warwick's Economic Summit, UK, Feburary, 17.

- Shan, J & Jianhong, Q (2006), “Does Financial Development lead to Economic Growth? The Case of China”, *Annals of Economics and Finance*, Pp. 231–250
- Shaw, E.S., (1973), *Financial Deepening in Economic Development*, Oxford University Press, New York.
- Soludo, C.C. (2009), *Banking in Nigeria at a time of Global Financial Crisis*, Text of Paper Presented at the Special Interactive Session on the Banking System in Lagos.
- Udoka, C.O and Roland, A (2012) the effect of interest rate variability on the economic growth of Nigeria, *International Business Management*, Vol. 4(2), Pp 41-46
- Udoka, C. O., & Offiong, A. I. (2006), The effect of Bank Lending to the Socio-economic Transformation of Nigeria (1980-2005), *International Journal of Economic Development Issues*, Vol.6(2), Pp. 222-228
- World Bank (2009), *Making Finance Work for Nigeria*, World Bank Publication.
- Yakubu, Z. & Affoi, A. Y. (2014). ”An Analysis of Commercial Banks’ Credit on Economic Growth in Nigeria”, *Current Research Journal of Economic Theory*, Vol. 6(2), Pp 11-15