Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

# Clothing Norms in Tertiary Institutions: Negotiating Fashion Towards Social Hegemony

## Adaku Agnes Ubelejit-Nte (PhD)

Department of Sociology, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria Orcid ID:0000-0002-7499-0575

doi: <a href="https://doi.org/10.37745/gjahss.2013/vol11n33955">https://doi.org/10.37745/gjahss.2013/vol11n33955</a> Published: April 11, 2023

**Citation**: Ubelejit-Nte A.A. (2023) Clothing Norms in Tertiary Institutions: Negotiating Fashion Towards Social Hegemony, *Global Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences*, Vol.11, No.3, pp.39-55

**ABSTRACT**: Public discourse and scholarship espouse the subjective influence of clothing norms over the natural body as visual markers of the social boundaries of fashion. As a backlash to student fashion on campus with growing incidences of immodest dressing, most tertiary institutions particularly in Nigeria introduced dress standards. Scholars have elaborated on how fashion has been used to negotiate social boundaries of power, identity, status, gender, etc. They differed on the approval/acceptability and disapproval/unacceptability of the institutional control over clothing behaviour of adults. This article underscores the role fashion plays as an effective driver of social control and hegemony. Also, by underpinning sartorial practices in conformity to established institutional expectations and standards of appropriate nuances of formal dressing in institutions of higher learning. From an ethnographic standpoint, the study analysed the institutional standards of formal dressing or dress codes of different tertiary institutions in Nigeria as posted on their websites in addition to the participant method. This information is equally published in the students' handbooks of these institutions. The post-structural Foucaultian approach to Discipline and Punishment is applied as an analytical framework. This explains disciplinary power as a mechanism of social control of the body in contemporary society through conformity to approved dress standards in a formal environment like the university. It is argued that the framework provides an understanding of the significance of social control which may be hampered by misconceptions about what other people think of clothing norms.

**KEYWORDS:** clothing norms, social body, social hegemony, fashion, visual markers.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

All human organizations (both public and private) are guided by rules that prescribe expected and inappropriate behaviour at any given time and place. These rules are referred to as norms which may either be considered important (mores) whose violations are severely punished or insignificant (folkway) that are not severely punished. The norms considered important are moral obligations usually backed by conventions or laws. Norms vary from place to place and therefore are culturally relative. Clothing/dress norms are institutional rules that regulate

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

dressing behaviour and appearance through the specification of appropriate and inappropriate body supplements and modifications in tertiary institutions.

Public discourse and scholarship espouse the subjective influence of clothing norms over the natural body as visual markers of the social boundaries of fashion. As a backlash to students' fashion on campus with growing incidences of immodest dressing, most tertiary institutions particularly in Nigeria introduced clothing standards. Johnson, Lennon and Rudd, (2014) affirm that dress and physical appearance are critical in directing peoples' impressions towards others. People are addressed the way they dress because sartorial practices shape, influence and portray one's self-identity/personality, preferences, tastes and styles (Lurie, 1981; Tseelon, 1989; Entwistle, 2015). Dressing up in a pair of stylishly torn jeans trousers (crazy jeans), sagging dirty inner wears or showing up for lectures in pyjamas with a pair of bathroom slippers may evoke strong social disapproval. Besides being a means of portraying one's affiliation or orientation, the messages communicated by dress are both complex and paradoxical. Dress is characterized by ambivalence as it both liberates and controls the body (Calefato, 2004). Clothes express people's distinctive social and personal traits.

Regulation of physical appearance and the way bodies are dressed was not peculiar to the early beginnings of fashion. Dress and body grooming serves as a reference point for analyzing the abstract process of social control (Arthur, 1997). Religious groups such as Muslims, Hindus, Judaists and some Christian groups perceived clothing as symbolic for visible collective identity, maintenance of hierarchical relations, control of sexuality and facilitation of conformity to group ideologies (Hume, 2013). Clothing had formed a formidable strategy in navigating ethno-religious boundaries among the Hutterites, Mennonite and Hasidic Jews. As an important constituent of social control dress codes is enforced by religious groups to openly symbolize morality and secretly restrain sexuality (Arthur, 2000).

Black and Findlay (2016), acknowledged the growing academic attention on dress standards since Entwistle's (2001) assertion that clothing is "a situated bodily practice". There is a current explosion of research on gender-restrictive dress codes in higher institutions of learning within and outside Nigeria. Glickman (2016), Rabi (2010), Arns (2017) and Meadmore & Symes (1997) problematise the social constructions of the school as a gendered institution and clothing standards for the maintenance of socially constructed gender roles for males and females. Arns (2017) highlights the intersectionality of gender, race, and class, on the subjective influence of restrictive dress codes in American high schools, particularly on female black students. The role of clothing as a medium of internalization and reinforcement of symbolic values and social control is embodied by restricted dress codes such as school, military or religious uniforms (Crane and Bovone, 2006).

Friedrich and Shanks (2021) investigated the broad shifts in the modes of power through documented uniform policies in Scottish state secondary schools from disciplinary techniques to shaping students' human capital. The study focused on the complex workings of power through compulsory uniform and dress codes as a body technique to groom students into disciplinary fashion as the future respectable workforce. The authors analyzed the contents of

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

## Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

these uniform policies using the Foucaultian approach to power without examining their applicability in the institutions. Dress codes indicating appearance standards vary according to institution particularly service-oriented organisations (Nath, Bach and Lockwood, 2016). Jenkins (2014) study adopted the Bourdieusian framework to explain why hospital staff conformed to clothing norms in an institution with few formal dress guidelines. Tertiary institutions in Nigeria are becoming more explicit and formal about the appearance standards of their students due to shifting societal norms. The perceived display and persistence of indecent dressing particularly among female students had led to the institution of dress codes on Nigerian university campuses (Fayokun, Adedeji and Oyebade, 2009; Pogoson, 2013). Existing studies on students' dress codes focused on the morality of appearance standards in line with values of decent dressing.

In the present study, dress is viewed as a visible element of fashion with social control as one of the major themes of the social process of fashion. This study diverges from the previous line of inquiry by examining the notion of fashion as dress and adornment practices that serve as sites for institutional control of the clothing behaviour of adults from a sociological perspective. The purpose of the study is to make evident the role fashion plays as an effective driver of social control and hegemony by underpinning sartorial practices in conformity with established institutional expectations and standards of appropriate nuances of formal dressing in institutions of higher learning. It departs from the understanding of the rightness and wrongness of the use of 'symbolic boundary markers' on the 'natural symbol' in conformity to approved codes of behaviour. Specifically, the research examined the following: institutional characteristics and their dress and appearance standards; the construction of modest and decent dressing; and the institutional interactions that encourage dress conformity. Also, how institutional surveillance and threat of consequence influence self-discipline among students. The study examined the prohibition of "oppositional dress" in institutions of learning by the establishment of clothing norms or standards through the lens of Michael Foucault's discipline and punishment approach. The justification for this study is based on the institution of dress codes in most Nigerian universities as a backlash to students' indecent dressing on campuses.

#### Foucaultian Post-Structural Approach to Discipline and Punishment

Foucault's focus was on the penal system where he advocated a change of strategy from torture (physical power) to conformity to rules (social power) as a means of ensuring prisoner's obedience without harm. Foucault adopted Jeremy Bentham's concept of the "panopticon" as a model of the way institutions' principles of order and control function. He isolates three instruments of social disciplinary power to include surveillance or hierarchical observation, making normalizing judgments about students' clothing behaviour and punishing deviants; and a combination of the first and second instruments. He used the terms "discipline and punishment" as an effective and diffuse mechanism of social control of the body in contemporary society. This view of disciplinary power as a social technology adapted from the military spreads from the penal system to the entire social system (Ritzer, 2008). Just like the prisons, military barracks, schools and others, disciplinary power performs a veritable role in contemporary social control of human behaviour. Foucault viewed discipline as a form of

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

power and situates power to control people in modern society in knowledge with a close link between the body and punishment.

This is a reflection of the construction of disciplinary power in tertiary institutions of learning. These institutions regulate and monitor students' dress on campus through the imposition of clothing norms otherwise known as dress codes. Staff and students conform to these rules and regulations to avoid sanctions. Students' dressings are observed by both academic and administrative staff of the institutions. Norms are an unavoidable regime of power used to evaluate, control and describe human behaviour. To ensure conformity to established institutional standards of formal dressing these approved dress codes are enshrined in the institutions' prospectuses, emphasized at orientation programmes for freshers, available on their websites, flagged at the major entrances of the institutions and implemented by both academic and administrative units of these institutions. Deviants of these dress and grooming codes are put through formal and informal constraints.

#### **Fashion and Social Hegemony**

Fashion studies cut across diverse intellectual domains with an interdisciplinary outlook but are not limited to sartorial practices (Kawamura, 2005). Like many sociological concepts, the concept of fashion is semantically ambiguous and has been variously described in a broad corpus of fashion literature in relation to such concepts as clothing, dressing, style, attire, costume, apparel, etc. This is indicative of the fact that the early beginnings of fashion were in clothing styles as clothes and wearing apparel were the most visible elements of fashion. As a generalized phenomenon, there are multiple dimensional aspects of fashion which include clothes, shoes, jewellery, bags, cosmetics, adornments, body markers (tattoo), personal grooming, furniture, cars, names, cuisine, interior decorations, management, hair, and others. Fashion has been conceptualized as a symbolic cultural product and phenomenon that lacks an absolute meaning (Craik, 1994; Kawamura, 2005). This broad and generalized phenomenon (Blumer, 1969; Lipovetsky, 1987) embraces both the material and non-material components of a culture of which clothing is one material aspect. Importantly, commonly-held notions of fashion have centred on fashion as clothing and dress (Brenninkmeyer in Kawamura, 2005; Craik, 1994; Davis, 1992). This study adopts Eicher and Roach-Higgins's (1992) view of dress as a collection of body alterations and/or supplements. 'Clothing' is used interchangeably with 'dress' as a generic term for dress, grooming and personal adornments.

The relevance of the phenomenon of fashion in sociological studies can be observed in its intersection with subject matters of micro and macro sociological analysis (Crane and Bovone, 2006; Aspers and Godart, 2013). Sociological studies in fashion identify social control as one of the key themes in academic insights into the social process of fashion (Horowitz, 1975) in which social structures are produced and maintained (Aspers and Godart, 2013). Extant literature shows that the motives governing group behaviour in fashion have dominated classical and contemporary sociological discourse in fashion (Crane, 2000). Classical fashion cognoscenti sociologists like Veblen (1899), Simmel (1904), and Toennies (1887) among others had been preoccupied with the social process of fashion. Veblen's concept of 'conspicuous consumption' critiques the commonest restriction on fashion through the social

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

boundaries of wealth and status. Veblen (1899) noted that the leisure class constructed their social status through ostentatious lifestyles. Similarly, Simmel (1904) identifies social distinction and integration as the strategies for navigating social positions and groups. Fashion was viewed as a status marker that was driven by the elite in different civilisations but not restricted to the historical development of European societies (Craik, 1993).

Early beginnings of western fashion started in closed societies that foreclosed social mobility where sumptuary laws and social classes precluded some people from being fashionable. Sumptuary laws served the needs of the royal, noble and rich in relation to the approved type of clothing for different members of the society and a reflection of the values of the society. The juxtaposition of the social relations of fashion and the body are both central and symbolic (Entwistle, 2000; Benjamin, 1999). In negotiating fashion in tertiary institutions or formal organisations, formal institutional control is achieved through clearly written guidelines on acceptable dress and standards of appearance. Studies have shown that fashion as clothing and dress have been studied from the perspective of change (Aspers and Godart, 2013). Fashion is a non-verbal language that elucidates both the objective and subjective meanings of clothing behaviour, adornment and grooming within any given society (Nwauzor, 2016). Fashion is an embodied practice that portrays the body in certain ways, bolsters social cohesion as well as imposes group norms (Wilson, 1985). Fashion is a 'flashpoint of conflicting values' in interactions across tertiary institutions in Nigeria. Nwauzor (2011) observed that youth subculture fashion has been used to flout previously held societal norms of decency and modesty. Although fashion attempts to balance contradictions; attractiveness and modesty, yet must be worn according to the rules and conventions governing it.

#### The Banality of Dress norms/codes

Extant literature has shown that most institutions adopt dress codes as a mechanism for controlling students, employees and members' dress and appearance standards (Friedrich and Shanks, 2021; Nath et al, 2016; Pomertanz, 2007). Dress codes originate from peoples' world views, outlooks, cultures, values and norms and are dependent on occasion, time, rationale and issue. The Holdeman Mennonite community imposed dress codes to restrain the female's physical body to attain a perceived sexual reputation, and ensure commitment and conformity to religious beliefs and values (Arthur, 1997). Formal organizations institute official appearance standards for employees for corporate branding, role professionalization, and corporate identity and to ensure a healthy and safe professional environment (Nath et al, 2016). Dress codes are regulatory policies that underlie an educational institution's core values by raising its reputation, meeting standards of dress and sartorial behaviour, and promoting discipline (Lunenburg, 2011; Sequeira et al. 2014). Educational institutions particularly universities adopt dress standards to emphasize their role in raising students and by implication, graduates who would be found worthy not only in learning but character. This stand reflects the seriousness, dignity and character-moulding nature of the academic environment. In addition, forestall physical appearance in inappropriate body supplements by students and for potential career grooming. Foreign studies in dress codes have primarily focused on primary and high schools as colleges or universities have been understudied in literature (Sequeira et al. 2014).

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

Dress norms come in different forms, such as: written, extensive and definite formal dress codes enshrined in student handbooks to ambiguous and unwritten norms (Nath et al, 2016). Clothing/dress norms or codes portray common expectations on how adults in the university community particularly, students, should appear as a standard of modesty. Dress codes symbolize the moral dimension of clothes which are firmly entrenched in peoples' social consciousness (Wilson, 1985). Dress codes refer to a set of rules/guidelines, particularly in educational institutions that symbolize the appearance standards for students.

#### **METHOD**

The study is based on the ethnographic analyses of dress codes of different tertiary institutions in Nigeria as posted on their websites in addition to the participant observation method. This information was either published in the students' handbook or flagged at the major entrances of these institutions. Generally, many public and faith-based private universities in Nigeria have a dress code policy. Previous studies focused on indecent dressing on Nigerian campuses and the moral or legal implication of dress codes, and negative media influence on students' fashion especially girls within one or two geopolitical zones. This study examined the dress codes of six universities including private and public out of a total of 106 public and 111 private universities (NUC, 2022). The six universities were drawn from each of the six geopolitical zones in the country for a more inclusive representation and insightful research. The research adopted a purposive and convenience sampling approach in the selection of universities. The major criteria for selection are zone and availability of a student's dress guidelines. The selected universities include Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria (federal), University of Maiduguri (federal), Covenant University, Ota (private), University of Port Harcourt (federal), Godfrey Okoye University, Enugu (private) and Benue State University, Makurdi (state-owned). Three federally owned universities were selected because the University of Maiduguri is the only university in northeast Nigeria with a dress code guideline. Another crucial observation made in the course of selection is that there are more private universities situated in the southern part of the country especially, southwest.

The study relied heavily on secondary data analysed descriptively, qualitatively and illustrated by images. In the following, the theoretical framework was used to examine disciplinary power as a mechanism of social control of the body through conformity to approved dress standards in Nigerian universities. There is neglect in academic analysis of dress from the fashion studies perspective. On the bases of the guidelines on body modifications and supplements available in the six selected universities, the findings were produced. The study explored the basic characteristics of each institution in relation to their dress and appearance standards. We begin the analysis with clothing norms as visual markers of the social boundaries of fashion which is the main concern of the study. For an analytical result, the study isolated sections of institutional dress guidelines that focused on the theme; of clothing norms as modest and decent dressing standards. Discussions focused on institutional interactions that encourage dress conformity, institutional surveillance and threat of consequence influence on self-discipline. It explains fashion as a system of exerting influence through control of the social body as reproduced in tertiary institutions.

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

## **Institutional Characteristics and Dress and Appearance Standards**

Ahmadu Bello University (ABU): This is a public-owned federal university named after Nigeria's first prime minister and the late Sardauna of Sokoto. A pioneering university in the North was established in 1962, having been formed from some existing institutional structures particularly, the Ahmadu Bello College for Arabic and Islamic studies in Kano. The university is situated in Zaria, Kaduna, a state under the dual system of the Sharia law and common law system. The 17<sup>th</sup> edition of the ABU, Zaria, undergraduate student handbook for the 2019/2020 session, part xvi, page 98 stipulates the dress code guidelines of the university. The university does not tolerate any form of indecent dressing within its community. It is selected from the northwest geo-political region of the country.

The University of Maiduguri is a second-generation public-owned federal university established in 1975. The university is located in the heart of Maiduguri, a border town in the northeast geo-political zone within the administration of the penal code system of the Sharia law. It does not have a published dress code guideline but specifies on its website the images of the different kinds of dress and appearance standards expected of its students.

University of Port Harcourt (Uniport) is another second-generation public-owned federal institution established in 1975, formerly a college campus of the University of Nigeria. It is located in Choba, the outskirts of Port Harcourt, on the East-west road axis of Rivers state, south-south geo-political zone. The University of Port Harcourt dress code guidelines as found on posters flagged at the major entrances of faculties and offices isolated certain forms of dressing as constituting indecent dressing that would not be tolerated. Also, the school of General Studies Student Handbook under the ethics committee's code of conduct for students states that "students must dress decently at all times" (and in line with the faculty/college prescribed dress code where necessary).

Benue State University: a public-owned state university in Makurdi, Benue state, was established in 1992. It is selected from the north-central geo-political zone of Nigeria. Like the University of Maiduguri, Benue State University has its dress code guideline posted on its web page. The university dress code portrayed images of what and what not students should wear in addition to a written guideline.

Godfrey Okoye University is a privately owned university founded by the Catholic Diocese of Enugu in 2009. It is the first university owned by the Catholic Church in Africa, located in Enugu town and drawn from the southeast geo-political zone. Information on its webpage highlighted images of "prohibited dresses and make-up for students on campus".

Covenant University: This is another privately-owned university of the Living Faith Church (Winners Chapel) founded in 2002 at Canaanland, Ota, Ogun state. Being a Christian mission university, Covenant university students and activities are guided by the Christian ethos. The university is drawn from the southwest geo-political zone. It is the only university among the six selected universities with a detailed and extensive dress code guideline enshrined in the students' handbook. The current edition of the students' handbook for the period 2019-2022

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

underscores the premium that the university places on decent and modest dressing. Chapter 7:6 extensively outlined the dress and appearance standards of male and female students.

The Dress Code Landscape of the six selected Nigerian Universities

University	Dress Code Guidelines	Sanctions
Ahmadu Bello University	No short, skimpy and revealing dresses, tight and short skirts above knee length, crazy jeans, transparent and see through, tight fitting dresses or fabrics, public exposure of underwear dresses, unkept appearances like bushy hair and beard, dresses that impair the use of laboratory coat, long and tight skirts with a slit that reveal wearer's sensitive parts, T-shirts with obscene captions, shirt without buttons or unbuttoned shirts, wearing of ear-rings by male students, plaiting and weaving of hair by males, wearing of coloured eyeglasses or bathroom slippers in the classroom (except on medical grounds) and wearing of trousers that stop between knee and ankle.	None
University of Maiduguri	No written dress code guidelines except pictorials. The pictorial message highlights a blend of corporate and Islamic dress patterns that cover all parts of the body except the face and hand.	None
University of Port Harcourt	Short and skimpy dresses eg. Body hugs, show me your chest, spaghetti wears and dress exposing sensitive parts; Tight shorts and skirts that are above knees except for sporting purposes; Tattered jeans and jeans with holes; Transparent and see through dresses; Tight fittings e.g. Jeans, skirts, hip star, Patra, hot pants, lycra, Haller neck, etc that reveals the contour of the body; Underclothing such as singlet worn publicly; Unkempt appearances such as bushy hairs and beards; Dressings that make it impossible to wear laboratory coats during practicals or participate actively in practical; Long and tight skirt which are slit in the front or at the side which reveals sensitive parts as the wearer moves on; Wearing of T-shirts with obscene captions; Shirts without buttons or not buttoned leaving the wearer bare-chested; Wearing of ear-ring by male students; Plaiting or wearing of hair by male students; Wearing of coloured eyeglass in classroom (except on medical ground); and wearing of bathroom slippers to classroom (except on medical ground)	lst Offender – to appear before the dress code and implementation committee for counselling;  2 <sup>ND</sup> OFFENDER- To be sent out of the classroom, library, office, workshop, lecture theatre, cl etc.  3 <sup>RD</sup> OFFENDER- To appear before the Students' Disciplinary committee
Benue State University	Dress that exposes any sensitive parts of the body e.g. cleavage, chest, back, navel, thigh and armpit (clothes that reveal the armpit when hands are raised e.g. sleeveless, half sleeves; Tight fitting wears; Transparent(see through wears); Tattered jeans or ripped jeans; T-shirts with obscene inscriptions depicting immorality, hooliganism, etc; Indelible markings and body tattoos by students; Leggings trousers with short tops; Skimpy dresses e.g. spaghetti(camisole only, body hugs, strapless blouse and short; knickers; Bathroom slippers are not acceptable within the administrative and academic areas; Heavy make-ups; Sagging trousers; Wearing of earrings by male students and Combat short knickers.	None
Godfrey Okoye	Prohibited dresses and make-ups for students on campus	Immediate punishment awaits
University	include: See through clothing; Spaghetti sleeve top/gown;	defaulters.

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

## Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

	•	•
Covenant University	singlet top/gown; Shorter than knee-level wear; Off-shoulder top/gown; Ripped and rugged shorts/trousers; Sagged and ass-level shorts and trousers; Flip-flops; Fixed eye-lashes, Fixed and painted nails; Dreadlocks; Fixed contact lens (to be used only with doctors written recommendation or report) and Tinted hairs.  Dress Code for Female Students  1. Female students must be corporately dressed during normal lectures, public lectures, special ceremonies, Matriculation, Founder's Day, Convocation and examinations. To be corporately dressed connotes a smart skirt suit, skirt and blouse, or a smart dress with a pair of covered shoes. Casual wear is not allowed during	1. Erring students shall be sent out of the Lecture Room, examination halls or the academic area where such is not allowed at the time.  2. A warning letter shall be issued to the erring student and a copy of the letter shall be filed in his/her
	University assemblies.  2. All dress and skirt hems must be at least 5 -10 cm (2-4 inches) below the knees. 3. Female students may wear decent "native" attire or foreign wear outside lecture and examination halls. 4. The wearing of sleeveless native attires or baby sleeves and spaghetti straps without a jacket is strictly prohibited in the lecture rooms and the University environment.  5. Any shirt worn with a waistcoat or armless sweater should be properly tucked into the skirt or loose trousers. It should never be left flying under the waistcoat/armless sweater. The waistcoat /armless sweater must rest on the hip. "Bust coats", terminating just below the bust line are	personnel file in the University/Department.  3. The parents/guardians of the erring student may be informed in writing, accordingly.  4. The student shall be suspended from the University if unrepentant, subject to (1), (2) and (3) above. A student is considered unrepentant of the bad dressing habit if he or she has been warned of the offence up to at least two times.  5. Repeated cases after two
	not allowed. However, shirts with frills are allowed. 6. Jersey material tops are not allowed for normal lectures and other University assemblies.  7. Skirts could be straight, flared or pleated. Pencil skirts and skirts with uneven edges are not allowed. Lacy skirts are better worn to church. None should be tight or bodyhugging.  8. The wearing of dropping shawls or scarves over dresses or dresses with very tiny singlet-like straps (spaghetti straps) is strictly prohibited in the Chapel services, lecture and examination halls and in the University environment.  9. The wearing of strapless blouses or short blouses that do not cover the hip line is strictly prohibited in the lecture and examination halls and the University environment.  10. The wearing of over-clinging clothing,	warnings or Three (3) weeks of suspension shall attract suspension for one session or outright expulsion as the case may be.
	including body hugs clothing made from a stretchy or elastic material such as a condom, bandage skirts, leggings and joggings is strictly prohibited in the lecture and examination halls and in the University environment.  11. The wearing of revealing blouses, especially low-cut blouses and the type of blouse that does not fall below the hip line, is strictly prohibited in the lecture and examination halls and the University environment. The wearing of ordinary transparent dresses is strictly prohibited in the lecture and examination halls. 12. The use of face-caps in the lecture rooms, examination halls, University Chapel and the University environment is strictly prohibited.  13. Wearing bathroom slippers are not allowed in the academic buildings, Library and Chapel. 14. Female students are advised to wear corporate hairstyles that are decent. Coloured attachments that are different from the student's hair are strictly prohibited at the University. 15.	

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

## Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

Female students may wear trouser suits; however, the jacket must fall below the hip line. 16. Earrings and necklaces may be used by female students, provided they are not the bogus and dropping types. The wearing of more than one earring in each ear is strictly prohibited anywhere in the University. Also, painting nails, and attaching artificial long nails are not allowed in and outside the University. 17. Wearing ankle chains and rings on the toes is prohibited at the University.

18. The possession and wearing of jeans or any jeans-like materials of any kind are strictly prohibited in the University. 19. Female students are expected to wear corporate shoes to lectures and University assemblies.

20. Sports shoes or sneakers may only be worn outside the Chapel, lecture and examination halls. 21. Piercing of any part of the body, other than the ear (for earrings), is strictly prohibited. Any other piercing done before admission into the University shall be declared during the first registration in the first year. 22. Tattooing of any part of the body is prohibited. Any tattoo done before admission into the University shall be declared during the first registration in the first year. 23. Skirt slits should not be unnecessarily long and should not expose any part of the body from the knees upwards.

24. Wearing short trousers of any kind, tights, etc., to the lecture halls, Chapel services, and examination halls is strictly prohibited. 25. Wearing of boob tubes and camisoles under jackets should be done properly. No part of the chest should be revealed.

26. Wearing tops, shirts or T-shirts with indecent inscriptions and other forms of indecent words is not allowed anywhere in Covenant University and Canaanland.

Dress Code For Male Students

Male students are expected to dress corporately in the lecture halls, examination halls and University assemblies. To be corporately dressed connotes wearing a shirt and necktie, a pair of trousers, with or without a jacket, and a pair of covered shoes with socks. The tie knot must be pulled up to the top button of the dress shirt. 1. For national days such as Independence Day, the national dress code may be observed. Any shirt with indecent inscriptions or any sign with hidden meaning is strictly outlawed. 2. Bandless trousers must never be worn without suspenders. Singlets and shorts above the knee are not allowed. 3. No male student is allowed to wear jumpy trousers i.e. trousers above the ankle in the University. 4. Folding, holding and pocketing of one's tie along the road, lecture halls, University assemblies, etc., is strictly prohibited in the University. 5. Wearing a tie with canvas is not allowed in the University environment. Jerry's curls and treated hair are strictly prohibited. 6. Male students may wear "native" or traditional attire outside lecture hours and examination halls, especially during the weekend. 7. No male student is allowed to wear scarves, braided hair, earrings and ankle chains in the University. 8. Wearing long-sleeved shirts, without buttoning the sleeves is not allowed.

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

## Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

- 9. Shirt collars should not be left flying while collarless shirts are not allowed. 10. Shirts must be properly tucked into the trousers.
- 11. The practice of pulling down one's trousers to the hip line (Sagging) is prohibited. 12. Students are advised to have low-cut hair that is combed regularly. Afro-looking or bushy hairstyle is strictly prohibited. Male students are also expected to be clean-shaven, as the keeping of long beards is prohibited. In addition,the use of clippers should be restricted to the barbing salon. 13. The possession and, or wearing of corduroy, chinos, Jeans or Jeans-like materials of any kind is strictly prohibited in the University environment. 14. Wearing slippers, short knickers, and tight trousers is strictly prohibited outside the Halls of Residence.
- 15. The use of face caps in the lecture halls, examination halls and University Chapel is strictly prohibited, except for sports and other related events. 16. Piercing of any part of the body is prohibited. Any piercing done before admission into the University shall be declared during the registration in the first year; failure of which appropriate sanctions shall be applied. 17. Tattooing on any part of the body is prohibited. Any tattoo done before admission into the University shall be declared during the registration in the first year. 18. Jewellery such as neck chains, hand chains, bracelets finger and toe rings, and ankle chains are prohibited for male students. 19. Wearing slippers and sports shoes, tennis shoes, sneakers, or canvas shoes is not allowed in lecture and examination halls.
- 20. Students are advised to avoid cutting worldly hairstyles like Richo, all back, punk etc. All male students are also expected to be clean-shaven, as the keeping of beards is prohibited. In addition, the use of clippers should be restricted to the barbing salon. 21. Slashing of eyes, wearing earrings, and putting a chain on legs are strictly prohibited on and outside the campus.

Source: Compiled from the dress code guidelines of the six selected universities.

#### **Modest and Decent Dressing**

What constitutes modest or decent dressing is not absolute but determinable by the sociocultural attributes of a people (Ben, 2019) and varies across cultures, religions and societies (Adewunmi, 2011). Modesty refers to the quality of propriety in dress, speech or conduct. There is no single parameter for measuring modest or decent dressing. Lewis (2013) examines the intersection of modesty in modern fashion with or without religion. The book offers a divergent view of modesty as either a form of female religious action or a notion that goes beyond religion. Data indicated that the dress code guidelines of the six selected universities hinged on modest and decent dressing but are largely dependent on the core values of the institutions.

All the institutions out-lawed sartorial expressions and dresses that are transparent and see through, expose sensitive body parts, tattered and crazy jeans, wearing and public exposure of underclothing, unkempt appearances, plaiting, braiding or weaving of hair by male students as indecent dressing. The guidelines of ABU and Uniport are verbatim except for just an item that

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

is added to that of ABU. The published dress code guidelines of Ahmadu Bello University, University of Port Harcourt and Benue state university were more liberal in their dress and appearance standards. Sleeveless shirts or gowns and knee length dresses prohibited in other institutions were approved at Benue state university. University of Maiduguri's perspective of modest and decent dressing is a blend of corporate and Islamic dress patterns as portrayed by the pictorial messages.

Godfrey Okoye University and Covenant University particularly isolated certain prohibited body supplements and modifications. They are; tattoos, two or more earrings on one ear, big and dropping earrings, artificial nails, use of nail polish, coloured hair weaves or braids different from the girls' hair colour and heavy make-up. Others include; wearing anklets, waist beads, toe rings, body piercings, keeping beards, afro-looking or bushy hair, dreadlocks or treated hair by males, wearing of jewellery by males, possession and wearing of cauldron, chinos or any jean or jeans-like material, contact lens and artificial eyelashes.

# Institutional Interactions that Encourage Dress Conformity: The Disciplinary Power of Knowledge

Clothing norms depict the power of knowledge that controls the sartorial expressions of students. We explain institutional interactions that encourage dress conformity as strategies and techniques of social power relations in the university. Disciplinary power or power to control students' behaviour lies in their knowledge of the approved dress and appearance norms and sanctions. Dress code guidelines constitute a vital part of the process of training students whom the university would later reward for being found "worthy in character and learning". Dress code guidelines of the selected universities are published on their webpage, university bulletin and students' handbook, flagged messages at the entrance of the university, administrative blocks, colleges, faculties and departments. New students are acquainted with the existing guidelines on dress and appearance standards at the point of registration by the department of Student Affairs. The orientation programme forms part of the character moulding activities in the university where the core values are inculcated into the new students. Also, the university matriculation ceremony provides another platform for the socialization of new students to internalize the rules and regulations governing students' conduct on campus. Covenant University emphasizes the internalization of its dress code culture as a desideratum for a student's pleasurable academic pursuit.

Sanctions reflect an influential tool to ensure conformity to standards. The University of Maiduguri, ABU Zaria and Benue State University, Makurdi have no published sanctions for defaulters on their websites or handbook. Godfrey Okoye only noted that immediate punishment awaits the defaulter. Sanctions for defaulting students in Uniport range from counselling to sending away the defaulter from the lecture room, examination halls or the academic area where such appearance is prohibited and appearance before a disciplinary panel. In addition to these, Covenant University sends a warning letter to the erring student, writes the parent of the erring student, the suspension is invoked on the unrepentant student after at least two warnings and lastly expulsion. Power relations between university administration and students assist in normalizing the character of obedience to constituted authority through the

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

regulation of their daily sartorial behaviour patterns. Observation of the conformity of students dressing to approved standards in the selected universities shows that students of ABU, UniMaid, Covenant and Godfrey Okoye University were highly conformable to the norms due to a high degree of repression. In contrast, students of Uniport and Benue State University relatively conformed to their approved dress codes probably due to their liberal nature and environment. Again, the management of these institutions has not demonstrated the agency to enforce the dress codes.

## Institutional Surveillance and the threat of consequence influence self-discipline

The phenomenon of surveillance in contemporary societies with the aid of camera devices has become normalized as part of everyday life, internalized and supported by different institutions. The panopticon is a schema that facilitates the exercise of disciplinary power through surveillance (Gallagher, 2010). Researchers tend to describe schools as "panoptic spaces" (Gallagher 2010, Bushnell 2003, Blackford 2004, Perryman 2006) a "prison in disguise" (Barker et al, 2010 and Hall, 2003) and an enclosed place (Dolgun 2008 cited in Ceven et al, 2021) with a culture of strict discipline and surveillance. Foucault identifies a correlation between school and prison, like the prison, where prisoners are related to as children that must obey the decisions of their parents. The university instils, socializes and teaches its students the imperative of obedience to rules and regulations.

Clothing norms are mechanisms of insidious control and disciplinary power exercised through routine panopticon monitoring by academic and administrative staff. Institutional surveillance is the hallmark of enclosed places with a religious colouration. Although ABU and UniMaid are public institutions, the dominant religious clime influences the moral standards and boundaries applicable to the universities. Covenant and Godfrey Okoye University, by virtue of their mode of ownership and standard method of operation routinely monitor students dressing behaviour on campus. The mental picture of ones' behaviour permanently under siege by the panoptical lens of the university engenders self-discipline among students.

Students inculcate self-discipline in their negotiation of fashion towards institutional control. Foucault (1995) views discipline as the power to control students' dress and appearance through surveillance as a conscience-building mechanism that ensures that dress codes or norms are obeyed. Adherence to dress codes is a reflection of panoptic observation practice (Foucault 2014 in Ceven et al, 2021) characterized by internalized norms, volitional, and social, ideological or religious influence. Disciplinary power works as training, monitoring and examination. Foucault (1995) notes there is a possibility of resistance to power but the knowledge of the probable consequence such action would evoke may lead to self-discipline. The threat of imposed consequence produces embodied conformable students in ABU, UniMaid, Covenant and Godfrey Okoye University and embodied defiant students in Uniport and Benue State University.

Global Journal of Arts. Humanities and Social Sciences

Vol.11, No.3, pp.39-55, 2023

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

#### **CONCLUSION**

From a sociological viewpoint, we have examined dress or clothing as a visible element of fashion with social control as one of the major themes of the social process of fashion. This article not only further interrogated the place of dress in the delimitation of the social boundaries of fashion as an index of power, identity, status, belief, value or class, but had portrayed the influence of clothing norms on students' character-moulding as impactful in Nigerian universities. We focused on the individual characteristics of the selected universities, appearance standards and their constructions of modest/immodest and decent/indecent dress. The Foucaultian framework of Discipline and Punishment was applied in the analyses of the following variables: institutional interactions that encourage dress conformity and institutional surveillance and the threat of consequence on self-discipline.

We identify the main findings of the analysis and highlight the study contributions below. It was observed that the dress and appearance standards and students' sartorial choices and behaviour were largely influenced by the nature, environment and core values of the study institutions. Although universities award degrees on the premise that the graduate had been found "worthy in learning and character", the study revealed that four universities handled this aspect of character shaping with finesse. Second, the study institutions' emphasis on a modest and decent dress which prohibited the deliberate public exposure of the private parts of one's body or under clothes indicates a strong response against indecent dressing on campus. This supports earlier research on what constitutes indecent dressing (Egwim, 2010, Omede, 2011). What differed was the respective institution's interpretation of modest and decent dress.

Third, regarding the institutional interactions that encourage dress conformity, the disciplinary power of knowledge according to Foucault aptly describes it as the techniques of power of relations in the university. The process of students' socialization enhances their internalization of the appropriate dress standards. More so, students subscribe to these guidelines to avoid sanctions. Finally, we noted that institutional surveillance is a conscience building mechanism that reflects the culture of strict discipline reminiscent of educational institutions particularly, those with a religious background. Covert surveillance and monitoring of students dress and sartorial behaviour promote self-discipline among students. This shows that not only does institutional surveillance influence self-discipline but the threat of consequence could produce either embodied conformable or defiant students.

This study has contributed to existing knowledge by examining the notion of fashion as dress and adornment practices that serve as sites for institutional control of the clothing behaviour of adults from a sociological perspective. For further research, attention should be given more to the academic analysis of dress as fashion from different disciplines.

#### Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship and /or publication of this article.

Note: we used the terms clothing/ dress and norms/codes interchangeably.

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

#### **REFERENCES**

- Adewunmi, Bim (2011). Faith-based fashion takes off online. The Guardian. Retrieved 03/10/2022.
- Ahmadu Bello University(ABU) 2019/2020 undergraduate students handbook, Zaria, Kaduna state
- Aspers, P. and Godart, F. (2013). Annual Review Sociology. Doi: 10.1146/annurev-soc-071811-145526 Retrieved 07/05/2022
- Arthur, L.B. (1997). 'Clothing is a Window to the Soul: The Social Control of Women in a Holdeman Mennonite Community. Journal of Mennonite studies Volume 15, 1997.
- Arthur, L. B. ed. (2000). Undressing Religion: Commitment and conversion from a cross-cultural perspective. Berg Fashion Library.
- Barker, J., Alldred, P., Watts, M., and Dodman, H. (2010). Pupils or prisoners? Institutional geographies and internal exclusion in UK secondary school. Area 42 (3), 378-386.
- Ben, Hannes (2019). Modest fashion, major opportunity. Locaria. Retrieved 03/10/2022.
- Benjamin, W. 1999. The Arcades Project. Cambridge, MA: Harvard Univ. Press
- Benue State University Makurdi https://www.bsum.edu.ng
- Black, Prudence and Findlay, Rosie (2016). Dressing the Body. Cultural Studies Review Volume 22 number 1 March 2016.http://dx.doi.org/10.5130/csr.v22i1.4907
- Blackford, H. (2004). Playground panopticism: Ring-around-the-children, a pocketful of women. Childhood. 11(2). https://doi.org/10.1177/0907568204043059
- Braudel, F. Civilization and Capitalism 15th 18th Century (Braudel 1956), Vol. I (California: University of California Pres, 1992), p. 311.
- Bushnell, M. (2003). Teachers in the school house complicity and resistance. Education and Urban Society 35(3), 251-272. https://doi.org/10.1177/0013124503035003001.
- Calefato, Patrizia (2004). The Clothed body. Oxford: UK.
- Ceven, G., Korumaz, M. and Omur, V.E. (2021). Disciplinary power in the school: the panoptic surveillance. Educational policy analysis and strategic research Volume 16 (1). DOI:10.29329/epasr2020.334.9
- Covenant University 2019-2022 Student Hand Book, Otta, Ogun State.
- Craik, J. 1993. The Face of Fashion: Cultural Studies of Fashion. London: Routledge
- Crane, D. (2000). Fashion and Its Social Agendas. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Crane, D, Bovone, L. 2006. Approaches to material culture: the sociology of fashion and clothing. Poetics 34:319–33
- Egwim, C. (2010). Indecent Dressing Among Youths. http://www.es/networld.com/webpages/features Retrieved September 26, 2022.
- Entwistle, J. 2000. The Fashioned Body. Fashion, Dress and Modern Social Theory. Cambridge, UK: Polity
- Entwistle, J. 2015. The fashioned body. London: John Wiley & Sons
- Fayokun, K. O, Adedeji, S.O. and Oyebade, S.A. (2009) "Moral crisis in higher institutions and the dress code phenomenon" US-China Education Review, Volume 6, No.2 (Serial No.51)

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

- Foucault, M. (1995). Discipline and punish, the birth of prison. (A Sheridan, Trans). Vintage books, A division of Random House Inc.
- Friedrich, Jasper and Shanks, Rachel (2021). 'The prison of the body: school uniforms between discipline and governmentality'. Discourse: Studies in the Cultural politics of education. https://doi.org/10.1080/01596306.2021.1931813 Accessed 24/03/2022.
- Gallagher, M. (2010). Are schools panoptic? Surveillance and Society 7(3/4), 262-272. https://www.surveillance-and-society.org
- Godfrey Okoye University https://www.gouni.edu.ng
- Hall, N. (2003). The role of the slate in Lancastrian schools as evidenced by their manuals and handbooks. Paradigm 2 (7), 46-54.
- Hansen, K.T. 2004. The World in Dress: Anthropological Perspectives on Clothing, Fashion, and Culture. Annu. Rev. Anthropol. 2004. 33:369-92 doi:10.1146/annurev.anthro.33.070203.143805. Accessed 06/07/2022
- Honkatukia, P. and Keskinen, S. (2018). The social control of young women's clothing and bodies: A perspective of differences on racialization and sexualization. Ethnicities 2018, Vol. 18(1) 142–161. DOI: 10.1177/1468796817701773
- Hume, L. (). Dress and religion. Doi: 10.5040/9781474280655-BG006
- Hume, L. (2013). The religious life of dress: Global Fashion and faith. London: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Horowitz, R.T. (2009). 'From Elite Fashion to Mass Fashion'. *European Journal of Sociology*. Cambridge University Press.
- Jenkins, Tania M. (2014). Clothing norms as markers of status in a hospital setting: A Bourdieusian analysis. London: Health.
- Johnson, K.S., Lennon, J. and Rudd, N. (2014). "Dress, Body and Self: Research in the social psychology of Dress". Fashion and Textiles 1(1): 20 Doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/s40691-014-0020-7
- Kawamura, Y. (2005). Fashion-ology: An Introduction to Fashion Studies. New York: Berg Press
- Lipovetsky, G.1987(1994). The Empire of Fashion: Dressing Modern Democracy. Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press. 276 pp.
- Lunenburg, F. C. (2011). Can schools regulate student dress and grooming in school. Focus On Colleges, Universities, and Schools, 5(1), 1-5.
- Lurie, A. (1981). The Language of Clothes. Random House, New York. Accessed 02/07/2022.
- Nath, V., Bach, S. and Lockwood, G. (2016). Dress codes and appearance norms at work:

  Body supplements, body modifications and aesthetic labour.

  www.acas.org.uk/researchpapers
- Omede. Jacob, (2011) "Indecent Dressing on Campuses of Higher Institutions of Learning in Nigeria: Implications for Counseling" Journal of Emerging Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies (JETERAPS) 2 (4): 228-233 © Scholarlink Research Institute Journals, (ISSN: 2141-6990).
- Perryman, J. (2006). Panoptic performativity and school inspection regimes: Disciplinary mechanisms and life under special measures. Journal of Education Policy 21(2), 147-161. https://doi.org/10.1080/02680930500500138.

Global Journal of Arts. Humanities and Social Sciences

Vol.11, No.3, pp.39-55, 2023

Print ISSN: 2052-6350(Print)

Online ISSN: 2052-6369(Online)

Website: https://www.eajournals.org/

## Publication of the European Centre for Research Training and Development -UK

Pomertanz, Shauna (2007). "Cleavage in a tank top: Bodily prohibition and the discourse of school dress codes". Alberta Journal of Educational Research 53 (4): 373 – 386.ProQuest 228639180.

Sequeira, A. H., Mendonca, C., Prabhu, K., & Narayan Tiwari, L. (2014). A Study on Dress Code for College Students. Mandeep and Prabhu K., Mahendra and Narayan Tiwari, Lakshmi, A Study on Dress Code for College Students (September 29, 2014).

Simmel, G. 1904 (1957). Fashion. Am. J. Sociol. 62:541–58

Toennies, F. (1887). Gemeinschaft und Gesellschaft.

Tseelon, E. (1989). Communication via Clothes. Unpublished paper. Department of experimental Psychology, University of Oxford.

University of Maiduguri https:/www.unimaid.edu.ng

University of Port Harcourt (2020/2021) School of General Studies Student Handbook.

Veblen, T. 1899. The Theory of the Leisure Class: An Economic Study of Institutions. New York: Dover Thrift. 244 pp.

Wilson, E. (1987, 2004). Adorned in Dreams: Fashion and Modernity, University of California Press, Berkeley, p.378.