Published by ECRTD-UK

Print ISSN: ISSN 2054-6319 (Print), Online ISSN: ISSN 2054-6327(online)

CHARACTERIZATION CLASSIFICATION AND MANAGEMENT OF SOME SOILS IN UJAM DISTRICT OF MAKURDI, BENUE STATE. ¹Abagyeh, O. S. I. and ¹Anande A. P. and ²Abagyeh, I. J.

- 1. Department of Soil Science, University of Agriculture Makurdi, Nigeria.
- 2. Department of Crop Production, University of Agriculture MAKURDI, NIGERIA

ABSTRACT: An intensive soil survey was carried out in Ujam District the two sites chosen were designated: (1) Tse-Tswam and (11) Tse-Ordam. The aims were to characterize, classify and proffer management practices for the soils. At each site, three profiles pits were sunk and morphologically described. Samples collected from identified genetic horizons were subjected to analyses using standard analytical procedures. The soil profiles ranged from deep (118cm) to very deep (200cm); well to imperfectly drained; epipedons' colour varied from very dark brown (7.5YR 2/3)/brownish black (7.5 YR 3/1) due to melanisation; subsoils dull reddish brown (5YR4/4) to rediomophism and brownish gray (10 YR 5/1), imprint of gleization; Mottles on the subsoils may be attributed to drainage impedance; sandy loam or loamy sand surfaces with clay to sandy clay loam subsoils; weak fine crumb to moderate/strong fine-coarse subangular blocky structures. The soils had medium to high sand (41,20-83,00%), very low to medium clay (06,02,58-43,25%) and low silt (10.65-16.96%) fractions; medium bulk density (1.19-1.38gmcm⁻³) and porosity (48.68-56.60%). Soil reaction was slightly acid (5.67-6.50); low organic carbon (1.05-0.30%), nitrogen (0.03-0.18%), Available phosphorus (3.00-10.10%) and EC (0.10-0.13dms⁻¹). CEC was very low $(6.34-9.10 \text{cmolkg}^{-1})$ likewise CaCO₃ (0.00-2.00%); medium to high base saturation (48.80-4.00%)91.90%). All soil units (1-V1) possessed argillic horizons with base saturations that were ≤50%(NH4OAc at pH 7) and were classified into Alfisols at soil order level; units 1, 1V and V further qualified into Eutric Haplustalfs (Eutric luvisols/Lixisols Clayiec,kandic), 11 and V1 into Kandic Haplustalfs (Eutric luvisols/Lixisols Clayiec,kandic) while units 111 and V were placed into (Vertic Epiaqualfs (Eutric luvisols/Lixisols Clayiec,kandic) at subgroup. Organic/mineral fertilizers will improve soil fertility, structure and water retention of the soils.

KEYWORDS: soil profiles, characterization, classification, management, argillic, base saturation, haplustalfs, vertic epiaqualfs, fertilizers.

INTRODUCTION

In recent times, world food production is on the decline, indicating that productivity of agricultural lands worldwide is undergoing some kinds of degradation. The trends is attributed to ever growing population, discovery of new uses such as bio-fuels from agricultural products, and weather based abnormalities associated with climate change often culminating in decline in rainfall, thus, resulting into low incomes of populous nations (Sidhu and Kamal 2007). To improve the agricultural productivity of the world in general and the research sites in particular, soil productivity must be checked by effectively monitoring soil health. Even the available agricultural lands are not being optimally utilized by their users for a number of reasons: lack of sufficient

Published by ECRTD-UK

Print ISSN: ISSN 2054-6319 (Print), Online ISSN: ISSN 2054-6327(online)

technical information such as fertilizers status, land use plans as well as management; urbanization and over dependence on oil and white kola job.

Soil characterization provides information for assessment and monitoring of soil behaviour. Classifications systematically arrange soils into groups or categories base on distinguishing characteristics as well as criteria that dictate choices in use. Such system fosters global communication about soils, soil scientists and people saddled with land management and conservation of soil resources. The management option that will guarantee high soil productivity principally depends on the nature and properties of that soil. Characterization is key to soil productivity and determines options for soil management (Onyekwere *et al.*, 2017)

This study was therefore set out to characterize, classify and Proffer possible soil management practices that will ensure food security.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

LOCATION: The study area lies in Ujam District between latitude 07° 08.65'N, longitude 009° 14.22'E, and latitude 7°45'48'N, longitude 8°38' 35'E covering an estimated area of 60,000m² (6ha). Profiles' locations, slope and height above sea level were taken by employing Global Positioning System (GPS). Two sites designated (1) Tse – Ordam (2) Tse – Tswam were selected and subjected to detailed soil survey through the conventional grid method.

Soil Sampling: Three profile pits each (Profiles 1- 111 at Tse-Ordam; 1V-V1 at Tse-Tswam) were sunk at least to 2.0m depth or impenetrable layer or whichever is shallower in site 1 and 2 and morphologically characterized using the pattern outlined in the soil survey manual (Soil Survey Staff, 2010; Gutherie and Witty, 1982). Soil samples collected from genetic horizons were package in properly labelled sample bags and taken to the laboratories for physical and chemical analysis. After air drying and passed through a 2mm sieve, the samples were subjected to laboratory analysis using the Manual of Selected Methods of Plants and Soil Analysis, IITA (1994).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physical Properties: The soil units lie in a low-lying topography with a slope of 0-3% with narrow cracks (≥5mm) as the major surface characteristic. Profiles depths were deep (118cm) to very deep (200cm), well to imperfectly drained with mottled B-C horizons in most profiles. Soil colour varied from very dark brown (7.5YR 2/3)/brownish black (7.5 YR 3/1) due to melanisation from organic: to dull reddish brown (5YR4/4) surfaces due attributed to rediomophism but brown (7.5 YR 4/3) brownish gray (10 YR 5/1) subsoil attributed. to imperfect drainage condition (gleization). Mottles observed on sub soils were attributed to oxidation-reduction cycles due to ground water fluctuation (Babalola *et al;* 2011).

The sandy loam underlain by sandy clay loam with weak Fine crumbs to moderately sub angular blocky structures of the sites make them suitable for all agriculture practices. Occurrences of

Vol.8, No.4, pp. 13-21, October 2020

Published by ECRTD-UK

Print ISSN: ISSN 2054-6319 (Print), Online ISSN: ISSN 2054-6327(online)

higher clay contents in the subsurface horizons of profiles may be attributed to elluviation from epipedons and illuvation of these finer particles in the subsoils; indicating that the soils were well developed. Silt content shows irregular distribution pattern in all profiles; lowest values were accumulated in the C-horizon. Naidu (2002) observed an irregular trend in silt content with sugarcane growing on soils of Kamataka, India. Higher sand percentages were observed in the epipedons of all profiles. This is expected as the finer silt and clay particles were iluviated in to the lower horizons at the detriment of the sand fraction hence sandy loam surfaces. Abagyeh (2017) observed that parent material's grain sizes are the main determinant of the soil texture.

Progressively increase in the bulk density of the sub-soils may be related to the filling of pores by eluviated materials which showed that "the soils were not compacted enough" to undermine irrigation agriculture in the area. Porosity decreased with increase in profile depth and ranged from 56.60% to 47.92%. This could be as a result of illuviatin of clay in these units, thereby reducing the pore spaces (Sharu *et al.*, 2013) and making it suitable for irrigation.

Chemical Properties: Table 3 shows that soil reaction was slightly acidic to moderately acidic (pH 5.05 - 6.50). Similar result had been reported by Abagyeh (2018); Lawal et al. (2012). These values are within the pH requirement for most available nutrients up take by arable crops (Brady and Weil, 1999) and subsequent irrigation. Organic carbon contents of the surface (1.05%) soils were higher than that of the subsoils (0.05%) in all profiles. This may be attributed to addition of farmyard manures and plant residues to the surface horizons. This agreed with Abagyeh (2016). Nitrogen values follow the trend in OC (0.18% to 0.03%) in all soils. Total nitrogen is mobile in soils as a result, its losses through various mechanism like NH₃ volatilization, succeeding denitrification, chemical and microbial fixation, and leaching and runoff results in residual/available nitrogen becoming poor in soils (Abagyeh, 2017). The soils were medium to very low in phosphourus content. Low values of phosphorus were due to low cation exchange capacity (CEC), clay content and soil reaction of less than 6.5 in conformity to Abagyeh (2016) on the soils of Lower Benue River Basin. Electrical conductivity was rated very low (0.02dms⁻¹ to 0.13dms¹) indicating non –saline status of the soils. Exchangeable bases occurred in the order of Ca>Mg>K>Na on the exchange complex and were rated medium to very low in all the profiles examined. This may be attributed to the nature of the underlying materials, intensity of weathering, leaching, low activity clay content, very low organic matter content and the lateral translocation of bases according to Kang (1993). It was clear that Mg was present in lower amount than Ca²⁺ because of its higher mobility. These results are in conformity with findings of Abagyeh (2016). Higher CEC values were found mostly in horizons with higher clay contents. Similar trends were observed in some Nigerian Southern Guinea Savanna soils (Ojanuga and Awujoola, 1981). The surface horizons of profiles I to 1V had higher values which could be linked to the active plant litter decomposition process which incorporates cations from the litter into the soil surface. Profiles V and V1 where the subsurface (B- horizon) possessed higher base saturation than the surface horizon (A) was attributed to leaching of bases from surface horizons to the subsoil by Mohan (2008).

Vol.8, No.4, pp. 13-21, October 2020

Published by ECRTD-UK

Print ISSN: ISSN 2054-6319 (Print), Online ISSN: ISSN 2054-6327(online)

USDA Classification: The six (6) soil units possessed orchric epipedons and argillic horizons and base saturations that were more than 50 % (NH₄OAc at pH 8.0). Soil units 1, 11, 111, 1V, V and V1 were therefore keyed into Alfisols at the soil order level. They were placed into suborder Ustalfs by virtue of their ustic soils moisture regime as the soils control sections were dry for more than 90 cumulative days but less than 180 cumulative days or 90 consecutive days during the year. Units 1 and 111 had aquic soil moisture regime and were classified as Aqualfs.

Soil unit 1 possessed high bas saturation (BS) through entire profile depth, cracks within the 125cm of mineral surface that were 5 mm or more, low cation exchange capacity (CEC) and gray subsurface soil colour; it was classified as Eutric Epiaqualf. Units 11 was low in base saturation and CEC with high sand fraction and was placed into Dystric Haplaqualf. Unit 111 had high base saturation, low CEC, relative high sand fraction with clayed subsoils therefore fall into Eutric Epiaqualf On the other hand, soil Unit 1V was classified as Arenic Haplustalf as the soil possessed cracks within the 125cm of mineral surface that were 5 mm or more, very high sand fraction through entire profile depth and low BS. Unit V has very high BS (irregular distribution) and sand fraction with gray Clayed subsoils, low CEC through the profile depth and was put in Eutric Haplic Eutrustalf while unit V1 fall into the Haplustalfs great group and Clayiec Haplustalf subgroup levels for possessing clayed and grayeic subsoils and CEC.

Worild Refence Base: Soil units 1, 11, 111, 1V, V and V1 were classified as Vertic Luvisols. Kandic, Glayiec; Dystric Luvisols. Kindic Clayiec; Vertric Luvisols, Kindic, Arenic; Vertic luvisols Dystric; Gleyiec Luvisols Kandic and Glayiec luvisols Kandic, Clayiec in that order based on the reasons advanced in USDA Classification

Acid very sensitive may required slight acidification; organic matter incooperation and fertilizers application will boast soil fertility, textural/structural adjustment hence general soil fertility.

Published by ECRTD-UK

Print ISSN: ISSN 2054-6319 (Print), Online ISSN: ISSN 2054-6327(online)

Table 1: Suitability Index for the Irrigation Capability Indices (Ci) Classes

Capability/ Suitability Index	Class	Definition	Symbol							
>80	I	Highly suitable	S 1							
60-80	II	Moderately suitable	S2							
45-60	III	Marginally suitable	S 3							
30-45	IV	Currently not suitable	N1							
<30	V	Permanently not suitable	N2							
Source: Instituto Agronomico per l'oitremare (2014										

Table 2: Terrain and Soil Characteristics Used for Land Suitability Evaluation for **Irrigation Agriculture** Soil \mathbf{S} 1 2 3 4 5 6 **Characteristics Texture Class SCL SCL SCL** SLSL**SCL** Depth Cm 200 **150** 150 200 118 120 CaCO₃ **%** 0 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 20 - 2 dsm^{-1} EC 0.12 0.13 0.125 0.125 0.13 0.03 Drainage ID WD WD ID Class ID ID Slope % 0 - 10 - 10 - 20 - 30 - 20 - 1**% Gravel Content** 0.2 0.3 0.7 0.2 0.3 0.2

Table 3: Some Characteristics of Soils at Ujam District Area of Makurdi, Benue State

Horizon

_	orizon	nai actei istic	S OI DOIS CO	Cjain Di	Strict Trict	1 01 1 11	idi di, Dei	nuc state	Other	Physic	al Prope	erties	
	.0112011		Morphol	ogical Ch	aracteristi	cs			Particle Size Dist.				le Soil
DS	DP	Col	our	Texture	Str.	Bd	Rm	Porosity	Sand	Silt	Clay	gravel	BD
	(cm)	Matrix	Mottles	class	-			%				%	gmcm ⁻³
J	Unit 1			Eı	ıtric Epiac	qualf/Ve	ertic Luvi	sols. Clayic	ec, Kand	ic			
Ap	0-27	7.5YR 2.5/3	3 -	LS	1fcr	DS	Cracks	56.60	78.08	14.45	7.47	0.30	1.15
\mathbf{A}	27-60	7.5YR 4/3	7.5YR 5/8	SL	2f-msbk	DS		55.47	70.36	17.34	12.30	0.20	1.18
В	60-130	7.5YR 5/1	7.5YR 6/8	SCL	2f-msbk	DS		54.72	65.20	10.68	24.12	0.20	1.20
\mathbf{C}	130-200	10YR 5/1	7.5YR 4/6	SCL	2fmsbk	-		53.96	52.12	11.67	36.21	0.10	1.22
\mathbf{M}				SCL	1fcr			55.19	66.44	13.54	20.03	0.20	1.19
Unit 11 Dystric Haplaqualf/Dystric Luvisols. Kindic Clayiec													
A	0-22	5YR 3/2	-	SL	2f-mcr	DS		51.70	75.24	12.60	12.16	0.20	1.28
В	22-77	7.5YR 5/6	-	SCL	3f-csbk	DS		50.19	69.30	10.20	20.50	0.40	1.32
\mathbf{C}	77-150	2.5YR 6/5	2.5YR 4/6	SCL		_		49.81	60.31	11.58	28.11	0.30	1.33
m				SCL				50.57	68.28	11.46	20.26	0.30	1.31
Unit 1	111			Eutric	Epiaqualf	/Vertric	Luvisols	, Kindic, A	renic				
Ap	0-38	5YR 3/2	-	SL	1fcr	DS		54.72	70.26	18.54	11.20	0.10	1.20
							Cracks						
\mathbf{A}	38-60	7.5YR 4/4	-	SL	1fcr	GS		52.83	65.60	19.18	15.22	0.60	1.25
В	60-100	2.5YR 6/5	2.5YR 4/6	SL	2f-csbk	GS		49.06	60.36	17.52	22.12	1.00	1.35
\mathbf{C}	100-150	2.5YR 5/4	2.5YR 5/3	SCL	3F-csbk	-		48.68	56.30	12.60	31.10	1.20	1.36
\mathbf{M}				SCL				51.32	63.13	16.96	19.91	0.73	1.36
Unit 1	1V			Arenio	Haplusta	lf/Verti	c luvisols	Dystric, Ai	renic				
Ap	0-30	5YR 3/2	-	LS	1fcr	DS	Cracks	48.30	83.00	10.98	6.02	0.30	1.37
\mathbf{A}	30-80	5YR 4/6	-	SL	1fcr	DS		47.92	77.10	11.60	11.30	0.20	1.38
В	80-200	10YR 7/2	10YR 5/8	SCL	1fcr	-		47.92	64.26	9.38	26.36	0.20	1.38
\mathbf{M}				SL	2f-msbk			48.05	74.79	10.65	14.58	0.23	1.38
V				Haplic	Eutrust al	f/Glayie	ec luvisols	, Eutric, K	<u>andic</u>				
Ap	0-16	5YR 2.5/2	-	LS	1fcr	DS		50.19	80.24	12.66	7.10	0.30	1.32

Table 4: Chemical Properties of Selected Soils of Ujam District Areas in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria.

pH OC OM N AP EC ESP SAR Exchangeable Properties BS CaCO₃

\mathbf{A}	16-77	5YR 4/4	-	SL	1fcr	DS	49.81	77.25	10.40	12.35	0.30	1.33
В	77-118	7.5YR 6/3		SCL	2f-msbk	-	49.06	58.35	11.36	30.29`	0.20	1.35
\mathbf{M}				SL			49.69	71.95	11.47	16.58	0.27	1.33
V1				Glayiec	Haplustal	f/Glayiec luvisols	Kandic, 0	Clayiec				
A	0-29	7.5YR 3/1	-	SCL	1fcr	DS	54.72	58.32	12.56	29.12	0.20	1.20
В	29-65	7.5YR 3/2	-	SCL	1fcr	DS	52.83	50.25	16.75	33.00	0.30	1.25
\mathbf{C}	65-120	10YR 5/1	10YR 7/6	C	2f-msbk	-	52.08	41.20	15.54	43.26	0.20	1.27
\mathbf{M}				SCL			53.21	49.92	14.95	35.13	0.23	1.24

KEY: Key: **TEXTURE**: C - Clay, SL –Loamy Sandy, SL -Sandy Loam, SCL – Sandy Clay Loam; **STRUCTURE:**Str. – Structure, 1fcr – Weakf fine crumb, 1f- mcr,- Weak fine-medium crumb, 2f-csbk – Moderate fine to coarse subangular blocky, 3f-csbk – Coarse subangular blocky; **Boundary :**Bd – Boundary, AS - Abrupt Clear, GS – Gradual smooth, DS - Diffuse Smooth and BD –Bulk Density, Cracks - ≤5mm Cracks and BD = Bulk Density.

Design	Depth	H_2O								Ca	Mg	K	Na	TEB	CEC		
Unit	cm			%		mgkg ⁻¹	dms ⁻¹	%				cn	nolkg ⁻¹			%	%
Un	nit 1		Eutric Epiaqualf/Vertic Luvisols. Clayiec, Kandic														
Ap	0-27	6.30	0.90	1.56	0.12	7.68	0.12	1.43	0.06	3.40	2.80	0.13	0.10	6.43	7.00	91.9	
A	27-60	6.05	0.70	1.21	0.10	6.50	0.13	1.26	0.05	3.24	2.84	0.11	0.09	6.28	7.12	88.2	
В	60-130	5.90	0.50	0.86	0.06	5.00	0.10	1.40	0.06	2.82	2.42	0.15	0.10	5.49	7.16	76.7	
C	130-200	5.95	0.30	0.52	0.03	4.10	0.12	1.60	0.08	2.18	2.12	0.12	0.12	4.54	7.48	60.7	
M		6.05	0.60	1.04	0.08	5.82	0.12	1.42	0.06	2.91	2.55	0.13	0.10	5.69	7.19	79.38	0 - 2
Unit 11						Dys	tric Hap	laqualf	/Dystric	Luviso	ls. Kind	ic Clay	iec				
A	0-22	6.00	0.65	1.12	0.11	7.00	0.13	1.25	0.07	2.60	1.78	0.11	0.10	4.59	8.02	57.2	
В	22-77	5.84	0.40	0.69`	0.07	6.10	0.12	1.3	0.27	2.30	1.86	0.14	0.12	4.42	8.68	50.9	
C	77-150	6.05	0.30	0.52	0.05	4.45	0.13	1.21	0.08	2.08	1.76	0.13	0.11	4.08	9.10	44.8	
Mean		5.96	0.45	0.78	0.08	5.85	0.13	1.28	0.14	2.33	1.80	0.13	0.11	4.36	8.60	50.97	0 - 2
Unit 111	-					Eu	ıtric Epia	aqualf/\	Vertric l	Luvisols	, Kindic	, Areni	ic				
Ap	0-38	6.20	0.80	1.38	0.18	7.20	0.12	1.90	0.07	3.00	2.20	0.14	0.12	5.46	6.34	86.1	
A	38-60	5.97	0.65	1.12	0.10	5.40	0.12	1.58	0.07	2.86	2.58	0.12	0.11	5.66	6.96	81.3	
В	60-100	5.80	0.40	0.69	0.06	3.00	0.13	1.69	0.09	1.84	2.12	0.11	0.12	4.19	7.12	58.8	
C	100-150	5.95	0.30	0.52	0.05	3.20	0.13	1.67	0.08	1.78	2.46	0.14	0.12	4.50	7.20	62.5	
Mean		5.98	0.54	0.93	0.10	4.7	0.13	1.71	0.08	2.37	2.34	0.13	0.12	4.10	6.91	72.18	0 - 2

Unit 1	V	Arenic Haplustalf/Vertic luvisols Dystric, Arenic															
Ap	0-30	5.90	0.68	1.18	0.16	6.60	0.10	1.46	0.08	2.80	1.80	0.21	0.12	4.93	8.20	60.1	
A	30-80	5.86	0.50	0.86	0.08	4.20	0.12	1.34	0.07	2.76	1.84	0.11	0.11	4.82	8.36	57.7	
В	8-200	5.67	0.05	0.09	0.08	2.20	0.13	1.13	0.07	2.20	1.86	0.21	0.10	4.37	8.83	49.25	
Mean		5.81	0.41	0.71	0.11	4.33	0.12	0.31	0.07	2.59	1.83	0.18	0.11	4.71	8.46	55.68	0 - 2
Unit V	nit V Haplic Eutrustalf/Glayiec luvisols, Eutric, Kandic																
Ap	0-16	6.50	0.84	1.45	0.12	7.10	0.12	1.77	0.07	3.30	2.30	0.18	0.12	5.90	6.78	87.0	
A	16-77	5.98	0.58	1.00	0.09	5.20	0.13	1.70	0.07	3.16	2.90	0.14	0.12	6.32	7.06	89.5	
В	77-118	6.20	0.30	0.52	0.08	3.10	0.13	1.40	0.07	3.20	1.90	0.16	0.11	5.37	7.80	68.8	
Mean		6.23	0.57	0.99	0.10	5.13	0.13	1.62	0.07	3.22	2.37	0.16	0.12	5.86	7.21	81.77	0 - 2
Unit V1						Gl	ayiec Ha	plustalf/	Glayiec	luvisols	Kandi	c, Clay	iec				
A	0-29	6.08	1.05	1.82	0.08	10.00	0.02	1.35	0.06	4.00	2.90	0.11	0.11	7.12	8.12	67.7	
В	29-65	5.05	0.65	1.12	0.04	8.20	0.02	1.27	0.06	3.40	2.00	0.12	0.10	5.62	7.88	71.3	
C	65-120	5.40	0.40	0.69	0.02	6.80	0.05	1.37	0.06	2.80	2.26	0.10	0.10	5.26	7.30	72.1	
Mean		5.51	0.70	1.21	0.05	8.33	0.03	1.33	0.06	3.40	2.39	0.11	0.10	6.00	7.77	70.37	0 - 2

Key: Design = Designation

REFERENCES:

- Abagyeh, S. O. I. (2016). Characterization and Irrigation Suitability Ratings of Soils of Mid-Benue Valley Using Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.Moench) as a Test Crop. Department of Soil Science, College of Agronomy, Federal Uuniversity of Agriculture Makurdi, Nigeria.
- **Abagyeh, S. O. I.**; Ibo P. I. and Idoga, S. (2017). Irrigation Suitability Ratings and Okra Productivity of Some Mid-Benue Trough Soils: A Comparative Analysis. Nigerian *Journal of Soil Science*. 27: Pp. 230 244.
- **Abagyeh, S. O. I.**; Wuese, S. T. and Ezenwa, M. I. S. (2017). Characterization, Classification and Management of Integrated Landscapes in the Middle Benue Valley of Benue State. Nigerian *Journal of Soil Science*. 27: Pp. 267 281.
- Sidhu, M. S. and Kamal V. (2007). Development experience of Indian agriculture: An appraisal of post-reform period. *Southern Economist*, 11: 9-14.
- Gutherie R.L and Witty, I. (1982). New designation for soil horizons and layers and the New Soil Survey manual. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 46:443-444.

 IITA (1994). Manual of Selected Methods of Plants and Soil Analysis,.
- Soil Survey Staff, (2010). Key to Soil Taxonomy, 11 Edition. Basic system of Soil Classification for Making and Interpreting Soil Survey, National Reserve Conservation Services, Agricultural Dept. Soil Survey Division. Washington DC USA. 126p.
- Ojanuga A.G and Awujoola, A.I. (1981). Characteristics and classification of the soils of the Jos plateau, *Nigerian Journal, Soil Science*. 2: 201-119.
- Onyekwere, I.N., Nwosu, P. O., Ezenwa, M. I.S. and Odofin, A. J.(2017): Characterization, Classification and Management of Olokoro Soils Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria for Increased
- Malgwi, W. B.. (2001). Characterization of Salt Affect Soils of Some Selected Locations in the North-West Zone of Nigeria. An Unpublished Thesis Submitted to Soil Science Department, ABU Zaria
- Sharu, M., Yakubu, M. And Tsafe, A. I. (2013). Characterization ans Classification of Soils on an Agricultural Landscape in Dingyadi District, Sokoto State, Nigeria. Nigeriaa Journal of Basic and Applied Science. 21(2)137-147
- Malgwi, W. B.. (2001). Characterization of Salt Affect Soils of Some Selected Locations in the North-West Zone of Nigeria. An Unpublished Thesis Submitted to Soil Science Department, ABU Zaria
- Maniyunda, L. M. and Gwari, M. G. (2013). DEVELPMENT OF A Toposequence on Loessial Deposit in Northern Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science.Vol. 9(3) (ISSN 1990-614).

 Retrieved from www.arpnjournals.com
- Mohan M. M. (2008). Characterization and Classification of Soils and Land Suitability of a Micro watershed in Hanagal Taluk. M Sc.Thesis University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad. 117p.
- Naidu, L. G. K. (2002). Characterization of sugarcane soils of Karanataka. Agropedology, 12:157-163.