Print ISSN: 2054-6297(Print)

Online ISSN: 2054-6300 (Online)

Post-Compulsory Students Learning Styles and Resilience in London (U.K.)

Walifa Rasheed-Karim Fellow of the 'Society for Education and Training (FSET)

Rasheed-Karim W. (2022) Post-Compulsory Students Learning Styles and Resilience in London (U.K.), International Journal of Education, Learning and Development, Vol. 10, No.8, pp.40-50

ABSTRACT: Research on learning style models advocate that students are more likely to achieve learning goals by matching teaching styles with learning styles. This paper investigates students' learning styles by the Learning Styles Questionnaire (LSQ) as it conveys the opportunity to build resiliency among students. The nature of resiliency has also been explored using multiple measurements. The paper's investigation includes a short scale of measuring resiliency used by post-compulsory researchers. Students learning styles were examined in terms of ethnicity and gender and their relationship with course types and resilience. A research study in London asked thirty students (15 males and 15 females) to complete the LSQ and measurement of resilience items. The results showed that students' learning style was not related to ethnicity, gender, qualification type, or resilience. These findings support some research. The research implies that triangulation of measurements is necessary with a larger number of participants across types of courses and locations. Furthermore, students' resilience needs to be defined in terms of wellbeing, gender, and ethnicity across the U.K.

KEY WORDS: learning style questionnaire, resiliency, post-compulsory students.

INTRODUCTION

Learning Styles

Bringing together the definitions of learning styles by Dunn et al (1995), Keefe (1979), Sims and Sims (1995), Oxford et al (1991) and Dingliang (1995), learning styles may be defined as the combination of our motivations and cognitive processing which interact with metacognitive skills such as planning, analysis of situations, self-evaluations and knowledge skills. A succinct classification of learning styles was made by Curry (1991) who conceptualised three layers of a model of styles analogous to an onion.

A. The innermost layer of the onion is the cognitive personality style. This is defined as the individual's approach to adapting and assimilating information that does not interact with the environment but is an underlying and relatively permanent personality dimension that is apparent only when an individual's behaviour is observed across many learning instances.

B. The second layer is referred to as the "information processing style". Curry believed that measures of information processing styles are more stable than instructional preference, but modifiable by learning strategies.

C. The outermost layer is the most observable style and is labelled as "instructional preference". This refers to the individual's choice of the environment in which to learn.

Curry concluded that definitions of learning style, its concepts and theories are dissimilar between cultures and types. Strernberg and Grigorenko (2001,b.) also reported that there is an empirical problem with the measurement of learning styles and this is the generalisability of findings. Nevertheless, Coffield et al's. (2004) arguments were seen as being influential. A review identified 71 models of learning styles; 13 are viewed as more significant than the other 58. While some are new constructs similar to others, some were used with small or homogenous populations. This offered little reliable and valid evidence for practice-based applications. Models also failed to recognise how styles vary in different content areas and disciplines and have not answered how context affects learning (Coffield et al., 2004). Hodgkinson, Herriot and Anderson (2001) discussed the need for a pragmatic approach which Cools (2008) asserted combines theoretical rigor and practical relevance.

However, the use of learning styles remains to be influential in pedagogy. Pritchard (2009) named three learning styles (VARK). *Visual learners* (V) usually like information to be presented as either diagrams, graphs or maps. Boatman, Courtney and Lee (2008) used the VARK methodology and found that students with a visual learning preference performed better in an Introductory Economics Course. They preferred to explain concepts by drawing pictures and diagrams. *Auditory learners* (A) tend to gain from discussions, lectures, interviewing, audio tapes and hearing stories. Students with a *Read-Write* preference can understand concepts easily through mediums such as lists, handouts, and textbooks. Finally, *Kinesthetic learners* (K) tend to learn mostly by doing things and they use feelings and/or physical experiences and much of this is memorised.

In the discussion of the application of students' learning styles, there may be variation in performance with the level of guidance they prefer. Riechmann and Grasha (1974) specified that learners may prefer the teacher to take control of schedules with clear assessed assignments, but independent learners will use the teacher as a facilitator. In this case, students shape their own learning. However, collaborative learners like to work in groups and do well in discussions, group projects, or assignments. Nevertheless, assignment completion varies according to individual differences in approach as well as types of assignments. Moreover, Riding and Sadler-Smith (1997) pointed out that individuals may have various levels of responses to any problem. For instance, while some basic routines for problem-solving may be automatic other routines will require reflective thinking and repetition before a level of automation is reached. Some routines may require elaborate planning procedures as well as high levels of self-awareness and some students will recognise this. It is suggested that students' resilience with learning tasks coupled with learning style and course types may impact their learning outcomes.

Students' Resilience

Being resilient denotes one's ability to cope with hardships. Perry (2002) discussed that facing stressors does not mean that all will succumb to negative consequences. For example, Bonanno (2004) pointed out that resilient individuals have psychological mechanisms which enable outcomes which are positive. That is, individuals respond differently to situations which pose threats to their existing coping strategies (Rutter, 1987).

@ECRTD-UK: https://www.eajournals.org/

Print ISSN: 2054-6297(Print)

Online ISSN: 2054-6300 (Online)

Sandin and Sànchez-Marti (2014) examined the relationship between resilience and school completion among immigrant students in Spain. Ninety- four students in their fourth-year compulsory education participated in the study; they were from 19 different nationalities, between 15 and 18 years of age, and the ratio of boys/girls was 55/45. There were no significant differences by gender, age but significant differences were between cultural groups. It was reported that students who continued their studies beyond compulsory education had higher levels of resilience. However, those who did not continue beyond the required education tended not to be as resilient.

Resilience is linked with wellbeing among the student population. Wu et al. (2020) reported the relationship between resilience and mental health in a sample of 314 college students in China. The authors used the Depression Anxiety Stress, Positive Mental Health and Resilience scales and reported that first year students and senior year students experienced lower positive mental health levels and higher negative mental health levels compared with other students. The results indicate that mental health education for college students and interventions should be focused of students' college year. Other research such as that conducted by Chow et al. (2018) reported that resilience impacted nursing students' learning experiences, academic performance and course completion. However, the authors reported that the relationship between resilience and wellbeing among nursing students is relatively unknown.

Research conducted in Karachi (Pakistan) by Rao and Malik (2021) examined the relationship between wellbeing and resilience among undergraduate university students, with gender as a research construct. Ryff's (2014) psychological wellbeing scale and the Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale (Connor & Davidson, 2003) showed that autonomy and environmental mastery were significant positive correlates of resilience. Psychological wellbeing and resilience were found to be more apparent among female students compared with males.

It is therefore envisaged that post-compulsory students are more likely to be resilient and possess positive wellbeing. However, it is not known in what way resilience and wellbeing are linked among the London based student population in the UK., their learning styles, their gender, the courses they study and their ethnicity.

Research Questions

- 4 Is there a relationship between learning styles and ethnicity?
- Are qualification types suitable for learning styles?
- **4** To what extent are resiliency items related to learning styles and ethnicity?
- 4 Are there gender differences in measures of resiliency items and learning styles?

METHODOLOGY

The methodology comprised a case study with measures: the learning styles questionnaire (LSQ), a measurement of resiliency used in post-compulsory education and participants' ethical consent.

Case Study

Harrison et al. (2017) discussed the care study as a way for researchers to pursue their research interests, as it enables real-life situations to be explored and evaluated. Thirty post-compulsory

@ECRTD-UK: https://www.eajournals.org/

Print ISSN: 2054-6297(Print)

Online ISSN: 2054-6300 (Online)

students in London completed the LSQ and items measuring resiliency. There was a mix of ethnicity and subjects studied among participants for the investigation reported in this paper.

The Learning Styles Questionnaire

The LSQ has not been used globally as an alternative to VARK. From the researcher's teaching experience, students vary and can be activists, theorists, reflectors or pragmatists. Nevertheless, it was acknowledged that the LSQ cannot capture the multifaceted element of learning (Coffield et al., 2004).

The LSQ was designed to find preferences for learning and consists of 80 items. It takes approximately 10-15 minutes to complete. Students were instructed.

'There is no time limit to this questionnaire. It will probably take you 10-15 minutes. The accuracy of the results depends on how honest you can be. There are no right or wrong answers. If you agree more than you disagree with a statement put a tick. If you disagree more than you agree put a cross by it. Be sure to mark each item with either a tick or cross. (Honey and Mumford, Learning Styles Questionnaire, 1992)

- Activists talk through problems by brainstorming, and flourish on challenges. They act first and consider consequences afterward. Reacting positively to small group discussions is easy for them. However, they may take unnecessary risks or rush into actions without preparing sufficiently. An example of an item is: - *I thrive on the challenge of tackling something new and different.* '

- Reflectors think about experiences they have and consider different perspectives before arriving at conclusions. They prefer:- e-learning, listening to lectures or presentations, self-study and self-directed learning. However, they can be too cautious and assertive. An example of an item is:- *I take care over the interpretation of data available to me and avoid jumping to conclusions.* '

- Theorists are restricted in lateral thinking and intolerant of subjectivity and ambiguity. They nevertheless are good at asking probing questions and have a rational and objective approach. An example of an item is:- *I like to relate my actions to a general principle*'.

- Pragmatists try out new ideas, theories and techniques, are practical and respond to problems as a challenge. They react positively to discussions in small groups, problem-solving workshops, and project work. As they tend to be more task-oriented they take advantage of the first solution to a problem. A n example of an item is:- 'I can often see better, more practical ways to get things done'.

Items Measuring Resilience

The items listed below (a-f) are used by the researcher in English further education colleges and was adopted in this research as the items relate to resilience and wellbeing measured by Ryff (2014) and conceptualised six dimensions. Item a is related to positive relations, b to personal growth, c to purpose in life/self-acceptance, e to autonomy, and f to environmental mastery.

Students were asked to circle the relevant number, 1 =Never, 5 =Yes, always.

a. Do you usually know how others perceive you 1 2 3 4 5

- b. Are you determined to achieve your lifetime ambitions 1 2 3 4 5
- c. Can you see your future clearly 1 2 3 4 5
- d. Do you normally feel comfortable in new situations 1 2 3 4 5

@ECRTD-UK: https://www.eajournals.org/

International Journal of Education, Learning and Development

Vol. 10, No.8, pp.40-50, 2022

Print ISSN: 2054-6297(Print)

Online ISSN: 2054-6300 (Online)

e. Can you plan your next day in advance 1 2 3 4 5
f. Do you enjoy the challenge of unraveling puzzles and solving problems 1 2 3 4 5

Information Sheet with Ethical Consent Details

I am a chartered psychologist who requests your participation in this research. The purpose of this research is to investigate the relationship between learning styles and wellbeing among post 16 student populations in London. This is because it is envisaged that learning styles and resiliency are fundamental antecedents to performance.

You have the right to withdraw from this study and data received from you will be destroyed immediately. Data which is complete will be kept in a safe place and entered on data sheet for analysis. Only when the data is used in an article for publication will the findings be available to be seen by others. You are not asked to provide the name of the institution/organisation where you work or study and the name(s) you use in your everyday life.

The researcher gave all students the opportunity to complete an ethical consent form.

RESULTS

Relationship Between Learning Styles and Ethnicity

The results given below in Table 1 show that there is no significant relationship present between learning styles and ethnicity.

Table 1 - Correlation Analysis	e 1 - Correlation	Analysis
---------------------------------------	-------------------	----------

		Ethnicity
Learning Styles	Pearson Correlation	298
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.110
	Ν	30

Qualification Types and Learning Styles

The results given below in Table 1 show that there is no significant relationship present between qualification types and learning styles. As there is no relationship between qualification types and learning styles, therefore it can be inferred that the qualification types are not suitable for learning styles.

Table 2 - Correlation Analysis

		Learning Styles
Qualification types	Pearson Correlation	.298
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.110
	Ν	30

Print ISSN: 2054-6297(Print)

Online ISSN: 2054-6300 (Online)

Resiliency Items, Learning Styles and Ethnicity

The results given below in table 3 show that there is no significant relationship present between resiliency and learning styles. Moreover, the results also show that there is no significant relationship present between resiliency and ethnicity.

Table 3 - Correlation Analysis

		Ethnicity	Learning Styles
Resiliency	Pearson Correlation	.308	069
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.098	.719
	Ν	30	30

Gender Differences, Resiliency Items, and Learning Styles

The results of an independent sample t-test given in Table 4 show that there is no significant gender difference present between the resiliency of males and females. However, there is a significant gender difference present between the learning styles of males and females.

Table 4 - Independent sample T-test

	Gender	N	Mean	t-test for Equality of Means (Sig. Value)
Resiliency	Male	15	3.23810	.140
	Female	15	3.58095	
Learning Styles	Male	15	12.4500	.038
	Female	15	10.1500	

DISCUSSION

The research showed that learning styles across gender and ethnicity are similar. Also, styles required to complete courses are similar for participants. In terms of gender and ethnicity, students did not show differences in measures of resiliency, and it is inferred that wellbeing was similar for participants. There are however, methodological arguments for the findings. One is that as Hickcox (1995) and Curry (1987) explained, more than one instrument for measuring learning styles should be used for any assessment because use of a single instrument may not be suited to every individual. Moreover, Riding and Rayner (2000) concluded that most measures available for learning styles research are questionnaires, but the validity of these is unclear. These sorts of measures may be suitable for research projects but not for the diagnoses of individuals. However, it is feasible that the LSQ could be used with another measure such as VARK to deduce the learning styles of post-compulsory students globally. It possible that the resiliency items used in this study were unable to capture how students deal with an academic existence. This may be due to its lack of reliability and validity. Other measures have however shown good internal reliability and construct validity such as The Academic Resilience Scale (Cassidy, 2016) and the Resiliency Index developed by McIntosh and Shaw (2017).

Print ISSN: 2054-6297(Print)

Online ISSN: 2054-6300 (Online)

It is suggested that the meaning of resilience as well as its relationship with post-compulsory students' wellbeing requires definition. In this context, McIntosh and Shaw (2017) reported that BAME (Black Asian and Minority Ethnic) university students scored higher on emotional control but lower on social relationships and integration. The authors suggested that living at home was the reason for this. Furthermore, female students scored slightly lower on the Resilience Index and were less likely to use emotional control when there was an impact on their confidence following negative setbacks. It was reported by the authors that female students nevertheless made significant gains academically. It is suggested that this may be due to their learning styles, and this requires further exploration.

Nevertheless, some student groups may exhibit lower resilience than others. Chua et al (2022) reported students with low resilience are vulnerable to negative factors and this impacts unfavourably on their wellbeing. However, the detailed nature of this is not apparent. The authors, therefore, conducted a meta-analysis across 41 studies globally and discovered that there was evidence for widespread low resilience among dance or nursing student groups in Europe. The authors identified the requirement for intervention techniques.

In summary, research examining the learning styles with respect to ethnicity and gender is limited. It is suggested that learning styles may be relevant in predicting students' resilience and therefore, wellbeing. This means that student resiliency requires definition as well as factors surrounding gender and ethnicity that could contribute to a lack of wellbeing among London-based students. Types of courses students study may be a contributing variable to their experiences of wellbeing.

Furthermore, ethnicity did not vary proportionally in this investigation as differences in numbers were too small to make firm and significant conclusions. Also, course types did not differ proportionally in types and quantities for results to convey significance and reliability.

The research reported in this paper presents a methodology that could be improved by the use of more robust, valid, and reliable measures (with triangulation procedures). This may provide a context for further examination of the relationship between learning styles and resiliency among students in the U.K.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Dr. Shyma Jundi is thanked for her analytic contribution to this paper.

REFERENCES

- Boatman, K., R. Courtney, & W. Lee (2008). "See how they learn": The impact of faculty and student learning styles on student performance in introductory economics. The American Economist, 52(1), 39-48.
- Bonanno, G. A. (2004). Loss, Trauma, and Human Resilience: Have We Underestimated the Human Capacity to Thrive After Extremely Aversive Events? *American Psychologist*, 59(1), 20–28.
- Cassidy,S. (2016). The Academic Resilience Scale (ARS-30): A new multidimensional construct measure. Frontiers in Psychology, 7. Doi=10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01787.

@ECRTD-UK: <u>https://www.eajournals.org/</u>

Print ISSN: 2054-6297(Print)

Online ISSN: 2054-6300 (Online)

- Chow, K.M., Tang, W.K.F., Chan, W.H.C. *et al.* (2018). Resilience and well-being of university nursing students in Hong Kong: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Med Educ* 18, 13, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-018-1119-0
- Chua, J.H., Cheng, C.K.T., Cheng, L.J. et al. (2022). Global prevalence of resilience in higher education students: A systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Curr Psychol.* https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-03366-7.
- Coffield, F., Moseley, D., Hall, E., & Ecclestone, K. (2004). *Learning Styles and pedagogy in post 16 learning. A systematic and critical review.* Learning and Skills Research Centre.
- Connor KM, & Davidson J.R.T. (2003). Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor-Davidson Resilience scale (CD-RISC). *Depress Anxiety*. 18:76–82.
- Cools, E. (2008). A reflection on the future of cognitive style research: an attempt to define the elephant. Proceedings of the 13th Annual Conference of the European Learning Styles Information Network.
- Curry, L. (1987). Integrating concepts of cognitive or learning style: A review with attention to psychometric standards. Ottawa: Canadian College of Health Service Executives.
- Curry, L. (1991.) Patterns of learning styles across selected medical specialities. *Educational Psychology*, 11, 247-278.
- Dingliang, T. (1995). Learning Styles and Teaching Strategies. *Educational Research*, 5, 72-75.
- Dunn R., Griggs S.A., Gorman B.S., Olson J. & Beasley M. (1995). A meta-analytic validation of the Dunn and Dunn model of learning-style preferences. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 8 8(6), 353–363.
- Harrison, H., Birks, M., Franklin, R., & Mills, J. (2017). Case Study Research: Foundations and Methodological Orientations. *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 18(1). https://doi.org/10.17169/fqs-18.1.2655.
- Hodgkinson, G.P., Herriot, P. & Anderson, N. (2001). Re-aligning stakeholders in management research: lessons from industrial, work and organisational psychology. *British Journal* of Management, 12, S41-S48.
- Hickcox, L. K. (1995). Learning styles: A survey of adult learning style inventory models. In R. J. Sims & S. J. Sims (Eds.), *The Importance Of Learning Styles* (pp. 25–47). London: Greenwood Press.
- Honey, P., & Mumford, A. (1992). *The Manual of Learning Styles*. Berkshire: Peter Honey Publications.
- Keefe, J.W. (1979). Learning Style: An overview. In NASSP's Student learning styles: *Diagnosing and Prescribing Programs* (pp. 1-17). Reston, VA: National Association of Secondary School.
- McIntosh, E., & Shaw. J., (2017). Student Resilience: Exploring the Positive Case for Resilience. Retrieved from http://www.unite-group.co.uk/sites/default/files/2017-05/student-resilience.pdf
- Oxford, R., M. Ehrman, & R. Lavine. (1991). "Style Wars: Teacher-Student Style Conflicts in the Language Classroom," in S. Magnan, ed., *Challenges in the 1990's for College Foreign Language Programs*. Boston: Heinle and Heinle.
- Perry, B. D. (2002). Childhood experience and the expression of genetic potential: What childhood neglect tells us about nature and nurture. *Brain & Mind*, *3*(1), 79–100.
- Pritchard, A. (2009). *Ways of Learning: Learning theories and Learning Styles in the Classroom* (2nd ed.). London and New York. Routledge. Taylor and Francis Group

@ECRTD-UK: https://www.eajournals.org/

International Journal of Education, Learning and Development

Vol. 10, No.8, pp.40-50, 2022

Print ISSN: 2054-6297(Print)

Online ISSN: 2054-6300 (Online)

- Rao, A. & Malik, A. (2021). Psychological Well-being as a Predictor of Resilience Among University Students. *Pakistan Journal of Psychological Research*. 36. 571-586.
- Reichman, S.W. & Grasha, A.F. (1974). A rational approach to developing and assessing the construct validity of a study learning style scales investment. Journal of Psychology, 87, 213-223.
- Riding, R. J., & Sadler-Smith, E. (1997). Cognitive style and learning strategies: Some implications for training design. International Journal of Training and Development, I, 199-208
- Riding, R.J., & Rayner, S.G (2000). Editors). International Perspectives on Individual Differences. Volume 1. Cognitive Styles. Stamford, Connecticut. Alex Publishing Corporation
- Rutter, M. (1987). Psychosocial Resilience and Protective Mechanisms. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 57, 316-331.
- Ryff C. D. (2014). Psychological well-being revisited: advances in the science and practice of eudaimonia. *Psychotherapy and psychosomatics*, *83* (1), 10–28.
- Sandín E. M. & Sánchez-Martí, A. (2014). Beyond Compulsory Schooling: Resilience and Academic Success of Immigrant Youth. Procedia - Social and Behavioural Sciences. 132. 19-24.
- Sims, R.R. & Sims S.J. (1995). (Editors). *The Importance of Learning Styles: Understanding the Implications for Learning, Course Design, and Education.* Greenwood Press.
- Sternberg, R.J. & Grigorenko, E.L. (2001, b.). A Capsule History of Theory and Research on Styles. In R.J. Sternberg and Zhang, L-F. (Eds.). *Perspectives on Thinking, Learning* and Cognitive Styles. Mahwah, N.J: Erlbaum.
- Wu, Y., Zhi-Qin, S., Xiao-Chi, Z. & Jürgen, M. (2020). The Relationship Between Resilience and Mental Health in Chinese College Students: A Longitudinal Cross-Lagged Analysis. Frontiers in Psychology, 11, Doi= 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00108.

APPENDIX – RESULTS TABLES

Research Question: Is there a relationship between learning styles and ethnicity?

	Correlation		
		Learning Styles	Ethnicity
Learning Styles	Pearson Correlation	1	298
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.110
	Ν	30	30
Ethnicity	Pearson Correlation	298	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.110	
	Ν	30	30

Online ISSN: 2054-6300 (Online)

Research Question: Are qualification types suitable for learning styles?

	Correlatio	n	
	-	Qualification types	Learning Styles
Qualification	Pearson Correlation	1	.298
types	Sig. (2-tailed)		.110
	Ν	30	30
Learning Styles	Pearson Correlation	.298	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.110	
	Ν	30	30

Research Question: To what extent are resiliency items related to learning styles and ethnicity?

		Resiliency	Ethnicity	Learning Styles
Resiliency	Pearson Correlation	1	.308	069
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.098	.719
	Ν	30	30	30
Ethnicity	Pearson Correlation	.308	1	298
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.098		.110
	Ν	30	30	30
Learning Styles	Pearson Correlation	069	298	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.719	.110	
	Ν	30	30	30

Correlation

Print ISSN: 2054-6297(Print)

Online ISSN: 2054-6300 (Online)

Research Question: Are there gender differences in measures of resiliency items and learning styles?

			noup statt			
	Gender	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Mean	Error
Resiliency	Male	15	3.23810	.774346	.199935	
	Female	15	3.58095	.387361	.100016	
Learning Styles	Male	15	12.4500	1.91143	.49353	
	Female	15	10.1500	3.61520	.93344	

T-Test Group Statistics

Research Question: Are there gender differences in measures of resiliency items and learning styles?

		Leven Test Equal of Varia	for ity		for Equ	ality of	Means			nfidence of the ce
		F	Sig.	t	df	`	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference		Upper
Resiliency	Equal variances assumed	5.695	.024	- 1.534	28	.136	342857	.223556	- .800791	.115077
	Equal variances not assumed			- 1.534	20.594	.140	342857	.223556	- .808326	.122612
Learning Styles	Equal variances assumed	1.321	.260	2.178	28	.038	2.30000	1.05588	.13713	4.46287
	Equal variances not assumed			2.178	21.260	.041	2.30000	1.05588	.10581	4.49419

Independent Samples Test