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ABSTRACT: There are wide global variations in the prevalence of caesarean section in developed, developing and underdeveloped countries. The objective of this study was to determine the trends in caesarean section at Calabar General Hospital, Cross River State. This paper used a retrospective review of clinical records at the maternity units of Calabar General Hospital from 2009 to 2013. Findings from this study showed that Cesarean section rate ranged from 3.85% in 2009 to 7.38% in 2013 with an average cesarean section rate of 5.39%. Based on available data, elective cesarean section progressed substantially from 17.2% in 2009 and slightly declined to 15.3% in 2010, then increased again from 22.5% in 2011, 29.6% in 2012 to 31.0% in 2013. Emergency cesarean section on the other hand increased progressively from 82.8% in 2009 to 84.7% in 2010 then declined from 77.5% in 2011, 70.4% in 2012 to 69.0% in 2013. In conclusion improvement of obstetric services should be a priority in all health care settings.
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INTRODUCTION

There are wide global variations in the prevalence of caesarean section. The prevalence of CS rates is highest in the Caribbean, Latin America and Asian countries which share CS rate of 26% whereas CS rate is low in South Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa (Stanton and Holtz, 2006). Twenty-five of the 29 countries in Sub-Saharan Africa have rates of 5% or lower. Countries such as China, South Korea, Brazil, Iran, Chile and Dominican Republic have the highest CS rate ranging from 30-40% (Stanton and Holtz, 2006). As at 2008, the CS rate was 24% in the UK. In Canada and Ireland, the CS rate was 26% in 2005-2006 and 26.1% in 2009 respectively (Bucklin, Hawkins, Anderson & Ullrich, 2005). In the United States of America, CS rate varies from 23% to 40%, Campania (60%) as at 2008, Rome (44%) and Australia 31% as at 2007.

In developed countries such as in U. S., CS was reported to be the most performed procedure as at 2011 especially for people who were between the age bracket of 18-44 years old (Pfuntner, Wier & Stocks, 2013). In the U. S., CS rate rise considerably to 60% from 1996 to 2009 while in 2010 it fell slightly to 32.8%. Caesarean section rate has been reported highest in China at 46% as of 2008 (WHO, 2010). In 2010, WHO global survey carried out in 24 countries showed that most African countries recorded an average CS rate of 9% (Lumbiganon et al, 2010). Previous studies also indicated that CS rates considerably range from 0.8%-17% in most African countries (Wylie and Mirza, 2008; Stanton, Dubourg, De Brouwere, Pujades and Ronsmans, 2005). A recent study carried out in Cairo university hospital (OGCUH) and
Almatariya hospital (AMH) indicated that CS rate was at 37.8% and 36.5% respectively (Ebrashy et al, 2011).

In Nigeria, CS rate varies from 3% to 21% with 9.4% reported in Ebonyi, 9.9% in Sokoto, 10.3% in Enugu, 10.5% in Makurdi, 11.4% in Zaria, 15.8% in Jos, 19.8 in Calabar and 20.3% in Birnin-Kebbi (Megafu & Nweke, 2002; Iklaki, Ekabua, Agan, Ekanem & Asuquo, 2005; Swende, Agida & Jogo, 2007; Sule & Matawal, 2003; Mutihir, Daru & Ujah, 2005; Nwobodo & Wara, 2004; Nwobodo, Isah & Panti, 2011). According to Hodnett & Henderson (2008) continuity in care and provision of standardized health services has been reported to significantly reduce cesarean delivery. Most emergency cesarean sections are preferably performed during the day than at night (Goldstick, Weissman and Drugan, 2003).

Objective of the study

The objective of the study to determine the trends in caesarean section at Calabar General Hospital, Cross River State, Nigeria.

METHODS

A five-year retrospective review of clinical records obtained at the maternity unit was carried out to check the trends in cesarean delivery from 2009 to 2013. Total number of deliveries, total number of elective CS and total number of emergency CS were key variables considered for the review.

RESULTS

Prevalence of Caesarean section among pregnant women from 2009 to 2013

Based on available data, in 2009, out of 1,045 deliveries, 58 (5.55%) pregnant women delivered through caesarean section. While 10 (17.2%) out of the 58 pregnant women that delivered through CS subscribed to elective CS, 48 (82.8%) pregnant women delivered through emergency type of CS.

In 2010, out of 1,771 deliveries, 85 (4.80%) pregnant women delivered through CS. A larger proportion of pregnant women 72(84.7%) delivered through emergency CS while a lesser proportion 13(15.3%) subscribed to elective CS.

In 2011, out of 2,651 deliveries, about 102 (3.85%) delivered through CS. While 79 (77.5%) pregnant women delivered through emergency CS, 23(22.5%) delivered by elective CS.

In 2012, out of 3,010 deliveries, one hundred and sixty-two (5.38%) pregnant women delivered through CS. About 114(70.4%) pregnant women delivered through emergency CS while 48 (29.6%) through elective CS.

In 2013, the total number of deliveries increased slightly to 3,318 out of which 245 (7.38%) of pregnant women delivered through CS. About 169 (69.0%) delivered by emergency CS while the remaining 76 (31.0%) pregnant women delivered through elective CS (Table 1).
TABLE 1: Trends of caesarean section among pregnant women at Calabar General Hospital (2009-2013)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Total number of deliveries</th>
<th>Caesarean section (%)</th>
<th>Elective Caesarean section (%)</th>
<th>Emergency Caesarean section (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1,045</td>
<td>58 (5.55)</td>
<td>10 (17.2)</td>
<td>48 (82.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1,771</td>
<td>85 (4.80)</td>
<td>13 (15.3)</td>
<td>72 (84.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2,651</td>
<td>102 (3.85)</td>
<td>23 (22.5)</td>
<td>79 (77.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3,010</td>
<td>162 (5.38)</td>
<td>48 (29.6)</td>
<td>114 (70.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>3,318</td>
<td>245 (7.38)</td>
<td>76 (31.0)</td>
<td>169 (69.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Records Department, Calabar General Hospital

DISCUSSION

A retrospective review of clinical records from 2009 to 2013 revealed that CS rate ranges from 3.85% to 7.38% with an average CS rate of 5.39%. This rate is far lower than 16.6% reported in Ebonyi, 10.5% reported in Makurdi, 10.4% reported in Akwa, 9.1% reported in Ilorin, 34.6% reported in Lagos, 18% reported in Jos, 11.8% reported in Maiduguri and 19.8% reported in Calabar (Sunday-Adeoye & Kalu, 2011; Swende, Agida & Jogo, 2007; Ikeako, Nwajaku & Ezegwui, 2009; Ijawiya & Aboyeji, 2011; Ezechie, Nwokoro, Kalu, Njokanma & Okeke, 2002; Alsien, Lawson & Adebayo, 2002; Geidam, Audu, Kawuwa & Obed 2009; Iklaki, Ekabua, Agan, Ekanem & Asuquo, 2005 respectively). One major factor that may influence the difference in the prevalence rate of CS is the total number of deliveries in every 12 calendar month. Others factors such as place of cesarean delivery (Government or private health facilities), study setting (urban or rural), sample size, level of awareness on CS and cost may substantially account for the differences in prevalence of CS rate.

Based on the five-year retrospective review, elective CS progress substantially from 2009 (17.2%) but drops slightly in 2010 (5.3%) and then increase again from 2011 (22.5%), 2012 (29.6%) to 2013 (31.0%). Similarly, emergency CS increases progressively from 2009 (82.8%) to 2010 (84.7%) and drops slightly from 2011 (77.5%), 2012 (70.4%) to 2013 (69.0%). This report is comparable with a retrospective study carried out in Makurdi where the rate of elective caesarean section increased from 10.3% in 2004 to 22.8% in 2006 of all CS (Swende et al., 2007). Another retrospective study carried out in Sokoto, Nigeria, reported that the rate of elective CS increased from 1.7% in 2002 to 3.2% in 2007 (Nwobodo, Isah & Panti, 2011). Similarly, emergency CS also reported high in other studies such as 80% in Calabar and 78.2% in Sokoto and 73.4% in Obudu of Cross River State (Iklaki et al., 2005; Nwobodo, Isah & Panti, 2011; Utoo & Utoo, 2013 respectively). From available data, it is obvious that most women undergo emergency CS than elective CS. Reasons may be attributed to the indications of CS and doctor’s influence in decision making which most times overrides the opinion of the patient involved. Consequently, these factors usually influence the type of CS to be carried out. On the other hand, based on some erroneous and myths/beliefs about CS, most women would not subscribe to elective CS.
CONCLUSION

Improved obstetric practices are pivotal in ameliorating maternal, infant and neonatal morbidity and mortality especially in poor and disadvantaged countries. Computed data showed that there is an increased trend of CS from 5.55% in 2009 to 7.38% in 2013. It is therefore recommended that CS should be incorporated as one the core components of maternal educational programmes in all ANC outlets. This is to widen the knowledge level of clients on the importance of undergoing cesarean delivery especially during emergency obstetric complications.
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