Vol.2,No.3, pp.23-30, July 2014

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

THE GRAY OPTIMIZATION MODEL APPLICABLE TO NON-HOMOGENOUS EXPONENTIAL SERIES

Zhou Rui Li Junjie

ABSTRACT: This paper proposes a new background which applicable to the nonhomogenous exponential series, and give the best reducing value which conditioned by the error quadratic sum of fitting original series least. This method made the model more matching, and through verification, the modeling effect is good, which has certain practical value **KEYWORDS**: non-homogenous exponential; background; reducing value; Optimization

INTRODUCTION

After nearly thirty years of development, the gray system theory has been widely used in every field of national product^[1-2]. Many scholars make a lot of research around to improve the accuracy of predictions model and which have made the modeling precision of GM(1,1) model improved greatly^[3-10]. But those optimization methods are based on that the original data is based on the homogenous exponential sequence, however in real applications, the original data is not homogeneous exponential sequence, otherwise the data will lose the modeling significance. The reference [11] presented the new gray forecasting model and white equations for the non-homogenous exponential sequence, which have higher modeling accuracy. But the new method of reference [11] just optimized the model expression, not change the background of the model, which is builded based on the homogenous exponential sequence, this lead to modeling accuracy of the new model is not high. This paper proposes a new background which applicable to the non-homogenous exponential series, and give the best reducing value which conditioned by the error quadratic sum of fitting original series least. This method made the model more matching, and through verification, the modeling effect is good, which has certain practical value.

$\mathbf{2}$, the re-optimization of the GM(1,1) which applicable to non-homogenous exponential sequence

Definition^[11]: Let $x^{(0)}(k)$ is a non-negative and non-homogenous exponential sequence,

 $x^{(1)}(k)$ is the 1-AGO sequence of $x^{(0)}(k)$, and $x^{(1)}(k) = Be^{Ak} + Ck + D$ (Especially, if C=0,

 $x^{(0)}(k)$ is a homogenous exponential sequence), z^{I} is the background value, then

$$x^{(0)}(k) + az^{(1)}(k) = \frac{1}{2}(2k-1)b + c$$
 is the NHGM (1, 1, k) ,and $\frac{dx^{(1)}}{dt} + ax^{(1)} = tb + c$ is the

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org) white equation of NHGM(1,1,k). Theorem 1: Let $x^{(1)}(k) = Be^{Ak} + Ck + D$ is the 1—AGO series of non-homogenous exponential

series $x^{(0)}(k)$, (Especially, if C=0, $x^{(0)}(k)$ is a homogenous exponential sequence), then the

background
$$z^{(1)}(k) = \frac{B(e^A - 1)}{A}e^{A(k-1)} + C(2k-1) + D$$
, among them $A = \ln \frac{\alpha^{(1)}x^{(0)}(k)}{\alpha^{(1)}x^{(0)}(k-1)}$

$$D = x^{(1)}(k) - k\Box x^{(0)}(k) - \frac{k\Box e^{A}\Box \alpha^{(1)}x^{(0)}(k)}{e^{A} - 1} - \frac{e^{2A}\Box \alpha^{(1)}x^{(0)}(k)}{(e^{A} - 1)^{2}} \qquad k=3, 4...$$

Proof: $x^{(1)}(k) = Be^{Ak} + Ck + D$ is expression (1).

Then
$$x^{(0)}(k) = B(e^{A} - 1)e^{A(k-1)} + C$$
 (2)

By (3),
$$B = \frac{\alpha^{(1)} x^{(0)}(k)}{(e^A - 1)^2 e^{A(t-2)}}$$
.....(5)

(5) into (2) , get
$$C = x^{(0)}(k) - \frac{e^{A} \Box \alpha^{(1)} x^{(0)}(k)}{e^{A} - 1}$$
 (6)

And (5), (6) into (1)

$$D = x^{(1)}(k) - k\Box x^{(0)}(k) - \frac{k\Box e^{A}\Box \alpha^{(1)}x^{(0)}(k)}{e^{A} - 1} - \frac{e^{2A}\Box \alpha^{(1)}x^{(0)}(k)}{(e^{A} - 1)^{2}} \dots$$
(7)

Get the new background :

$$Z(k) = \int_{k-1}^{k} x^{(1)}(t) dt = \int_{k-1}^{k} (Be^{At} + Ct + D) dt = \frac{B}{A}e^{At} + Ct^{2} + Dt\Big|_{k-1}^{k} = \frac{B(e^{A} - 1)}{A}e^{A(k-1)} + C(2k-1) + Dt^{2} +$$

among them, $A = \ln \frac{\alpha^{(1)} x^{(0)}(k)}{\alpha^{(1)} x^{(0)}(k-1)}$, $B = \frac{\alpha^{(1)} x^{(0)}(k)}{(e^A - 1)^2 e^{A(t-2)}}$, $C = x^{(0)}(k) - \frac{e^A \Box \alpha^{(1)} x^{(0)}(k)}{e^A - 1}$,

$$D = x^{(1)}(k) - k\Box x^{(0)}(k) - \frac{k\Box e^{A}\Box \alpha^{(1)}x^{(0)}(k)}{e^{A} - 1} - \frac{e^{2A}\Box \alpha^{(1)}x^{(0)}(k)}{(e^{A} - 1)^{2}} \qquad k=3, 4...$$

`

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

Theorem $2^{[11]}$ Let $\hat{a} = [a, b, c]^T$ is a parameter array,

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} x^{(0)}(2) \\ x^{(0)}(3) \\ \vdots \\ x^{(0)}(n) \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -z^{(1)}(2) & \frac{3}{2} & 1 \\ -z^{(1)}(3) & \frac{5}{2} & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -z^{(1)}(n) & \frac{1}{2}(2n-1) & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ then Least square parameter estimation of}$$

NHGM (1,1,k) which is $x^{(0)}(k) + az^{(1)}(k) = \frac{1}{2}(2k-1)b + c$ meet the condition $\hat{a} = (B^T B)^{-1} B^T Y$.

Theorem 3 Let the white equation of NHGM(1,1,k) is $\frac{df(t)}{dt} + af(t) = tb + c$, whose solution is $f(t) = Ce^{-at} + \frac{b}{a}t + \frac{c}{a} + \frac{b}{a^2}$ (among them, C is a undetermined coefficient), then conditioned by the error quadratic sum of fitting original series least (the expression of error quadratic sum is $S = \sum_{k=1}^{n} [\hat{x}^{(0)}(k) - x^{(0)}(k)]^2$), the best reducing value is

$$\hat{x}^{(0)}(k) = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[be^{-ai} - ax^{(0)}(k)e^{-ai} \right]}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} ae^{-2ai}} e^{-ak} + \frac{b}{a} k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Proof : By the white equation $\frac{df(t)}{dt} + af(t) = tb + c$, getting its solution is $f(t) = Ce^{-at} + \frac{b}{a}t + \frac{c}{a} + \frac{b}{a^2}$ (among them, C is a undetermined coefficient), its derivative is $f'(t) = -aCe^{-at} + \frac{b}{a}$. And $\hat{x}^{(0)}(t) = f'(t) = -aCe^{-at} + \frac{b}{a}$

Let $S = \sum_{k=1}^{n} [\hat{x}^{(0)}(k) - x^{(0)}(k)]^2$ is the error quadratic sum of modeling reducing value,

and
$$S = \sum_{k=1}^{n} [\hat{x}^{(0)}(k) - x^{(0)}(k)]^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} [-aCe^{-ak} + \frac{b}{a} - x^{(0)}(k)]^2$$
,

If
$$S = \sum_{k=1}^{n} [\hat{x}^{(0)}(k) - x^{(0)}(k)]^2$$
 is the least , $\frac{dS}{dC} = 0$

Vol.2, No.3, pp.23-30, July 2014

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

That is
$$2\sum_{t=1}^{n} \left[-ae^{-at}C + \frac{b}{a} - x^{(0)}(k) \right] - ae^{-at} = 0$$

Solve it, $C = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \left[be^{-at} - ae^{-at}x^{(0)}(t) \right]}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} a^{2}e^{-at}}$
C into $\hat{x}^{(0)}(t) = -aCe^{-at} + \frac{b}{a}$
 $\hat{x}^{(0)}(t) = -a\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \left[be^{-at} - ae^{-at}x^{(0)}(t) \right]}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} a^{2}e^{-at}} e^{-at} + \frac{b}{a} = -\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \left[be^{-at} - ae^{-at}x^{(0)}(t) \right]}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} a^{2}e^{-at}} e^{-at} + \frac{b}{a}$

3. The modeling process

Let $x^{(1)}(k) = Be^{Ak} + Ck + D$ is the 1-AGO series of non-homogenous exponential series $x^{(0)}(k)$.

a. Solving the background value $z^{(1)}(k) = \frac{B(e^A - 1)}{A}e^{A(k-1)} + C(2k-1) + D$, among them,

$$A = \ln \frac{\alpha^{(1)} x^{(0)}(k)}{\alpha^{(1)} x^{(0)}(k-1)} , \qquad B = \frac{\alpha^{(1)} x^{(0)}(k)}{(e^{A} - 1)^{2} e^{A(t-2)}} , \qquad C = x^{(0)}(k) - \frac{e^{A} \Box \alpha^{(1)} x^{(0)}(k)}{e^{A} - 1}$$
$$D = x^{(1)}(k) - k \Box x^{(0)}(k) - \frac{k \Box e^{A} \Box \alpha^{(1)} x^{(0)}(k)}{e^{A} - 1} - \frac{e^{2A} \Box \alpha^{(1)} x^{(0)}(k)}{(e^{A} - 1)^{2}} , \qquad k = 3, 4.....$$

b. Solving parameters of the white equation a, b, c, $\hat{a} = [a, b, c]^T = (B^T B)^{-1} B^T Y$, among them,

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} x^{(0)}(2) \\ x^{(0)}(3) \\ \vdots \\ x^{(0)}(n) \end{bmatrix} \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} -z^{(1)}(2) & \frac{3}{2} & 1 \\ -z^{(1)}(3) & \frac{5}{2} & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -z^{(1)}(n) & \frac{1}{2}(2n-1) & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

`

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

c, solving the best initial condition
$$C = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \left[be^{-at} - ae^{-at} x^{(0)}(t) \right]}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} a^{2} e^{-at}}.$$

d、 Though the forecasting formula

$$\hat{x}^{(0)}(t) = -aCe^{-at} + \frac{b}{a} = -\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} \left[be^{-at} - ae^{-at} x^{(0)}(t) \right]}{\sum_{t=1}^{n} ae^{-at}} e^{-at} + \frac{b}{a}, \text{ getting the fitted values}$$

4. The example analysing

Example 1 $X^{(0)} = \{1 + e^{-\vartheta \cdot 6}, 1 + e^{-\varkappa \cdot 0}, \theta^2 e^{-\varkappa \cdot 0}, \theta^2 e^{-\vartheta \cdot 1}, \theta^{3\times} \theta^{3\times}$

homogenous exponential series, let the original GM(1,1) is Model 1, the model from reference [12] is Model 2, the model from reference [11] is Model 3, the model from reference [13] is Model 4, the method of this paper is Model 5, and fitting the first six value of the series

 $X^{(0)}$, forecasting the last value.

Table 1 The fitting accuracy comparison								
Original value		4.3201	7.0496	12.0323	21.0855	37.5982	Average relative error(%)	
Model 1	Fitting value	3.70882	6.40842	11.073	19.133	33.0597	10.496	
	Relative error(%)	14.1501	9.0958	7.9025	9.2601	12.0712		
Model 2	Fitting value	4.26	6.84	11.46	19.73	34.55	3.9	
	Relative error(%)	1.32	2.93	4.65	6.39	8.09		
	Fitting value	4.19	6.71	11.24	19.23	33.83	5.4	
Model 3	Relative error(%)	2.93	4.69	6.51	8.28	10		
Model 4	Fitting value	3.871	6.831	12.057	21.282	37.562	2.9631	
	Relative error(%)	10.4086	3.096	0.2853	0.9297	0.0959		
Model 5	Fitting value	4.3907	7.1782	12.2574	21.5124	38.376	1.8997	
	Relative error(%)	1.633	1.8238	1.9485	2.0244	2.0687		

Table 2 The prediction accuracy comparison								
Original value		Model 1	Model 2	2 Model 3	Model 4	Model 5		
	Prediction value	57.1234	61.08	89.8	65.2977	69.1036		
67.6863	Relative error (%)	15.6057	9.76	11.65	2.598	2.0938		
Form th	e table 1 and	table 2,	for the	non-homogenous	exponenti	ial series		

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

error of model 1 is 10.496%, and the prediction error is reached 15.6057%, that means the model 1 is losing modeling value. And the model $2 \mod 3 \mod 4$ who have been optimized, their fitting accuracy are higher than the model 1's, which are $3.9\% \le 5.4\% \le 2.9631\%$, but the prediction accuracy are not ideal. The fitting error and prediction error of model 5 are 1.8997% $\ge 2.0938\%$ respectively, the accuracy is higher, there is a strong practical value.

 $X^{(0)} = \{1 + e^{0.6 \times 1}, 1 + e^{0.6 \times 2}, 1 + e^{0.6 \times 3}, 1 + e^{0.6 \times 4}, 1 + e^{0.6 \times 5}, 1 + e^{0.6 \times 6}, 1 + e^{0.6 \times 7}\}, \text{ the average relative}$

But the series of example 1 is a strict same parameters non-homogenous exponential series, which is extremely rare in reality. To further verify the effectiveness of the model 5, here using the same model to fitting the different parameters non-homogenous exponential series.

Example 2
$$X^{(0)} = \{1 + e^{0.6 \times 1}, 1.5 + e^{0.6 \times 2}, 1 + e^{0.6 \times 3}, 1.5 + e^{0.6 \times 4}, 1 + e^{0.6 \times 5}, 1.5 + e^{0.6 \times 6}, 1 + e^{0.6 \times 7}\}$$

is a the different parameters non-homogenous exponential series, let the original GM(1,1) is Model 1, the model from reference [12] is Model 2, the model from reference [11] is Model 3, the model from reference [13] is Model 4, the method of this paper is Model 5, and fitting

the first six value of the series $X^{(0)}$, forecasting the last value.

Table 4The prediction accuracy comparison								
Original value		Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5		
67.6863	Prediction value	56.2622	55.76	60.57	65.0982	70.1983		
	Relative error (%)	16.878	17.62	10.51	3.824	3.7112		

From table 3 and table 4, for the different parameters non-homogenous exponential series $X^{(0)} = \{1 + e^{0.6\times 1}, 1.5 + e^{0.6\times 2}, 1 + e^{0.6\times 3}, 1.5 + e^{0.6\times 4}, 1 + e^{0.6\times 5}, 1.5 + e^{0.6\times 6}, 1 + e^{0.6\times 7}\}$, the average

relative error and prediction error of model 1 are more than 10%, still no modeling value. The average relative error and prediction error of Model 2, model 3 are nearly 10%, which losing modeling value. But the fitting error of Model 4, Model 5 are 5%, 4.3636% respectively, the prediction error are 3.8%, 3.7% respectively, and the Model 5 is better.

Internatioanl Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Studies

Vol.2, No.3, pp.23-30, July 2014

Table 3 The fitting accuracy comparison								
Or	Original value		7.0496	12.5253	21.0855	38.0982	Average relative error(%)	
Model 1	Fitting value	3.7775	6.4834	11.1277	19.099	32.7804	12.8371	
Widdel 1	Relative error(%)	21.6312	8.032	11.1428	9.4214	13.9583		
Modal 2	Fitting value	4.09	6.37	10.51	17.96	31.44	12.18	
Widdel 2	Relative error(%)	15.17	9.55	16.09	14.78	17.48		
Modal 3	Fitting value	4.59	6.99	11.37	19.37	33.96	5.59	
Widdel 3	Relative error(%)	4.75	0.77	9.12	8.09	10.84		
Model 4	Fitting value	3.9284	6.9297	12.224	21.5632	38.0375	5.0028	
	Relative error(%)	18.4995	1.701	2.3886	2.2654	0.1594		
Model 5	Fitting value	4.1632	6.952	12.0665	21.4465	38.6491	4.3636	
	Relative error(%)	13.6285	1.3853	3.6463	1.712	1.446		

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

SUMMARY

This paper proposes a new background which applicable to the non-homogenous exponential series, and give the best reducing value which conditioned by the error quadratic sum of fitting original series least. This method made the model more matching, and through verification, the modeling effect is good, which has certain practical value.

REFERENCE

[1] Deng Ju-long (2002). Estimate and Decision of Grey System[M]. Huazhong University of Science& Technology Press, Wuhan.

[2] Liu Si-feng, Dang Yao-guo, Fang Zhi-geng. Grey system theory and its application[M]. 3rd,Beijing:Science Press,2004.

[3] LI Peng, LIU Si-feng, ZHU Jian-jun. GM(1,1) prediction model based on intuitionistic fuzzy numbers, Control and Decision, Oct 2013, p1583-1586.

[4] D. Luo, S. F. Liu & Y. G. Dang, "The optimization of grey model GM(1,1)," Engineering Science, vol. 8, pp.50-53, 2003.

[5]Ping Zhou, Yong Wei .The Optimization of Background Value in Grey Model GM(1,1) [J] ,Journal of Grey System,2006,9,p139-142.

[6]Yi Zhang, Yong Wei, A New Method to Find The Original Value of Various GM(1,1) with Optimum Background Value[C], Proceeding of the 2008 IEEE International Conference *on* Systems, Man, and Cybernetics (SMC 2008), in Singapore 12 - 15 October 2008.

[7] Zhang Bin, Xi Gui-quan.GM(1,1) model optimization based on the background value and boundary value correction[J], Systems Engineering-Theroy&Practice, 2013, ,33(3),p682-688.

[8] Zhang Yi, Wei Yong, Xiong Chang-wei. One New Optimized Method of GM(1,1) Model, System Engineering-Theory& Practice,2007.4. p141-146.

[9]Wang Rui-min, Wei Yong. The optimum grey derivative direct GM (1,1) model [J].

Vol.2,No.3, pp.23-30, July 2014

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

Statistics and Decision.2012.5 p70-72

[10] Shang Jun-ling, Fang Min, New optimized method of high-precision grey GM(1,1) forecasting model[J]. Journal of Electronics & Information Technology. 2010,32,(6),p1301-1305

[11]Zhan Li-qing, Shi Hua-ji. Method and model of grey modeling for approximation nonhomogenous exponential data[J],System Engineering-Theory& Practice,2013.5. P689-694

[12] Wang Yi-nao, The direct modeling method of GM(1,1) and its properties [J]. System Engineering – Theory&practice, 1988, 8(1):p27-31.

[13]Li Jun-jie,Wei Yong, Zhou Rui. The Optimized Background Value of the GM (1, 1) Model which Base on Non-homogenous Index Series. Journal of Systems Science and Information[J],2010,8(3),p193-200