Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

## STATUS OF DISCHARGED ABATTOIR EFFLUENT AND ITS EFFECTS ON THE PHYSICO-CHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF OROGODO RIVER, DELTA STATE, NIGERIA

**Godwin Asibor** 

Department of Environmental Sciences, College of Science, Federal University of Petroleum Resources, P.M.B. 1221, Effurun, Delta State, Nigeria

**ABSTRACT**: The discharge of untreated wastewater into waterbodies results in water quality deterioration of the receiving waters. This study assesses the impact of abattoir wastewater discharge on the water quality of Orogodo River in Nigeria. Effluent discharges and water samples were collected from river at six points over a 6-month period. Physicochemical analyses were conducted using standard methods. The pH was within a fixed band of 5.56 - 8.04. The downstream biochemical oxygen demand of the receiving river water increased significantly to 75% in July and up to 192% in December. Suspended solids, chemical oxygen demand, total nitrogen and total phosphorus followed a similar trend. Dissolved solids, dissolved oxygen, nitrate, also increased appreciably. The downstream levels of these parameters were higher than their corresponding upstream values, indicating that the discharge of the abattoir wastewater into the river has negatively impacted the river water. The dilution of the waste in the river water was not enough to reduce them to acceptable levels. This study demonstrates that abattoir wastewater impacts Orogodo River water negatively. The abattoir effluent did not meet the National standard for effluent discharge into the environment leading to cross pollution of the receiving water based on the parameters investigated. This therefore, calls for the need to put an effective wastewater treatment and monitoring system in place to enforce existing legislations to curb water pollution and to safeguard both the environment and human health.

**KEYWORDS**: Abattoir, wastewater, physico-chemical, impact, Orogodo, pollution, water quality

## **INTRODUCTION**

Abattoir is a premise approved and registered by the controlling authority for hygienic slaughtering and inspection of animals, processing and effective preservation and storage of meat products for human consumption Alonge (1991). The abattoir industry provides domestic meat supply to over 150 million people and employment opportunities for the Nigerian teaming population (Nafaranda *et al.*, 2005). Discharge wastes from abattoir can be valuable for crops as fertilizers, but can becomes a major pollutant when the wastes are not properly managed (Asibor 2017).

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

According to Mittal (2006) and Adeyemi-Ale (2014) waste generated at abattoirs pose a serious threat to the environment because of direct discharges of wastewaters into the ecosystems which most times are not effectively treated. These wastes are high in organics and fats (Raymond, 1977). These result to the destruction of primary producers in the water. It is one of greatest threats to surface water quality as it causes an increase in the biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), chemical oxygen demand (COD), total solids (TS), pH, temperature, turbidity, nitrate, phosphate, etc. (Cooper *et al.*, 1979; Quinn and McFarlane, 1989; Sangodoyin and Agbawhe, 1992; Omole and Longe, 2008; Akpor and Muchie, 2011; Ezeoha *et al.*, 2011; Adelegan, 2002; Ojo, 2014; Ogbonna and Ideriah, 2014). Biodegradable organic matter in receiving waters create high competition for oxygen (DO), which is detrimental to aquatic life and also affects sediments and surrounding soil (Ogbonna and Ideriah, 2014).

In a typical Nigerian abattoir, the surrounding land is often marshy due to improper channelling of wastewater arising from the dressing of the slaughtered animals and washings at the lairage (Asibor 2017). Most Nigerian abattoirs are situated close to surface water bodies in order to have access to cheap water supply needed for slaughtered animal processing and to provide a sink for the run-off from meat processing activities (Omole and Longe, 2008; Omole and Ogbiye, 2013).

In Nigeria, like in many other developing countries, discharge of untreated wastes into the environment is a major environmental issue. Compromised water quality and poor sanitary conditions of abattoirs in the livestock sector have added in no small way to the lotic water system (Adeyemo *et al*, 2002).

Two abattoirs are located at the bank of Orogodo River in Agbor, Delta State. The Abattoir serves the entire town, and its location beside the stream has facilitated easy disposal of the wastes into the stream channel. Wastes from the abattoir are not treated before discharge into the river. This study therefore examine the implication of the continuous discharge of these untreated abattoir effluents on the river water quality. This is seen to be justified by the fact that the downstream residents very much depend on the water for most of their domestic and commercial activities. This study therefore evaluate the water quality downstream of the river, with the aim of establishing the extent to which untreated abattoir wastes would have impacted on the stream water quality and also the point at which appreciable self purification is attained.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Study Area

Orogodo River lies within the humid tropical zone with defined dry season (November – March) and rainy season (April - October). The rainy season is brought about by the South-West Trade Wind blowing across the Atlantic Ocean, while the dry, dusty, and often cold North-East Trade Wind blowing across the Sahara desert dominates the dry season with a short spell of harmattan. The relative humidity of the area is high and increases from 70%

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

in January to 80% in July. The average atmospheric temperature of the area is about  $25.5^{\circ}$ C in the rainy season and about  $30^{\circ}$ C in the dry season.

Orogodo River is located between latitudes 5° 43'N and 5° 30'N and longitudes 6° 20'E and 6° 12'E, and takes its source from Mbiri village at an elevation of 150m above sea level. The river serves as a major source of water for drinking, bathing, fishing, washing, and recreation for the people of Agbor and Owa communities in Delta State, Nigeria. The Agbor and Owa communities, through which the Orogodo River traverses, are mainly peasant farmers whose products include food stuff such as yams, corn, vegetables, cassava, plantain and fruits. Agricultural activities in the area are mostly carried out along the bank of the Orogodo Riverl. Although Agbor and Owa may not be described as industrial communities, there exist pockets of industries (paint and foam industries) as well as many educational institutions whose wastes also find their way into the river. Some physicochemical characteristics of Orogodo River have been reported. There are two major abattoirs located along the River. The sitting of abattoir is in such a way that the effluent from their operations is discharged directly into the river where any form of treatment.

## Field sampling and quality assurance

Samples were collected from six locations along Orogodo River representing the upstream, midstream and downstream of the river after the effluent outfall from the abattoir between the months of June 2016 to March 2017 using the grab sampling methods. The samples represented conditions before discharge, after discharge and further down the river with emphasis on mixing of river with abattoir effluent. Details of sample collection are captured in Table 1, while GPS readings for the sampling sites were taken and used to georeference the location of the sampling sites.

Station	Location Name	Coor	Status	
		Northings	Eastings	
Station 1	Mr. Biggs	06°16'20''	006°11'16''	Upstream
Station 2	Aliumeze	06°16'20''	006°11'31''	Upstream
Station 3	Madam Jacky Slaughter	06°16'25''	006°11'31''	Discharge
Station 4	MC Commander Slaughter	06°15'25''	006°11'20''	Discharge
Station 5	Iyama-1	06°15'13''	006°11'16''	Downstream
Station 6	Iyama-2	06°13'46''	006°10'44''	Downstream

Table 1: Sampling coordinates along Orogodo River

The physical parameters analyzed include: electrical conductivity (EC), salinity, turbidity, total dissolve solid, alkalinity, temperature and pH .The chemical parameters analyzed include:-nitrate, chloride, sulphate, iron, phosphate, chemical oxygen demand (COD), biological oxygen demand (BOD), and dissolved oxygen (DO). The bacteriological parameters analyzed are total coliform and faecal coliform. A total number of sixty water samples were collected along the river channel during the seven month sampling period. Samples were collected in plastic bottles, pre-cleaned by washing with non-ionic detergents, rinsed with deionized water prior to usage. Samples for BOD and COD analysis were collected in BOD bottles and plastic bottles and covered with aluminum foil. The

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

sample bottles were labelled according to sampling sites. All samples were preserved at 4°C and transported to Federal University of Petroleum Resources Effurun (FUPRE) Environmental Management and Toxicology (EMT) laboratory for analyses within 24 hours. The following parameters were determined *in-situ*: conductivity (EC), temperature, colour, odour, pH, turbidity and dissolved oxygen (DO) using the respective calibrated *in-situ* kits and meters.

#### Laboratory water analysis

The chemical oxygen demand (COD), nitrate (NO<sub>-3</sub>), phosphate (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-3</sup>), and sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>-2</sup>) were measured using spectrophotometer. Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD<sub>5</sub>), total dissolved solids (TDS), total suspended solids (TSS), chloride (Cl-), bicarbonate (HCO3-), calcium, magnesium, sodium and potassium were determined using the standard methods (Ademoroti, 1996; APHA *et al.*1999).

#### Bacteriological assessment of water and effluent samples

About 100 ml of the water sample was filtered through a filter that retains bacteria. The filtrate was then transferred to petri dishes containing MacConkey agar and incubated at 370C for 48hours as described by APHA *et al.* (1999). The numbers of coliform colonies formed were counted using a microscope and the values expressed as cfu/ml.

#### **Data Analysis**

The obtained data were analyzed by using microsoft office excel and SPSS software version 16.0.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Abattoir effluent

The colour and odour were objectionable; an indication of from the direct dumping of raw blood, fresh and decaying flesh as well as dung from the abattoir into the receiving river without any form of treatment. The untreated abattoir wastewater temperature was slightly warm ranging from of 30.5 to  $33.3^{\circ}$ C. Temperature is one of the most important factors influencing chemical and biological characteristics of water. The high temperatures recorded in the untreated abattoir wastewater aids in quick bacteria activities of the products and also assist in the breakdown of wastes into further constituencies. TSS and turbidity varied from 175-480mg/l and 104-218mg/l with mean concentrations of  $320.4 \pm 34.8$ mg/l and  $202.2 \pm 22.5$ mg/l. The high concentrations of TSS and turbidity are not surprising as these are products of direct discharges from the abattoirs (Sangodoyin and Agbawhe, 1992; Omole and Longe, 2008; Akpor and Muchie, 2011; Ezeoha *et al.*, 2011; Adelegan, 2002; Ojo, 2014; Ogbonna and Ideriah, 2014). The presence of such high concentration of TSS and turbidity reduces the aesthetic value of the receiving water bodies and also reduce DO of the river, while the objectionable colour and odour reduces the aesthetic and potability values of the water.

The pH varied from slightly acidic to slightly alkaline varying from 6.56 to 7.78 with an overall average of  $6.74\pm0.54$  throughout the sampling period. Wastewater from abattoir

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

generally tends to be alkaline as a result of a high concentration of organic compounds present which is composed mainly of proteins (Padilla-Gasca *et al.* 2011; Ogbomida *et al.* 2016). A similar result was obtained by Cooper *et al.* (1979), Quinn and McFarlane (1989), Sangodoyin and Agbawhe, (1992) and Mulu *et al.* (2013). Conductivity and TDS showed same trend as they were all high in the untreated abattoir wastewater.

DO was low (<1.00mg/l) in all the locations sampled. DO is a very important parameter for the survival of aquatic organism and is also used to evaluate the degree of freshness of a river (Kolawole et al. 2011). The low value of DO is as a result of the high nutrients contents from the abattoir waste (Alonge 1991; Ezeoha and Ugwuishiwu 2011; Mulu et al. 2013). The BOD was slightly high varying from 88.5mg/l to 158.5mg/l. The strength of domestic sewage is measured in terms of its BOD level (Asibor et al. 2015). It determines the amount of dissolved oxygen consumed by aerobic bacteria in the decomposition of organic matter in the sewage. A typical wastewater BOD in Nigeria occurred within a range of 200 – 500mg/l (Ogedengbe, 1990). The COD concentration of the wastewater varied from 775-1175mg/l. Using Mara's (1977) classification the wastewater can be categorized to be of slightly high in strength. Both BOD and COD are highly related to DO as well as to each other because the BOD and COD directly affect the amount of DO in the river. DO, BOD and COD are important water quality parameters and are very essential in water quality assessment (Chapman, 1996). They are used to determine whether a water body is polluted or not. The higher the BOD and COD values, the higher the depletion of DO in the receiving water by organic and inorganic pollutants present in the effluents. According to Practi et al. (1971), BOD, DO, Chloride, pH and Nitrate are some of the chemical parameters generally used as indices.

The nutrients parameters were observed to be slightly high in the wastewater as indicated in the overall results of nitrate, phosphate, sulphate and chlorides (Table 2). One other major concern observed from the abattoir wastewater discharge to river channel is the high presence of pathogenic bacteria, though this is not strange. Faecal coliform and total coliform bacteria are used as indicators of bacterial contamination of the rivers. The high bacteria populations recorded reflect the input of microorganisms from both the abattoir wastewater and other sources into the river as there is no toilet facilities for the operators.

#### **River upstream water characteristics**

The mean summary of physico-chemical and bacteriological results obtained from the analysis of Orogodo River are presented in Table 2. It was observed that among the physical parameters the water was colourless and odourless, while the temperature was slightly low (less than 30°C) in all the locations during the sampling period.

Total suspended solids and turbidity was also observed to be low when compared to other Stations. pH was observed to be slightly acidic  $(5.73\pm0.44 \text{ and } 6.59\pm0.25)$ , while conductivity and TDS was low. These are characteristic of most lakes and streams of the world (Akinbuwa, 1999; Akande and Awotoye, 1990; Ekpeyong and Adeniyi, 1996). The nutrients load follow same pattern as observed in the conductivity and TDS as they were all low. Dissolved oxygen, BOD and COD were low indicating low oxygen demand on the

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

water. Faecal coliform and total coliform bacteria were also recorded from this section of the river, though at a lower counts compared to other Stations. The presence of faecal bacteria in this section of the river is due to open defecation practised by some of the inhabitants of the area who do not have access to good waste facilities and also organic material washed from the land into the river.

PARAMETER S	Stn 1		Stn 2		St	n 3	St	tn 4	Stn 5		Stn 6	
6	X	sd	x	sd	X	sd	x	sd	x	sd	x	sd
Colour	Colourless		Colourless		Objectionable		Objectionable		Colourless		Colourless	
Odour	Odour	less	Odourless		Objectionable		Objecti	ionable	Odourless		Odourless	
рН	5.73	0.43 6	6.586	0.24 9	6.72	0.371	6.76	0.032	5.641	0.384	5.34	0.625
Temp ( <sup>0</sup> C)	26.7 2	2.60 5	25.26	2.40 0	32.5	0.816	33.3	0.738	25.26	2.353	25.5	2.003
Conductivity (µs/cm)	72.8	6.85 1	74.53 7	3.40 2	780	48.30 5	841	35.02 4	85.69 3	6.779	74.78 3	4.162
TDS (mg/l)	65	4.32 6	69.32	3.56 1	677	52.07 9	750	47.14 0	77.94	8.448	67.44	3.177
TSS (mg/l)	14.6	4.08 8	16.47	3.21 9	185	12.69 3	227.2	26.46 9	15.81	1.186	15.21	1.875
DO (mg/l)	2.53	0.38 9	3.21	0.26 0	0.795	0.228	0.2	0.009	3.89	0.569	4.28	0.413
BOD (mg/l)	11.8	1.88 9	11.27	0.79 4	95	4.972	112.5	4.216	7.83	2.083	6.87	1.386
COD (mg/l)	78.6	3.68 3	88.7	4.75 6	964	8.433	1045	42.16 4	110.1 8	14.22 9	61.14	10.42 7
Turbidity (N.T.U)	26.5	6.18 3	22.4	1.26 5	108	4.830	150	4.714	23.7	1.197	24.27	1.528
$PO_4^{3-}(mg/l)$	0.05 6	0.04 8	0.054	0.02 7	5.49	0.166	10.55	0.635	0.036 6	0.030	0.030 7	0.014
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/l)	0.62 3	0.07 0	3.554 2	1.09 5	46.8	3.199	49.3	1.033	0.959 8	1.019	0.546 6	1.165
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (mg/l)	1.93 7	1.22 9	2.052 7	0.66 7	15.6	4.600	21.5	2.539	1.694 3	0.956	1.364 3	0.380
NO <sup>3</sup> -N(mg/l)	0.07 4	0.00 5	0.115 8	0.01 7	8.13	0.385	10.9	0.843	0.078	0.013	0.083 6	0.033
HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/l)	4.26	0.96 6	11.14 6	2.37 4	48.08	5.676	75.6	4.216	4.814	2.638	7.608	1.666
FC (cfu/100ml)	11.8	3.01 1	14.4	2.63 3	3250 0	8031	5400 0	79801	19.3	6.2	13.7	2.1

Table 2: Physicochemical and bacteriological results of Orogodo River and discharged Abattoir effluent

## **River downstream water characteristics**

Downstream of the river after discharged effluent, there was observed changes in the colour and odour of the receiving river. The river water colour was greyish yellow, while the odour was still objectionable. The water temperature was slightly tepid (>25<sup>o</sup>C) less than the wastewater temperature ( $33.3 \pm 0.78^{\circ}$ C). The pH was slightly acidic, while conductivity and TDS showed a significant drop in concentration when compared to the effluent concentrations. Oxygen demanding parameters and nutrients followed same pattern observed for TDS and conductivity. A gradual reduction in the physico-chemical load of the water as one move downstream of the river was observed in Stations these stations. Objectionable odours and colours were no longer observed in the stations and a drastic reduction in the TSS, temperature and turbidity was also indicated from the obtained Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

results. Relatively low values were recorded for turbidity, BOD, COD, sulphate, nitrate and phosphates compared to the upstream river water.

## Comparison of obtained values to regulatory standards

Comparison of mean data set for Orogodo River before and after effluent discharge and regulatory standards are shown in Table 3. The pH of all the samples collected indicated slightly acidic environment. The pH range of this study is low comparable to pH ranges of 6.9-8.8 of previous studies on effluent from similar abattoirs in Nigeria (Osibanjo and Adie, 2007; Raheem and Morenikeji, 2008). It is also slightly below most of the regulatory limits except Stations 3 and 4. pH is important to microorganisms because it affects the functioning of virtually all enzymes, hormones and proteins which control metabolism, growth and development.

PARAMETERS	Stn 1	Stn 2	Stn 3	Stn 4	Stn 5	Stn 6	FEPA	NIS	WHO	NESRE
							(1991)	(2007)	(2004)	A (2011)
рН	5.73	6.586	6.72	6.76	5.641	5.34	7	6.5-8.5	6.5 - 8.5	6.5-8.5
Temp ( <sup>0</sup> C)	26.7 2	25.26	32.5	33.3	25.26	25.5	<40°C	Ambien t	24 - 30	-
Conductivity (µs/cm)	72.8	74.53 7	780	841	85.69 3	74.78 3	NS	1000	500	-
TDS (mg/l)	65	69.32	677	750	77.94	67.44	2	500	0	-
TSS (mg/l)	14.6	16.47	185	227.2	15.81	15.21	-	-	-	-
DO (mg/l)	2.53	3.21	0.795	0.2	3.89	4.28	<0.00 7	NS	NS	4
BOD (mg/l)	11.8	11.27	95	112.5	7.83	6.87	50	NS	>4.00	6
COD (mg/l)	78.6	88.7	964	1045	110.1 8	61.14	<75	NS	10	30
Turbidity (N.T.U)	26.5	22.4	108	150	23.7	24.27	NS	5	40	
T.Phosphorus(mg/l)	0.05 6	0.054	5.49	10.55	0.036 6	0.030 7	5	NS	NS	3.5
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/l)	0.62 3	3.554 2	46.8	49.3	0.959 8	0.546 6	600	NS	NS	350
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (mg/l)	1.93 7	2.052 7	15.6	21.5	1.694 3	1.364 3	500	100	250	500
NO <sup>3</sup> -N(mg/l)	0.07 4	0.115 8	8.13	10.9	0.078 2	0.083 6	20	50	400	
HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/l)	4.26	11.14 6	48.08	75.6	4.814	7.608	<600	NS	45	
FC (cfu/100ml)	11.8	14.4	3250 0	54000	19.3	13.7	NS	10	NS	

Table 3: Comparison of the abattoir effluent's and river physico-chemical characteristics to regulatory standards.

It is also a major factor in all chemical reactions associated with formation, alteration and dissolution of minerals. It was observed that most of the physic-chemical parameters analysed for the stations along the River course were outside the regulatory limits except Station 6 which has close to 80% compliance with most of the regulatory limits. These results may be due to the impact of the abattoir effluent discharges. This might have been as a result of the diluting and self-purification of the river as one move downstream.

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

#### **Seasonal Comparison of the Sampled Locations**

Tables 4 and 5 showed the results from the seasonal variation of the physico-chemical parameters of Orogodo River and the discharged effluent load. Effects on the aesthetic of the effluents were the affected by the season as indicated by the objectionable odour and colour of the effluent discharges on the River. Other physical parameters like temperature, TSS and turbidity were higher in the dry season compared to the rainy season. This may be as a result of the diluting effect of rain and drainage water from the river catchment basin. This also contributed to the observed higher conductivity and TDS in the dry season results. The diluting effects of the rain were also reflected in the concentrations of oxygen demanding parameters, nutrients and abundance level of faecal coliforms in the sampling stations. Generally, it was observed the physic-chemical load of the river and effluent was higher in the dry season compared to the rainy season.

Parameter	Stn1	Stn1			Stn3		Stn4		Stn5		Stn6	
	x	s.d.	х	s.d.	x	s.d.	х	s.d.	х	s.d.	х	s.d.
Colour	Colou		Colou		Objecti		Objectio		Colourle		Colourl	
	rless		rless		onable		nable		ss		ess	
Odour	Odour		Odour		Objecti		Objectio		Odourle		Odourl	
	less		less		onable		nable		SS		ess	
pН	5.43	0.09	6.66	0.02	6.75	0.00	6.75	0.00	5.81	0.45	5.71	0.6
Temp ( <sup>0</sup> C)	24.80	0.00	25.80	2.53	32.50	0.00	33.40	0.00	25.72	2.35	26.20	2.5
Conductivity	68.40	0.00	73.13	3.92	750.00	0.00	825.00	0.00	80.99	4.73	72.07	4.0
(µs/cm)												
TDS (mg/l)	62.40	0.00	69.60	4.45	670.00	0.00	750.00	0.00	73.28	4.58	66.08	3.8
TSS (mg/l)	12.20	0.00	17.70	3.92	180.00	0.00	220.00	0.00	16.02	1.51	16.02	2.2
DO (mg/l)	2.30	0.00	3.28	0.10	0.88	0.00	0.20	0.00	3.66	0.67	4.06	0.4
BOD (mg/l)	10.50	0.00	11.40	0.80	95.50	0.00	110.50	0.00	8.66	2.50	7.24	1.7
COD (mg/l)	77.90	0.00	90.50	4.27	960.00	0.00	1025	0.00	102.66	15.8	64.78	13.
Turbidity (N.T.U)	23.80	0.00	22.20	0.49	105.00	0.00	150.00	0.00	23.40	1.50	23.44	1.6
T.Phosphorus(	0.02	0.00	0.05	0.02	5.50	0.00	10.30	0.00	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.0
mg/l)												
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/l)	0.58	0.00	3.89	0.57	45.50	0.00	49.10	0.00	1.30	1.28	0.96	1.4
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (mg/l)	1.14	0.00	2.31	0.80	14.00	0.00	20.50	0.00	2.05	1.11	1.59	0.4
NO <sup>3</sup> -N(mg/l)	0.07	0.00	0.13	0.01	8.15	0.00	10.50	0.00	0.08	0.02	0.10	0.0
HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/l)	3.66	0.00	11.60	0.80	47.08	0.00	77.60	0.00	5.77	3.25	7.90	2.2
FC	10.00	0.00	13.80	3.43	32000	4000	25400	3200	16.20	5.98	13.40	1.9
(cfu/100ml)	1											

**Table 4:** Physicochemical and bacteriological results from Orogodo River and discharged

 Abattoir effluent during the rainy season

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

Parameter	Stn1		Stn2		Stn3	Stn3		Stn4		Stn5		Stn6	
	х	s.d.	х	s.d.	х	s.d.	х	s.d.	х	s.d.	х	s.d.	
Colour	Colou		Colou		Objecti		Objectio		Colourl		Colour		
	rless		rless		onable		nable		ess		less		
Odour	Odou		Odou		Objecti		Objectio		Odourle		Odourl		
	rless		rless		onable		nable		SS		ess		
pH	6.47	0.85	6.87	0.69	7.24	1.09	6.81	0.09	5.47	0.00	5.81	1.41	
Temp ( <sup>0</sup> C)	31.10	4.84	26.78	4.05	33.72	2.41	34.30	2.15	27.04	4.40	24.80	0.00	
Conductivity (µs/cm)	84.76	14.8	77.32	2.70	864.77	107	903.04	90.5	94.87	8.79	77.50	0.00	
TDS (mg/l)	72.62	9.87	70.92	3.69	761.33	152	820.71	139	91.54	17.6	68.80	0.00	
TSS (mg/l)	21.82	9.47	15.82	1.14	207.32	34.1	272.44	74.8	16.05	0.88	14.40	0.00	
DO (mg/l)	3.22	0.90	3.50	0.70	1.02	0.62	0.21	0.03	4.30	0.35	4.50	0.00	
BOD (mg/l)	15.05	3.83	11.90	1.49	101.92	14.5	119.98	10.7	7.45	0.88	6.50	0.00	
COD (mg/l)	84.71	10.6	91.37	8.79	978.95	21.5	1119.77	107	118.15	0.88	57.50	0.00	
Turbidity (N.T.U)	37.43	16.2	24.39	3.52	116.48	10.7	157.07	13.9	24.45	0.88	25.10	0.00	
T.Phosphorus( mg/l)	0.14	0.09	0.09	0.07	5.73	0.49	11.67	1.70	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.00	
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/l)	0.75	0.16	4.63	2.79	52.44	8.53	51.02	2.98	0.71	0.18	0.14	0.00	
SO4 <sup>2-</sup> (mg/l)	4.08	2.64	1.99	0.38	23.62	12.6	25.96	6.81	1.78	0.88	1.14	0.00	
NO <sup>3</sup> -N(mg/l)	0.08	0.01	0.12	0.03	8.69	1.13	12.40	2.15	0.08	0.01	0.07	0.00	
HCO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> (mg/l)	5.96	2.15	14.06	6.63	57.45	16.4	79.08	10.7	4.31	0.88	7.32	0.00	
FC	17.11	6.90	15.00	0.00	44157	2194	193373	2178	26.74	8.53	16.24	4.40	
(cfu/100ml)						0		23					

**Table 5:** Physicochemical and bacteriological results from Orogodo River and discharged

 Abattoir effluent during the dry season

# CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The effects of abattoir wastewater discharge into Orogodo River on its water quality were assessed through water quality monitoring. Findings from the study indicate that livestock processing and activities around the Abattoir have impacted the river water quality. Concentrations of oxygen demanding parameters, nutrients and faecal coliforms were in excess of normal levels for the river water. The downstream levels of these parameters were higher than their corresponding upstream values, indicating that the discharge of the abattoir wastewater into the river has negatively impacted water. The dilution of the high-strength abattoir wastewater in the river water was not enough to reduce most of the physico-chemical parameters and bacterial load to acceptable levels. Although there is a potential that an improvement of the water quality may be observed further downstream due to self purification and further dilution effects, the high levels of these parameters is a worrying issue to the riparian users around the abattoir.

This water quality data and pollution source information will be useful in identifying water quality intervention measures. The findings can also be used as basis for strengthening existing legislation

#### Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

#### References

- Adelegan, J. A. 2002, Environmental policy and slaughter house waste in Nigeria, Proceedings of the 28th WEDC Conference, Calcutta, India pp. 3-6.
- Ademoroti, C.M.O. 1996, *Standard methods for water and effluents analysis*. Foludex Press Ltd., Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Adeyemi-Ale, O. A. 2014, Impact of Abattoir Effluent on the Physico-Chemical Parameters of Gbagi Stream (Odo-Eran), Ibadan, Nigeria. *Ilorin Journal of Science* Vol.1 (1): 100 109.
- Adeyemo, O. K., Ayodeji, I. O. and Aiki-Raji, C. O. 2002, The water quality and sanitary conditions in a major abattoir (Bodija) in Ibadan, Nigeria. Afr J Biomed Res. 5:51-55.
- Akande A.O. and Awotoye, O. 1990, Some aspects of the ecology of Isiula Lake a natural aquatic ecosystem in Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. *Nig. J. of Botany* vol. 3:221-230.
- Akinbuwa, O. 1999, The Rotifera fauna and Physico-chemical conditions of Erinle lake and its major inflows at Ede, Osun State, Nigeria. *Ph.D Thesis*, O.A.U, Ile-Ife Nigeria. 333pp.
- Akpor, O.B. and Muchie, M. 2011, Environmental and public health implications of wastewater quality. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10(13): 2379-2387
- Alonge, D. O. 1991, Textbook of Meat Hygiene in the Tropics Farmcoe Press, Ibadan, Nigeria. 58pp.
- APHA, AWWA and WEF 1999, Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. 20th ed., American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association and Water Environment Federation, Washington DC., USA
- Asibor, G., Edjere, O. and Adeniyi, F. 2015, Impact of Biologically Treated Effluent on the Physico-chemical Quality of a Receiving Stream in Ile-Ife, Southwest Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Development;* Vol. 8, No. 8: 213-222.
- Asibor, G., Edjere and Ofejiro, P. 2017, Physico-chemical and bacteriological assessment of abattoir effluents and its effects on Agbarho River, Delta State Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Applied Science*, vol. 35, pp 265-276.
- Chapman, D. 1996, Water Quality Assessments: A Guide to the Use of Biota, Sediment and Water in Environmental Monitoring. 2nd Edn., E and FN Spon, London, pp: 626
- Cooper, R. N., Hoodle, J. R. and Russel, J. M. 1979, Characteristics and treatment of slaughter house effluent in New Zealand. *Prog Water Technology*, 11: 55-68.
- Ekpeyong, E. and Adeniyi, I. F. 1996, Physical and chemical factors and net phytoplankton distribution in some tropical fish ponds. *Tropical Freshwater Biology*. 5: 43-53.
- Ezeoha, S.L. and Ugwuishiwu, B.O. 2011, Status of Abattoir Wastes Research in Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Technology, 30(2): 143-148.
- Kolawole, O. M., Ajayi, K. T., Olayemi, A. B. And Okoh, A. I. 2011, Assessment of Water Quality in Asa River (Nigeria) and Its Indigenous *Clarias gariepinus* Fish. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 8 (11): 4332-4352.
- Mara, D.D. 1977, Wastewater treatment in hot climates. In: Feacham, R., Mc Garry, M. and Mara, D.D. (eds). *Water, Wastes and Health in Hot climates*. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Mittal, G.S. 2006, Treatment of wastewater from abattoir before land-application a review. *Bioresour*. *Technol*. 97:1119-1135.
- Mulu, A., Ayenew, T. and Berhe, S. 2013, Impact of Slaughterhouses Effluent on Water Quality of Modjo and Akaki River in Central Ethiopia. *International Journal of Science and Research*. Vol.4 (3): 899-907.
- Nafaranda, W.D., Yaji, A. and Icubkomawa, H.I. 2005, Impact of abattoir wastes on aquatic life. *Global J. Pure Appl. Sci.* 12(1):31-33.
- Ogedengbe, O. 1990, Technologies for Industrial waste Management. Paper for a short certificate course workshop on Environmentally Friendly Technologies for Management of Waste, *Inst. of Ecology and Environmental Studies and the UNICECS*, O.A.U. Ile-Ife, Nigeria.
- Ogbomida, E. T., Kubeyinje, B. and Ezemonye, L. I. 2016, Evaluation of bacterial profile and biodegradation potential of abattoir wastewater. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology, vol. 10(2):* 50-57.

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

- Ogbonna, D. N. and Ideriah, T. J. K. 2014, Effect of Abattoir Waste Water on Physico-chemical Characteristics of Soil and Sediment in Southern. Nigeria *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports* 3(12): 1612-1632.
- Ojo, J.O. 2014, Environmental Impact Assessment of Effluents from Oko-Oba Municipal Abattoir at Agege, Lagos State, Nigeria. *Global Advanced Res. J. of Agric. Science*, Vol. 3(10): 317-320
- Omole, D.O. and Longe, E.O. 2008, An Assessment of the Impact of Abattoir Effluents on River Illo, Ota, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 1 (2): 56-54
- Omole, D. O. and Ogbiye, A. S. 2013, An Evaluation of Slaughterhouse Wastes in South-West Nigeria. *American Journal of Environmental Protection*. Vol. 2 (3): 85-89.
- Osibanjo, O. and Adie, G. U. 2007, The Impact of effluent from Bodija abattoir on the physico-chemical parameters of Oshunkeye stream in Ibadan City, Nigeria. *Afri. J. of Biotech.* 6(15):1806-1811.
- Padilla-Gasca E, Lopez-Lopez A, Gallardo-Vaidez J 2011, Evaluation of Stability Factor in the Anaerobic Treatment of Slaughterhouse Wastewater. J. Bioremed. Biodegrad. 2:114-124.
- Practi, L., Pavenello, R. and Pasarin, P. 1971, Assessment of surface water quality by a single index of pollution. *Water Research* 5: 741-751
- Quinn, J.M. and McFarlane, P. N. 1989, Effects of slaughterhouse and dairy factory wastewaters on epilithon: A comparison in laboratory streams. *Water Res.*, 23(10): 1267-1273.
- Raymond, C. L. 1977, Pollution Control for Agriculture. New York: Academic Press Inc
- Sangodoyin, A.Y. and Agbawe O.M. 1992, Environmental Study on Surface and Groundwater Pollutants from abattoir effluents, *Bioresource Technology* 41 (2); 193-200.
- Raheem, N. K. and Morenikeji, O. A. 2008, Impact of abattoir effluents on surface waters of the Alamuyo stream in Ibadan. *Journal of Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage*. Vol. 12 (1), pp. 73–77.