SHEEP AND GOAT PRODUCTION PRACTICES IN AGRO FORESTRY SYSTEMS OF GEDIO ZONE, SNNPR, ETHIOPA

*Selamawit Debele' and 'Matious Habta

*Department of Animal and range science, college of Agriculture and natural resource, *Dilla University*, Ethiopia.

ABSTRACT: The study was conducted to describe sheep and goat production practices in three Agro-ecological Woredas of Gedio zone southern, Ethiopia. A set of semi-structured questionnaire was used to collect information from 120 sheep and goat owners based on single-visit-interviews. 32.6% of them participate in crop production, 56.5% of them involved in both animal and crop production and 5% of them involved in crop production, animal production and off farm activity. Sheep flock in the study sites were significantly different; and 5.63 in wenago and 6.97 Walema sites and 3.4 kochera. Major feed resources were grazing (33.5%). The highest mortality rate occurred in suckling flock (16.24% lambs; 16.3 kids %), young flock (9.64 %lambs; 13.24% kids) and breeding females (ewes 12.06% and does 14.1%) in all study sites. Sheep and goat production in the studied areas was constrained by different problems; prioritized the major constraints as; availability and cost of feeds, limitation of land for the expansion of production and poor extension services. Integration of sheep and goat with other agricultural practices is the dominant systems in the area.

KEYWORDS: sheep, goat, grazing, mortality, feeding, fattening, marketing

INTRODUCTION

Ethiopia have a diverse indigenous sheep and goat populations, numbering 25,017,218 and 21,884,222 heads [6], respectively. According to "ref [11]", the total annual meat production comes from cattle (63%), sheep (25%) and goats (12%). At the national level, sheep and goat account for about 90% of the live animal/meat and 92% of skin and hide export trade value. Sheep and goats represent an important component of the farming system by providing about 12 % of the value of livestock products consumed and 48 % of the cash income generated at the farm level [11].

Sheep and goats are owned by smallholder farmers as an integral part of the livestock subsector and contribute to both subsistence and cash income generation [7]. Sheep and goat are rear in various agro-ecological condition of the country. The suitability of an area for either animal or crop production, and the type of animal or crop to be produced depends on the agro-ecological conditions of the area. The feasibility of cropping and the type of crops to be produced depend on climatic, edaphic and biotic factors. The extent of cropping and the type of crop, in turn, determine the quantity, quality and distribution of animal feed resources throughout the year [10]. On the other hand, the feed resource base and disease challenge determine the animal production system.

Integration of crop livestock production in general and small ruminant in particular plays an important role in food security and food self-sufficiency. A diversified production system is

Vol.1, No.1, pp.37-47, June 2015

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

important to maintain food security in sustainable pattern. Income from the sale of goat and sheep have been used to improve housing, purchase livestock, pay school fees, begin a small business, and improve family nutrition. In addition to that, it also served as a buffer and resource for use in drought conditions, lessening the need for food aid for participating families. As population pressure increases further and farm sizes decrease, the role of large ruminants reduces and small ruminants that constitute less competition for arable land predominate. Sheep and goats, kept in the vast geographical locations, diverse socioeconomic and cultural settings and a range of farming practices in the southern nation nationality people regional state (SNNPR) play immense role in the livelihoods of rural farms. Integration of crop livestock production in general and small ruminant in particular plays an important role in food security and food self-sufficiency.

Sheep and goat are an integral part of mixed-farming systems throughout Ethiopia. Assessment of the existing sheep and goat production systems is an important tool to inform researchers about the actual problems farmers facing and the opportunities that exist within the systems. Gedio zone are geographically located in Southern, Nation, Nationalities and Peoples of Regional State (SNNPRS). Even though the study area is rich in sheep and goat still there is a long way to go to identifying and document the existing production system of the region. The overall objective of this study, were to asses sheep and goat production practices and production performances in the study area.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Area description

This study was conducted in three Agro-ecological Woredas of Gedio zone (Wenago, Kochra and Dilla) of SNNPRS of Ethiopia. The Gedeo live between 5 and 7 degrees North latitude and 38 and 40 degrees East longitude in the escarpments of the south eastern Ethiopian highlands overlooking the Rift Valley, in the narrow strip of land ranging from North (Sidama zone) to South (Oromiya region). In altitude the area ranges from 1200m asl in the vicinity of Lake Abaya to 2993m asl at Haro Wolabu Pond, Bule woreda.

The climate of Gedeo is characterized as warm humid temperate. Mean annual temperature ranges between 17 °C and 22.4°C and mean annual rainfall between 1200 and 1800 mm. The Gedeo zone is thus endowed with two rainy seasons, from March to May and from July to December, with interruptions of 3 to 4 dry months. However, the truly dry months are only January and February, others count with intermittent rain showers. The climate is suitable for abundant forest cover. [5] reports that Gedio zone has a total population of 847,434, of whom 424,742 are men and 422,692 women; with an area of 1,210.89 square kilo meters, Gedeo has a population density of 699.84, while 107,781 or 12.72% are urban inhabitants, a further 39 individuals are pastoralists. A total of 179,677 households were counted in this Zone, which results in an average of 4.72 persons to a household, and 172,782 housing units.

The highlands, constituting about 30% of the total surface, are the dwelling of most sedentary agriculture with perennial crops such as *ensete*, coffee and chat and annual *teff*, maize, barley, and wheat . The dry, sub-humid zone covers 12% of the area and supports drought-tolerant crops like sorghum. The semi-arid and arid zones cover about 41% of the area and are currently used as pasture and range lands [21].

METHODS

Assessment was conducted from April to July 2013 in the selected Woreda of Gedio zone (Wenago, Kochera and Dilla) based on their different agro ecology (humid, sub-humid and dry), from each woredas one kebele was selected based on their potential (Wenago town, Fsehe genet and Walema). Following then, an average of forty households was purposively selected only from each locality, totalling 120 households were interviewed. A structured questionnaire was used to collect data on productive and socioeconomic aspects which were later validated by group discussions and flock monitoring. From the survey, production constraint and opportunity, available feed resource, purpose of keeping sheep and goat and important disease reduce the production potential of sheep and goat in the study area were assed. Furthermore focus group discussions were held with elder people, experts who have been actively engaged with animal production development agent from each site.

Flock monitoring

From the interviewed households thirty farmers, ten from each kebele (Wenago, Walema and Kochera) were selected randomly and participated in the flock monitoring study on a continuous basis for a period of six months (July to December, 2013). Data on health and disease situations, production and reproductive performances, disposal of sheep and goats (sales, death, transfer, and slaughter), and other traditional husbandry practices were collected during the monitoring period using trained enumerators that was assigned to each selected study site. Furthermore, the researchers was supervised the data collection on monthly basis.

Data were analyzed using SPSS version 12 Software [19]. Descriptive statistics and analysis of variance were used.

RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

Source of income of the interviewed household

From the total of samples households 32.6% of them participate in crop production, 56.5% of them involved in both animal and crop production and 5% of them involved in crop production, animal production and off farm activity (table 1). The chi-square test shows that there was a significant difference between the three groups and the majority of the farming community generates income from both crop production and animal production. The main reason of keeping sheep and goat is for income generation of the family which is mainly used as a saving during crop failure and off harvesting. This result is in line with those of [10], [4], [1], [3]. In this study, small ruminants are sheep and goats and would interchangeably be used to refer to both species. A smallholder producer of small ruminants or sheep or goats in this study is a system of production when a farmer keeps sheep or goats to complement his/her livelihood strategy. Small ruminants' production enhances to their livelihood through contributing to total food production, as source of income to satisfy other household needs and agricultural inputs, as means of wealth building and generating employment through diversifying activities of farming households.

Table 1: Source of income by sample household characteristics

Variables	No	%	Chi- Squire	P-Value.
Crop production	39	32.6	14.39	0.001
Animal and Crop Production	68	56.5		
Crop, Animal Production and Off-Farm activity	13	10.9		
Total	120	100.0		

Livestock holding

Sheep flock in the study sites were significantly different; and is 5.63 in Walema and 6.97 wenago sites and 3.4 kochera (table 2). The average holding of sheep in the study sites is lower than reported by [18], an average sheep holding 9.4 (0.62) in West Africa and higher than an average holding of sheep 0.96 (0.19) in Dira Dawa town. The average holding of goat in the study area 3.46 (0.35) lower than average holding of goat 5.03 (0.48) in Dira Dawa town [20]. Across the three study sites household keep mixed species composition and according to [12], this decrease competition for feed, reduces risk by lessening the dependency on one species for meat and milk and increases the likelihood of meeting basic consumption needs. The reasons for the variations could be due to the natural wealth base such as feed availability and capital wealth base of the respective communities. The extent of cropping and the type of crop, in turn, determine the quantity, quality and distribution of animal feed resources throughout the year. On the other hand, the feed resource base and disease challenge determine the animal production system [1]. Moreover the area having different agro ecology which determined availability of feed for sheep and goat and diversify source of income.

Table 2: Livestock composition across the study sites

					Test	
Livestock composition	Walema mean(SE)	Wenago mean(SE)	Kochera mean(SE)	Overall mean(SD)	F- value	P-value
Cattle	2.12(0.5) ^a	3.13(0.5) ^b	2(0.5) ^a	2.42(2.8)	2.95	0.06
Sheep	5.63(0.8) ^a	6.97(0.8) ^a	3.4(0.8) ^b	5.33(0.32)	12.28	0.00
Goat	2.63(0.61) ^a	4.27(0.68) ^a	3.8(0.47) ^a	3.46(.35)	1.99	0.14
Chicken	2.3(0.37) ^a	3.43(0.48) ^a	2.9(0.39) ^a	2.9(.24)	1.86	0.16

^{**}different subscripts indicates significant differences at p<0.05 between means with in rows SE means standard error

Selection criteria for Fattening of Sheep and Goat

Sixty three percent of the household practices fattening for targeted market (specific holidays) and the rest depend on target market season. Selection criteria for sheep and goat and sheep fattening shown in table 3. Twenty seven percent respondents of both sheep and goat owners

select animals for fattening based on body conformation(27%), age (27%) and local ecotypes (25%). Sheep and goat from wenago and Gololcha preferred to purchase. Young fattened animals fetch higher prices due to tenderness of the meat. Both sheep and goat owner respondents consider the physical characteristics (coat color) of the animals 12.7%.

Sheep and goat owners indicated that coat color is one of the most important traits. Depending on the seasons (festival) coat colors preferences vary, light brown and white color want more during New Year and Eastern holidays. Mixed coat (White with black) are demanded during Meskel holidays. Totally black color is hardly preferred by buyer for home consumption. Generally the study identified that body conformation (height and length) and physical characteristics (coat color), breeds, and age are the major criteria that households consider to select sheep and goats for fattening.

Table 3. Fattening of Sheep and Goat

Criteria	Percentage
Conformation (height, length and appearance)	27
Physical characteristics (color, horn, tail length and width, ear etc)	12.7
Age	27
Breed (known local ecotypes)	25
Others	8.3

Feed sources of the area

Availability of different feed sources vary depending on the area where the households were located in references to market, Cafeterias, mill house and grazing land which is either private or open area and type of crop cultivated. Major feed resources were grazing (33.5%), which includes road and fence side grazing, private or government land area grazing, free roaming on waste disposal and purchase grass from different sources is common (Table 4). In addition to this there is also Enset and banana leaves (6.3%), grinding by product (4.5%), fruit peels (3.6), collected from the cafeteria and in the market. Grain and legume (e.g. bean, pea) feeding is more common (1.5%) in Kochera area of urban sites. This is usually common in the household who live near mills and market area and trade grain/legume. Those households who leave near to mill center and market area mentioned that they buy left over from the market and mill center to feed sheep and goat. The non-conventional feeds used by smallholder sheep and goat holders include the traditional brewery and liquor residue (Atella), chat, vegetables and fruit wastes, and leftover foods.

Table 4: Feed resource in the study sites

Feed types	Walema(%)	Wenago(%)	Kochera(%)	Overall(%)
Grazing	26.8	28.6	45.2	33.5
Wheat bran	21.8	20	20.7	20.8
Local brewery by product	13.1	11.2	9.9	11.4

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

Enset leaves	5.8	6.4	9.9	7.4
Banana leaves	5.5	6.4	6.9	6.3
Chat left over	8.6	7.8	4.4	6.9
Grain and legume	-	15	1.5	1
Others ^a	18.4	18.1	1.5	12.7

Others ^a = Sugar cane top, Fruit peels, Kitchen left over and Grinding by products

Feeding systems of sheep and goat

Free grazing is the dominate system in dry (58.9%) and wet season (54.4%). During wet season grass was available to purchase with a list shore; in contrary in dry season the amount available decrease and the price paid to purchase the grass increase. Free grazing in dry season is common in the area. Partly tether grazing equally practices in both dry and wet season (33.3%). In Dira Dawa grazing on public open fields and road sides, foraging on a road, in a village waste disposal and marketing areas were practiced during both in dry and wet season [8].

Table 5: Feeding systems practices in the study sites

	Walem	a	Wenago		Kochera		Over all	
Dry season								
feeding practices	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Free grazing	26	43.3	33	55	47	78.3	106	58.9
Partly tethered	30	50	22	36.7	8	13.3	60	33.3
Fully tethered	_	_	3	5	4	6.7	7	3.9
Confined	4	6	2	3.3	1	1.7	7	3.9
Wet season								
feeding practices								
Free grazing	27	45	34	56.7	37	61.7	98	54.4
Partly tethered	27	45	19	31.7	14	23.3	60	33.3
Fully tethered	_	_	3	5	6	10	9	5
Confined	6	10	4	6.7	3	5	13	7.2

The type of feeding systems practices were free grazing (58.82% dry; 54.4% wet season), fully tethering (3.9% dry; 5 % wet season) and confine (3.9 % dry; 7.2 in wet season). According to [18], the four sheep feeding system identified in West Africa; free grazing, scavenging in the roads and tethering, semi-intensive and confine both in dry and wet season. Tether grazing is practiced to decrease labor requirement, especially in the Wenago area of urban sites. During group discussions they mentioned that in Wenagol and Kochera area complete tethered grazing was practices to avoid theft, predators and car accident. Theft and predator is common and predators were big challenges for goat and sheep owner.

Productive and Reproductive Performances of sheep and goat

Small ruminant reproduction and production performance variation occur due to genetic as well as environmental factors which include breed, health, nutrition and climate. Age at first kidding of does observed in this study was 12.9 months (table 6). Which is less than the indigenous goat[14]. Ewe age at first lambing (13.0 months) was greater than age at first

lambing (8.4 months) of Menz sheep in Ethiopian highlands [16]. Early age at first parturition observed in this study agree with finding of [22].

Table 6: Productive and Reproductive Performances of the flock in study area.

	Age at first parturition per months					
Location	Ewe	Goat				
	Mean(SE)	Mean(SE)				
Walema	13.8(0.5)a	13.3(0.8)b				
Wenago	12.1(0.41)b	11.9(0.4)a				
Kochera	13.0(0.3)a	13.5(0.4)b				
Over all(SD)	13.0(3.1)	12.94(2.6)				
	Parturition interval					
Walema	8.1(0.24)a	7.9(0.2)a				
Wenago	6.5(0.23)b	7.5(0.2)a				
Kochera	10.1(0.29)c	8.9(0.4)b				
Over all(SD)	8.01(2.2)	8.3(1.6)				
	Litter size					
Walema	1.7(0.07)a	1.87(0.2)a				
Wenago	2.0(0.07)b	1.85(0.1)a				
Kochera	1.51(0.08)c	1.49(0.1)b				
Overall(SD)	1.78(0.5)	1.7(0.63)				
	Lambing/Kidding rate (%)					
Central	167.8(8.3)a	188.7(16.3)a				
Peripheral	193.6(7.6)b	180(14)a				
Peri-urban	150.9(7.6)a	147.5(8.2)b				
overall(SD)	173(57)	168(63.5)				

^{*}different subscripts indicates significant differences at p<0.05 between means with in rows SE means standard error

Litter size in the area was 1.78 ewes and 1.7 does. The most frequent litter size was twins flocks constituting 67.4% in goat and 70.12 % in sheep and this could attribute to the present litter sizes. Litter size observed in this study were comparable to observations in African flocks ranging between 1.08 and 1.75 for does but slightly higher than reports for ewes ranging between 1.02 and 1.43 [22]. Litter size of Menz sheep in Ethiopia highland was 1.12 [16] and most estimates of litter size in tropical sheep ranges from 1 to 1.5 [13]. Litter size was differ significantly at p<0.05 across three experimental sites for both species. Lambing and kidding rate significantly (P<0.05) varied in the three study sites as shown in Table 9. Lambing rate is a product of fertility and prolificacy is a good measure of ewe reproduction as cited in [15] and the present 173% lambing and 168% kidding rates are falls within the 65-200% values reported for tropical hairy sheep as cited in [15]. Based on [11] report that lambing rate ranges between 108.2 and 119.1 and kidding rates between 120.1 and 133.6 for sub-Saharan Africa flocks.

Sheep and goat health management

Out of the total interviewed households, 53.9% declared that sheep and goat death occurred in the year 2012/2013. Overall mortality rate of sheep and goat in study area were 12.93% and 14.04% (Table 7) respectively. The highest mortality rate occurred in suckling flock (16.24% lambs; 16.3 kids %), young flock (9.64 %lambs; 13.24% kids) and breeding females (ewes 12.06% and does 14.1%) in all study sites. Reports of [9] studies at Hawassa showed higher results for lambs < 3 months (46.4%) and lambs 3-6 months (3-6 months). For the most part of breeding females died due to car accident, kicked by person and swallowing of plastic. According to [17] authors reported that the mean lamb and kids' mortality are 26.7 and 27.8

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org) percent in the traditional system of Sub Sahara Africa (SSA), respectively which is extreme result.

Table 7: Mortality of sheep and goat flocks

Flock structure	Sheep		Goat		
	Mean(SD) Rate (%)		Mean(SD)	Rate (%)	
Suckling flock	0.18(0.5)	16.24	0.13(0.4)	16.3	
Young flock (3-6 months)	0.16(0.5)	9.64	0.15(0.5)	13.24	
Breeding female	0.23(0.5)	12.06	0.17(0.53)	14.1	
Intact/breeding male/	0.11(0.33)	18.5	0.05(0.2)	13.43	
Castrated/fattening	0.12(0.2)	16.7	_	_	
Overall mortality	12.93%		14.04%		

Different sheep and goat disease which caused mortality were Pneumonias, Pasteurellosis, and sheep pox, bloating and ingestion of plastics materials, car accidents and predators. In Kochera kebele, a predator is the most prominent for the death of their flocks. Most frequently, the predators (hyena) enter in to barn and attack their flock.

Sheep and goat production constraints

Small ruminant production in the studied areas was constrained by different problems. Small ruminant production in area prioritized the major constraints as; availability and cost of feeds, limitation of land for the expansion of production and poor extension services. In the Walema area, lack of grazing area is first ranked problem. Whereas Wenago and Kochera area feed shortage is first ranked constraint (Table8). Both problems are directly related to each other; as the population/urbanization increase land allocate for constriction which decreases the land available for livestock grazing.

There is also a problem of availability and price of feeds. Usually sugarcane tops and grass is sold in the market as animal feed. Additionally, prices of concentrate feeds were among the major problems in small ruminant production. Following to feed related problems, availability of land for small ruminant production is the next important constraint in the studied area. Feed shortage, lack of land for production and health were the second, third and fourth constraints in Walema area. Lack of grazing and land for production and health were the second, the third the fourth constraints. According to [2]livestock health is the second important problem followed by feed shortage which is the first critical problems for Ethiopian livestock productions. Though small ruminant producers are interested to expand the production, the land available for production cannot let them to do so. This is in line with[8], that showed the main problems which hamper the expansions of sheep flock at Hawassa were shortage of feed and land for grazing. Waste from sheep and goats are use as a fuel for making enjera.

Table 8: Constraint of sheep and goat production in three study area

Location		Constraints	1 st	2 nd	3 rd	4 th	Index ^a
of study area			ranke	ranke	ranked	ranke	
			d	d		d	
	Walema	lack of grazing area	27	11	15	0	0.33
		Feed shortage	10	33	11	1	0.31
		lack of land for production	16	7	15	2	0.23
Urban	Urban Health problem		2	5	11	21	0.13
	Wenago	Feed shortage	10	38	9	0	0.33
		lack of grazing area	11	11	31	0	0.26
	lack of land for production Health problem		25	3	12	0	0.25
			11	3	2	25	0.16
Kochera	ì	Feed shortage	30	15	6	0	0.40
		lack of grazing area	7	34	4	2	0.32
		lack of land for production	7	6	8	0	0.14
		Health problem	2	5	14	9	0.14

Opportunities for sheep and goat production

There is a high demand of meat from small ruminants. Most of the households want to buy live sheep and goat [7]during Ethiopian New Year; eastern fall (August-October and may-July). This is also similar with study area, which is a better opportunity for sheep and goat owner to sell fattened animals at specific season and it also attracts commercial and small fatteners. Some of the interviewed households usually practice fattening for specific season. Although, there are many constraints that may hinder the development of small ruminants identified in the area, majority of the respondents (92%) willing to expand production of sheep and goat in future; the producers are aware the of current demand of sheep and goats. Sheep and goats have high demand and incentive prices in the area. Because of the rapid urbanization, substantial population growth and change in the life standard by societies in the area, these increase the demand for meat. Considering these emerging and existing opportunities, the extension system needs to organize and guide to focus on sheep and goats production and marketing in order to improve income and enhance smallholder livelihoods, by minimizing flock loss through diseases and parasites control, protection against predators and proper feeding. The local feeding management system entirely depended on grass, local brewery by products, kitchen left over from home, hotel and cafeteria. In addition to this, in the market and around mill house there are considerable amount of feed which minimize the feed cost and seasonality of the feed.

CONCLUSION

Small ruminants' production enhances to their livelihood through contributing to total food production, as source of income to satisfy other household needs and agricultural inputs, as means of wealth building and generating employment through diversifying activities of farming households. The majority of the flock is composed of young (lambs and kids) and breeding females (ewes and does). Main purpose/reason of keeping sheep and goats were as

source of income and saving. Sale of sheep and goat to fulfill immediate household cash needs accounted the main flock exit route for which sheep and goats are at immediate disposal.

Small ruminant production in the studied areas was constrained by different problems. Small ruminant production in area prioritized the major constraints as; availability and cost of feeds, limitation of land for the expansion of production and poor extension services. Sheep and goats are very important especially due to their biological factors such as short generation interval, twinning, have short growth periods and do not require much space.

REFERENCES

- Adugna Tolera and Aster Abebe .2007. *Livestock production in pastoral and agro-pastoral production systems of southern Ethiopia*. Livestock Research for Rural Development. Volume 19, No 177. http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd19/12/tole19177.htm.
- Berhanu, G., Hoekstra, D. and Samson J. 2007. *Heading Towards Commercialization?* The case of live animal marketing in Ethiopia. Improving Productivity and Mark Success (IPMS)Ethiopian Framers Project Working Paper 5. ILRI (International Research Institute), Nairobi, Kenya.73 pp.
- Belete Shenkute. 2009. Production and marketing systems of small ruminants in goma district of jimma zone, western Ethiopia. Msc. Thesis. Hawassa University, Awassa, Ethiopia. Pp. 35-82.
- Chipman J. 2003. Observation on the potential of Washera sheep for improved food security around quairit and Adet West Gojjam, Northwestern Ethiopia. A field study Hosted by International Livestock research institute (ILRI), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. 17p.
- CSA(Central Statistics Authority). 2007. Regional Statistical abstract. Bureau of Finance and Economic Development Data Collection-Dissemination main process. Hawassa. 212_{pp}.
- CSA (Ethiopia Central Statistical Agency).2009. Agricultural Sample Survey. The 2009/10 National Statistics. Report on livestock and livestock characteristic. Volume II, February, 2010, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Ehuis.k., Benin S. and Nega, Gebreselassie. 2000. Factors affecting urban demand for live sheep: The case of Adiss Abeba, Ethiopia. Socio-econmics and policy research working paper 31.ILRI (International Livestock research institute), Nairobi, kenya.32_{pp}.
- Emebate M. 2006. Reproductive Performance of dairy cows under urban dairy production systems in Dira Dewa. Msc. Thesis. Alemaya University.
- Dinksew, T. and Girma, A. 2000. Society economic aspect and husbandry practices of sheep in Awassa. In: The opportunities and challenges of enhancing goat production in east Africa. Proceeding of conference Markel, R.C. (ed), Abebe, G.(ed); Goetsch A.L. (ed.)
- Gatenby R M .2002 .Sheep production in the Tropics and Sub-tropics. Tropic, Longman London, UK.178p.
- $FAO (\ Food\ and\ agricultural\ organization\).\ 2004.\ The\ state\ of\ Agricultural\ commodity\ markets.$ $FAO.Rome,\ Italy.\ Http:\ //www.fao.Org.$
- ILCA (International Livestock Centre for Africa). ILCA 1990: Annual Report and Programme Highlights. ILCA, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Ibrahim, H.1998. Small ruminant production technique.ILRI Manual No. 3.International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), Nairobi, Kenya. 207p.
- Mamabolo,M.J and Webb, E.C. 2002. Goat Production Survey-Fundamental aspects to Model Goat Production Systems in Southern Africa case study. Agricultural commission in university of Pretonial, South Africa.

- Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)
- Mukasa-Mugerwa, E., Anindo, D., Sovani, S., Lahlou-Kassi, A., Tebely, S., Rege, J.E.O. and Baker, R.L. 2002. Reproductive performance and productivity of Menz and Horro sheep lambing in the wet and dry seasons in the highlands of Ethiopia. Small Ruminant Research 45 (2002) 261-271.
- Mukasa-Mugerwa.E and Kassi, A.lahlow.1995.Reproductive performance and productivity of Menz sheep in the Ethiopia highlands. Small Ruminant research.Volume 17, Issue 2.Elsevier science.National Metrological Agency (NMA), Southern branch. 2009.
- Otte, M.J. and P. Chilonda, 2002. Cattle and small ruminant production systems in sub Saharan Africa: A systematic review. Livestock information, sector analysis and policybranch, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome, Italy.98p.
- Rischkowsky B, Siegmund-Schultze M, Bednarz K, Killanga S. 2005. *Urban sheep keeping in West Africa:* can socioeconomic household profiles explain management and productivity? Human Ecology. 2006;34:785–807. doi: 10.1007/s10745-006-9011-7.
- SPSS ver. 12. 2003. SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL 60606-6412, USA.
- Tesfu Tadess. 2006. Sheep and goat Production systems and monitoring around the villages of Dira Dawa town. Msc. Thesis. Alemaya University.
- Tadesse Kippie.2002.A case study on Gedio land use(southern Ethiopia).tree mail publishers, heelsum, the Netherlands.ISBAN: 90-804443-6-7.
- Wilson.1989. Strategies to increase sheep production in east Africa. In: Small Ruminate Production in developing country. FAO Animal production and health Paper 58. Roma.

Acknowledgements

The authors sincerely thank Dilla university research and disseminations office for funding this research. The support of college of Agriculture and natural resource stuffs and local extension staff, farmers, during the data collection period in the study area is highly appreciated.