# ON THE CONVERGENCE OF LAGRANGE'S INTERPOLATING POLYNOMIAL OF SMOOTH FUNCTIONS

### \*S. N. Singh and \*\*Raj Mehta

\*Head, Department of Mathematics Jamtara College Jamtara (Jharkhand)
\*\*Dept of Mathematics, Guru Ramdas Khalsa Institute of Science & Technology, Barela. Distt. Jabalpur (M.P.) 483001, INDIA

**ABSTRACT**: Considering the Lagrange interpolating polynomial Ln-1(f, x) of a given function defined on a uniform partition with a given mesh, we shall show in the present paper that Ln-1(f, x) does not converge to the function which has nice mathematical properties of approximation.

KEYWORD: Lagrange's Interpolating Polynomial, Smooth Functions

## **INTRODUCTION**

Let  $\Delta$  (n) be a partition of the interval [-1, 1] with partition points  $x_k=x_{k,n}=-1+2(k-1)/(n-1)$ , k = 1, 2, ..., n, n = 2, 3, ..... The Lagrange's interpolating polynomial  $L_{n-1}(f, x)$  of a function f (x) at the knots  $x_k$  is defined as

$$L_{n-1}(f, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(x_k) l_k(x),$$

where

$$l_{k}(x) = \frac{(x-x_{1})(x-x_{2})\dots(x-x_{k-1})(x-x_{k+1})\dots(x-x_{n})}{(x_{k}-x_{1})(x_{k}-x_{2})\dots(x_{k}-x_{k-1})(x_{k}-x_{k+1})\dots(x_{k}-x_{n})}$$

Bernstein [1] (see, also {6}, p. 37) has shown that the interpolating polynomial  $L_{n-1}(f, x)$  of  $f(x) = |x|, 0 \le |x| \le 1$  diverges for 0 < |x| < 1 and converges to the function for x = 0, 1, -1. It is known ([8], p 92) that a given partition there exists a continuous function whose interpolant does not converge to that function. Thus, the points of interpolation influence the convergence of the interpolant. A similar behavior for another linear operator  $S_n(f, x)$ , where  $S_n(f, x)$  is the n-th partial

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.ea-journals.org) sum of the Fourier series of f (x), is known, I.e. there exists a continuous function whose Fourier Series diverges ([10], p. 298).

Byrne et al [3] has obtained a precise limit of convergence of the interpolant for the function f(x) = |x| in the following.

Theorem A, If 0 < |x| < 1, then for f (x) = |x|,

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup n^{-1} \log |L_{n-1}(f, x) - f(x)| = (\frac{1}{2})[(1 + x) \log (1 + x) + (1 - x) \log (1 - x)]$ 

#### PRELIMINARIES

It is known that if a function is sufficiently smooth function, e. g., if the function is differentiable in the interval, then its Fourier series converges to the function ([9], p. 406). Moreover, if the function has higher number of derivatives, the convergence is faster, Precisely, the following is known ([10], p.225) :

Theorem B. Let f (x) be periodic and k times differentiable. If  $| f^{(k)}(x) < M$ , then

$$E_n(f) \leq A_k Mn^{-k}, (n=1, 2, ....),$$

where  $E_n(f)$  denote the error of best approximation by trigonometric polynomials of degree n and  $A_k$  is a positive constant depending on k only.

The function considered in Theorem A is not differentiable at the origin. Now, we wish to see the role of smoothness of the function in the convergence of its interpolant....

#### MAIN RESULTS

We shall prove the following:

Theorem 1. If  $0 < |\mathbf{x}| < 1$  and  $f_{2r}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} x^{2r}, x \ge 0, \\ -x^{2r}, x < 0, \end{cases}$ 

then

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.ea-journals.org)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup n^{-1} \log |L_{n-1}(f_{2r}, x) - f_{2r}(x)|$ 

$$= (\frac{1}{2})[(1 + x)\log(1 + x) + (1 - x)\log(1 - x)]$$

Further, though the partial sum of Fourier series,  $S_n$  (f, x), of a continuous function f(x) does not necessarily converge to f (x). But, if we apply a suitable transformation to  $S_n(f, x)$ , e.g. the following is known as cesaro transformation of order  $\alpha$ ,

$$T_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{A_{n-k}^{\alpha} S_k(f, x)}{A_n^{\alpha}}, \ \alpha > 0$$

where  $A_n^{\alpha} = \begin{bmatrix} n+\alpha \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix}$ , then  $T_n(f, x)$  tends to f(x). We also investigate the effect of such a transformation to the interpolant of the function, and prove the following :

Theorem 2. The (C, k) mean of  $L_{n-1}(f_{2r}, x)$  is not convergent for any k.

for a fixed number x in [-1, 0] and a given partition there is a j = j(n) and  $\theta = \theta(n), 0 \le \theta < 1$ , such that  $x=x_j+2\theta/(n-1)$ . We write for a number a and integer k,  $(a)_k = a (a+1) \dots (a+k-1), (a)_0 = 1$ ,  $a \pm 0$ . We will denote the gamma function by  $\Gamma(.)$ . We shall first prove the theorem for r=1.

We need the following Lemmas :

Lemma 1, For -1 < x < 0 and n = 2m + 1, we have

$$\sum_{k=m+2}^{2m+1} (x_k)^2 l_k(x)$$

$$= (-1)^{m} F(j, m, \theta) \begin{bmatrix} 2m-2\\m-1 \end{bmatrix} \left[ 1 - (m+1-j-\theta) \left\{ 2(2m-1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+\theta-1)k}{(2m+k)(m+1)k} - \frac{2m(2m-1)}{(m+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+\theta)_{k}}{(2m+k+1)(m+2)k} \right\} \right]$$

Where F (j,m, $\theta$ )=m<sup>-2</sup>(-1)<sup>j+1</sup> sin( $\pi\theta$ ) $\Gamma$ (j+ $\theta$ ) $\Gamma$ (2m+2-j- $\theta$ )/( $\pi$ (2m)!).

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.ea-journals.org) Proof. For  $x=xj+2 \theta/(n-1)$  and  $X_k = -1+2(k-1)/(n-1), j=1,2,...,m$  and k=m+2, m+3,..., 2m+1=n, a little simplification shows that

$$l_k(\mathbf{x}) = m^2 \operatorname{F}(\mathbf{j}, m, \theta) \frac{(-1)k}{k-\mathbf{j}-\theta} - \begin{bmatrix} 2m\\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now

$$\sum_{K=m+2}^{2m+1} (x_k)^2 l_k(x)$$
  
=F(j,m,  $\theta$ )  $\sum_{K=m+2}^{2m+1} (-1)^k \frac{(k-m-1)^2}{k-j-\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 2m \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}$   
=F(j,m,  $\theta$ )  $\sum_{K=m+2}^{2m+1} (-1)^k \frac{(k-m-1)}{k-j-\theta} [(k-1)-m)] \begin{bmatrix} 2m \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix}$   
= $\sum_1 - \sum_2$ , say. (1.1)

Writing  $\sum_1$  in the following form, we see that

$$\sum_{1} = 2mF(j,m,\theta) \sum_{k=m+2}^{2m+1} (-1)^{k} \frac{k-m-1}{k-j-\theta} {2m-1 \choose k-2}$$

Substituing 2m+1-k=p, we see that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{p=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{p} \frac{m-\rho}{2m+1-p-j-\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 2m-1\\ p \end{bmatrix} \\ = (-2m)F & (j,m,\theta) \left[ \sum_{1} = (-2m)F(j,m,\theta) \sum_{p=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{p} \begin{bmatrix} 2m-1\\ p \end{bmatrix} \right] \\ \sum_{p=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{p} \frac{m+1-j-\theta}{2m+1-p-j-\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 2m-1\\ p \end{bmatrix} \\ = (-2m)F(j,m,\theta)[ \quad (-1)^{m-1} \begin{bmatrix} 2m-2\\ m-1 \end{bmatrix} - (m+1-j-\theta) \sum_{p=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{p} \frac{1}{2m+1-p-j-\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 2m-1\\ p \end{bmatrix} ] \\ (1.2) \end{split}$$

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.ea-journals.org) Writing

$$\sum_{p=0}^{m-1} (-1)^p \frac{1}{2m+1-p-j-\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 2m-1\\ p \end{bmatrix} = S,$$

We see that

$$S = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m-1-k} \frac{1}{m+2+k-j-\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 2m-1\\ m-k-1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using the identities

 $\frac{1}{m+2-k-j-\theta} - \frac{1}{m+2-j-\theta} \frac{(m+2-j-\theta)_k}{(m+3-j-\theta)_k},$ 

$$(m-k-1)! = (-1)^k \frac{(m-1)!}{(1-m)k}$$
,

$$(m+1)! = (m)!(1+m)_k$$

And  $(1-m)_k=0, k=m, m+1, \dots, we$  can write

$$S = \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m+2-j-\theta} \begin{bmatrix} 2m-1\\m-1 \end{bmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+2-j-\theta)_{k}(1-m)_{k}(1)_{k}}{(m+3-j-\theta)_{k}(1+m)_{k}(k)!}$$
$$= (-1)^{m+1} \begin{bmatrix} 2m-1\\m-1 \end{bmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+\theta-1)k}{(m+1)_{k}(2m+k)} , \qquad (1.3)$$

By the application of the result of Luke ([5],p.104). Also, using the result of Byrne et al [3]

(Lemma 1,p.85) we see that

$$\sum_{2} = mF((j, m, \theta)(-1)^{m} \left[ {2m-1 \choose m-1} - (m+1-j-\theta) {2m \choose m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+\theta)k}{(2m+1+k)(m+2)k} \right]$$
(1.4)

Using (1.3) in (1.2) and combining (1.4) and (1.2) we complete the proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. If the series  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}$  ak with partial sums sn is summable (C,k), then

International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Studies

Vol.2, No. 2, pp.76-85, June 2014

<u>Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.ea-journals.org</u>)  $a_n=o(n^k)$  and  $s_n=o(n^k)$ .

The result is contained in knoop [4](Th.,p.484).

Proof of Theroem 1. We first prove the theorem for r=1. Suppose n is odd i.e. n=2m+1 for some integer m. We assume that  $x \in (-1, 0)$ . Since  $x^2$  is a polynomial of degree less than n, we have (cf.[3], p.86)

$$x^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} (x_{k})^{2} l_{k}(x) \text{ and}$$
(1.5)

$$L_{n-1}(f_2, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} f(x_k)^2 l_k(x)$$

$$= - \sum_{K+1}^{m} (x_k)^2 l_k(x) + \sum_{K=m+2}^{2m+1} (x_k)^2 l_k(x).$$
(1.6)

For -1<x<0, in view of (1.5) and (1.6), we get

$$L_{n-1}(f_{2,x}) - f_{2(x)} = 2\sum_{K=m+2}^{2m+1} (x_k)^2 l_k(x),$$
(1.7)

and therefore we need to estimate the R.H.S. of (1.7).

We shall start by obtaining the bounds for the infinite series evolved on the R.H.S. of the expression of Lemma 1. Using the summing formula for hyper geometric series, we have ([7], p.49)

$$1 - ((m+1-j-\theta)\{2(2m-1)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(j+\theta-1)k}{(m+1)k(2m+k)} + \frac{2m(2m-1)}{m+1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(j+\theta)k}{(m+2)k(2m+k+1)}$$

$$\leq 1 + (m+1-j-\theta) \{ \frac{2(2m-1)}{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+\theta-1)k}{(m+1)k} + \frac{2m(2m-1)}{m+1(2m+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+\theta)k}{(m+2)k} \} < 4m.$$
(1.8)

Also,

$$\left|1 - 2(2m-1)(m+1-j-\theta)\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+\theta-1)k}{(m+1)_k(2m+k)} - \frac{m}{m+1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+\theta)k}{(m+2)_k(2m+k+1)}\right\}\right|$$

International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Studies

Vol.2, No. 2, pp.76-85, June 2014

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.ea-journals.org)

$$\geq \left| \left| 1 + \frac{2(2m-1)(m+1-j-\theta)2}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+\theta)k}{(2m+k+1)(m+2)_k} \right| - \left| \frac{(2m-1)(m+1-j-\theta)}{m} \right| \right|$$

$$= \left| 1 + \frac{2(2m-1)(m+1-j-\theta)^2}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+\theta)k}{(2m+k+1)_k(m+2)_k} - \frac{(2m-1)(m+1-j-\theta)}{m} \right|$$

Now, as the function G()m defined by  $G(m)=1-k_m+g(m)$ , where  $k_m$  is a constant and g(m) is a function of m such that for some constant k'm <  $k_m$ 

$$g(m) \leq k'_m < k_m$$

 $(g(m) \to \infty \text{ as } m \to \infty, k_m \to \infty \text{ as } \to \infty)$ , gives minimum absolute value if we take k'<sub>m</sub> in place of g (m)in the definition of G(m), that is  $|1 - km + g(m)| \ge |1 - km + k'm, |$ 

and observing that

 $\frac{2(m+1-j-\theta)^2(2m-1)}{m+1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(j+\theta)k}{(2m+k+1)(m+2)_k} < \frac{2(2m-1)(m+1-j-\theta)}{2m} \bigg[1-\frac{1}{2m+1}\bigg],$ 

we get

$$\begin{split} & \left| 1 + \frac{2(2m-1)(m+1-j-\theta)^2}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+\theta)_k}{(2m+k+1)(m+2)_k} - \frac{(2m-1)(m+1-j-\theta)}{m} \right| \\ & \left| 1 + \frac{2(2m-1)(m+1-j-\theta)}{2m+1} - \frac{(2m-1)(m+1-j-\theta)}{m} \right| \\ & = \left| 1 - \frac{(2m-1)(m+1-j-\theta)}{m(2m+1)} \right| \\ & > 1 - \frac{2m-1}{2m+1} \\ & > \frac{1}{2m+1}. \end{split}$$

(1.9)

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.ea-journals.org) Now, using (1.8)and (1.9)in the part of the expression of Lemma 1, we get

$$|F(j, m, \theta)| {\binom{2m-2}{m-1}} \frac{1}{2m+1} \le \left| \sum_{k=m+2}^{2m+1} (x_k)^2 l_k(x) \right|$$
  
$$\le |F(j, m, \theta)| {\binom{2m-2}{m-1}} 4m.$$
(1.10)

The second inequality in (1.10) gives

 $\left|\sum_{k=m+2}^{2m+1} (x_k)^2 l_k(x)\right| \le \frac{\Gamma(j+\theta)\Gamma(2m+2-j-\theta)}{(\Gamma(m+1))^2} \frac{2}{2m-1}$ 

Now, following the result fo Luke [5] (also, [7]p. 30-31), we have

Log Γ (y)=(y-
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
) logy-y+ $\frac{\log 2\pi}{2}$  + 0( $\frac{1}{y}$ ),

And  $(\log y/y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $1+x=(j-1+\theta)/m$ , we find that as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , j tends to  $\infty$ . Also,  $2m-j=m(1-x)+(\theta-1) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , Hence

$$\frac{1}{m} \log \left| \sum_{k=m+2}^{2m+1} (x_k)^2 l_k(x) \right|$$
  

$$\leq (\frac{j+\theta-(1/2)}{m}) \log(\frac{j+\theta}{m+1}) + (\frac{2m+\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)-j-\theta}{m}) \log(\frac{2m+2-j-\theta}{m+1}) + o(\frac{\log m}{m})$$
(1.11)

Since  $j/m \rightarrow 1+x$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \frac{1}{m} \log |\sum_{k=m+2}^{2m+1} (x_k)^2 l_k(x)| \le [(1+x)\log(1+x) + (1-x)\log(1-x)]$ (1.12)

Finally, for the left hand inequality of (1.10), we observe that for each x such that  $x=x_j+\theta$ /m,  $0 \le \theta < 1$ , there exist an increasing sequence  $\{r_m\}$  of positive integers (cf.[2],) Lemma 1) such that, when we write

$$X = xj + \theta/r_m, 0 < \theta < 1,$$

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.ea-journals.org) Where j=j(n) and  $\theta = \theta(n)$ , the inequalities

$$K1 \le \theta \le k2$$

Hold for all m and  $o < k_1 < k_2 < 1$ . Since  $\sin \pi \theta$  has a positive lower bound, that is to say,  $(\sin \pi \theta)/\pi = c_r from (1.10)$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{r_{m}}\log\left|\left[\sum_{k=r_{m+2}}^{2r_{m+1}}(x_{k})^{2}l_{k}(x)\right]\right|$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{r_{m}}\log\Gamma(j+\theta) + \frac{1}{r_{m}}\log\Gamma(2r_{m}+2-j-\theta) - \frac{2}{r_{m}}\log\Gamma(r_{m}+1) + O(\frac{1}{r_{m}})$$
(1.13)

As we have already shown that the right hand side of the inequality (1.10) approaches (1+x)  $\log (1+x)+(1-x)\log(1-x)$ , as  $m \to \infty$ , (1.13) also approaches to the same limit. This proves the theorem for r=1. Theorem 1 follows after a similar computations and using the fact that (k-m-1)  $\mu$  can be written as

$$(k - m - 1)^{\mu} = \sum_{t=0}^{\mu} g_t^{\mu}(m) \prod_{i=0}^{\mu-t} (k - i)$$

where  $g_t \mu(m)$  denote polynomials in m of degree t and is defined by the following recurrence relations:

$$g_0^{1}(m)=1, g_1^{1}(m)=-m, g_0^{2}(m)=1, g_1^{2}(m)=1-2m, g_2^{2}(m)=m2, g_{\mu+1}^{\mu+1}(m)=-mg_{\mu}^{\mu}$$
 (m),

 $g_{\mu}^{\mu+1}(m)=(1-m) g_{\mu-1}^{\mu}(m)+g_{\mu}^{\mu}(m)$  and  $g_{0}^{\mu}(m)=g_{0}^{\mu+1}(m)$ , and

2m+1

$$X^{2r} = \sum_{k=1}^{2m+1} (x_k)^2 l_k(x),$$

and 
$$L_{n-1}(f_{2r},x)-f_{2r}(x)=2\sum_{k=m+1}^{2m+1}(x_k)^2 l_k(x)$$
.

the case n=2m is very similar to that of n=2m+1.

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.ea-journals.org)

Proof of theorem 2. We know that  $L_{n-1}(f,x)$ , n=2,3,... is convergent for  $x \in \{-1,0,1\}$ .Let x be a point different than  $\{-1,0,1\}$  and its distance from zero be a. Now, from Theorem 1, from arbitrary  $\in >0$  there exists a positive integer sequence  $r_1 < r_2 < ..., r_m ...$  such that

$$|L_{r_m-1}(f_{2r}, x) - f_{2r}(x)| \ge [(1+a)^{1+a}(1-a)^{1-a} - \epsilon]^{r_m^a/2}$$

For all m Therefore

 $|L_{n-1}(f_{2r}, x) - f_{2r}(x)| \neq o(n^k), \quad (n \to \infty)$ (1.14)

Thus , theorem 2 follows when we combine (1.14) with lemma 2.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bernstein, S., Quelques Remarques Sur 1 'Interpolation, Math, Ann, 79 (1918) 1-12.
- [2] Berman , D . L. , Divergence Of Hermite Fejer Interpolation Process, (In Russian), Uspehi Mat. Nauk. 13 (1958), 143-148.
- [3] Byrne, G. J., Mills, T. M. And Smith, S. J., On Lagrange Interpolation With Equidistant Nodes, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. Vol. 42 (1990) 81 – 89.
- [4] Knoop, K., Theory And Application Of Infinite Series, Blackie And Son Limited, London, And Glassgow (1947).
- [5] Luke , Y. L. , The Special Functions And Their Approximations, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York (1969).
- [6] Lorentz, G. G., Approximation Of Functions, Holt Rinehart And Winston Inc. (1966).
- [7] Rainville, E. D., Special Functions, the Marcmillan Company, New York (1963).
- [8] Rivlin, T. J., An Introduction To The Approximation Of Function, Dover Publications, Inc. New York. (1969).
- [9] Titchmarsh, E. C. A., Theory Of Functions, Oxford University Press, Oxford (1987).
- [10] Zygmund, A., Trignometric Series, Vol I, Cambridge University Press, (1959).