Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

# MODIFICATION OF EXISTING CULVERTS ON RIVER OMI USING HEC-RAS

O.S. Olaniyan, D.J. Omokanye and A.S Akolade

Department of Civil Engineering, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso, Nigeria

**ABSTRACT:** HEC-RAS (Hydrologic Engineering Center's River Analysis software) is a onedimensional computer program that models the hydraulics of water flow through natural rivers and other channels [1]. It was used to modify the existing culverts on River Omi which in the past years and of recent has inundated its surroundings. The hydrological data was also measured and used as an input to the model. The model was used over MIKE11, flovial and other hydraulic mathematical model because of its high visual capability and complete output with detailed [2]. The results showed and confirmed that the existing culverts at the considered cross-sections could no longer accommodate the river's discharge. A box-culvert spanning 7.2m with a rise of 1.8m will be adequate and economical to replace the existing ones. The existing wing wall was sufficient for the modified cross-section.

**KEYWORDS:** HEC-RAS, Modeling, River Omi, Flood, Culverts

# INTRODUCTION

River Omi is located within Iddo Local Government area of Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. It lies between longitude 3° 55' and 4° 00' East and Latitude 7° 00' and 7° 05' North of the equator. It flows through Omi-Adio which is also located at Iddo Local Government Area (LGA). It is one of the tributaries of River Ona in Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria. It takes its source from between Alasa and Mele in Akinyele Local Government Area (LGA) of Oyo State, and flows south westward through Elegunde, Apoyin, Omi-Adio, etc. and joins Ona river at Gambari forest reserve [3][4].The sampling points across the river are shown in Fig.1.



Figure 1: Map showing Designated Cross-Sections on River Omi (Olaniyan, 2012)

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

The river has recorded varying degrees of flooding. For instance, there were flooding in the watersheds of streams (one of the two major streams in Ibadan) in 1955, 1960, 1961, 1963, 1969, 1978 and 1980. The flooding of 1969 was unique because it resulted from a mere 25.4 mm rainfall. The recent flooding on the river occurred in August 26<sup>th</sup>, 2011 [5]. This calls for immediate attention as the incessant inundation keeps claiming lives and properties. Flooding is inevitable, resulting from the natural rainfall-runoff process but can be alleviated. The major cause of flooding on River Omi is as a result of the non-functional culverts on it which have either collapsed or completely wear off in some areas. The study focus on re-designing of ineffective culverts on River Omi

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rigid meter rule, ranging pole, lengthy water proof, data collection sheet, were used in this study. The meter rule and ranging pole were used to measure the dimension of the culverts. Figure 1 shows the map of the river and the measuring stations considered. The river reach was 14.1 km and seven sampling stations were considered. The velocity of the flow measured using a current meter (model 32956-00) and the turbidity of the water samples were measured using HI 93703 microprocessor turbidity meter. The cross-sections were designated  $Z_1$ - $Z_7$  with the exclusion of  $Z_4$  due to its incessant dryness and  $Z_5$  which already has a functional bridge which effectively accommodate the excess discharge of the river. The upstream stations are  $Z_1$ - $Z_3$  and the downstream were  $Z_6$ - $Z_7$ .

The geometrical features used are the width, the length, the depth, and the wing wall length. The widths of the river at strategic points were as well considered alongside the bank conditions. Table 1 gives a summary of the culvert specifications on River Omi. Figure 2 show the reach considered on River Omi on HEC-RAS interface



Figure 2: Reach considered on River Omi

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The cross-section shows the respective areas of the points that were considered on the river reach. Table 3 shows the distances between the cross-sections from upstream facing downstream. The downstream cross-section  $Z_7$  has the largest area of 0.726 m<sup>2</sup> with cross-section  $Z_2$  having the smallest area of 0.072 m<sup>2</sup>. The discharges at the upstream and downstream across River Omi are 0.460 m<sup>3</sup>/s and 0.086 m<sup>3</sup>/s. The length of the reach considered and the geometrical data of the culverts used are presented in Table 1 and Table 2.

| S/N | Cross-Section    | Designation                 | Distance (m) | Area<br>(m <sup>2</sup> ) |
|-----|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| 1   | Lade             | Z <sub>1</sub> (upstream)   | 1800         | 0.220                     |
| 2   | Aba-nla          | Z <sub>2</sub> (upstream)   | 2300         | 0.072                     |
| 3   | Railway          | Z <sub>3</sub> (upstream)   | 2100         | 0.138                     |
| 4   | Igisogba         | Z <sub>6</sub> (downstream) | 4100         | 0.143                     |
| 5   | Ateere dagilogba | Z <sub>7</sub> (downstream) | 0            | 0.726                     |

#### Table 1: Length of Cross-Sections on River Omi

## Table 2: Culvert Geometrics on River Omi

| Culvert<br>Designations | Length (m) | Width (m) | Depth (m) | Wing wall span<br>(m) |
|-------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------|
| $\mathbf{Z}_1$          | 5.1        | 4.1       | 1.25      | 1.2                   |
| $\mathbb{Z}_2$          | 5.1        | 4.1       | 1.25      | 1.2                   |
| <b>Z</b> 3              | 5.1        | 4.1       | 1.25      | 1.2                   |
| <b>Z</b> 6              | 5.1        | 4.1       | 1.25      | 1.2                   |
| <b>Z</b> <sub>7</sub>   | 5.1        | 4.1       | 1.25      | 1.2                   |

The cross-section of a typical submerged culvert at the upstream station is shown in figure 3. This area is highly trapped with sediments due to several flood control plants in the zone, causing obstruction and gradual wearing-off of the culverts, thereby provoking submergence. The datum value of 180 m was obtained which implies that the mean water level and culvert were 0.495 m and 0.48 m respectively.

International Journal of Civil Engineering, Construction and Estate Management

Vol.2, No.1, pp.22-26, December 2014

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)



Figure 3: Cross-Section of culvert at Z<sub>1</sub>

Figure 4 shows the modified culvert that can effectively accommodate the excess discharge at the upstream. The base of the culvert rests directly at station 52.00 m and at elevation of 184.50 m. The water surface elevation is at 184.81 m and the soffit of the culvert are 184.81m and 186.10 m respectively. This modified culvert can effectively convey water without fear of submergence and dilapidation. The excess discharge at the upstream was due to the expanded flood terrain and land use of the area. The farming activities at the upstream have exposed the area to erosion and increase sediment yield.



**Figure 4: Cross-section of the Proposed Culvert** 

Published by European Centre for Research Training and Development UK (www.eajournals.org)

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

Culverts at the upstream of River Omi cannot hold the excess discharge and should be re-designed. HEC-RAS model was used in this study for this purpose. The downstream cross-section  $Z_7$  has the highest discharge of  $0.460m^3$ /s with a suitable culvert. So box culvert spanning 7.2m with a rise of 1.8m will be more appropriate at the upstream to accommodate excess discharge. The modified section is wider and higher than the existing one of (5.1 x 1.25) m. This study provided a baseline to river management and flow management and flow control measure within the area.

## REFERENCES

[1] Brown, Caldwell, 2008. Attachment 2: HEC-RAS Model Development, Find Technical Report

[2] Amir Hamzeh Haghiabi and Ehsan Zaredehdasht, 2012. Evaluation of HEC-RAS Ability in Erosion and Sediment Forecasting. World Applied Sciences Journal 17 (11): 1490-1497, 2012.

 [3] Adegbola A.A.and Olaniyan O.S., 2013. Spatial Variation of Suspended Sediment Transport on River Omi, South-Western Nigeria, International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology 4(2).

[4] Adegbola A.A. and Kumolu O.A., 2012. Flow Simulation of River Omi, Ibadan, Nigeria; Using Average Proportionality and Linear Regression Methods. Indian Journal of

Science and Technology.

 [5] Ajayi O., Agbola S.B., Olokesusi B.f., Wahab B., Taiwo O.J., Gbadegesin M., Taiwo D.O., Kolawole O., Muili A., Adeola M.A., OLutade O.G., Shiji F. and Abiola N.A. 2012.
Flood Management in an Urban Setting: A Case Study of Ibadan Metropolis.Hydrology

for Disaster Management: Special Public of the Nigeria Association of Hydrological Sciences, 2012 http://www.unaab.edu.ng.