Consumer Loyalty and Brand Marketing Programs in an Emerging Economy: Evidence from The Automobile Industry

Sayibu Ibrahim Nnindini

Department of Distance Education, School of Continuing and Distance Education
University of Ghana

Justice Boateng Dankwah

Department of Entrepreneurship and Business Science, University of Energy and Natural
Resources

ABSTRACT: *The study examined the impact of brand marketing programs on brand loyalty of automobile users in an emerging economy context. Adopting a positivist paradigm, a quantitative approach was employed. Using a cross-sectional survey, data was collected from 700 respondents. Scales of measures were evaluated using exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis after which consumer loyalty segments were created using cluster analysis. Logistic regression was carried out to evaluate the effect of brand marketing programs on consumer loyalty. The study revealed a positive and significant association between brand marketing efforts and consumers' purchase decisions and loyalty to automobile brands. Four brand marketing efforts were also found to be significantly associated with the two segments (high and low involvement) at varying degrees.*

KEYWORDS; consumer loyalty, brand marketing programs, IMC, emerging economies, automobile.

INTRODUCTION

The mainstream marketing literature is replete with studies conducted on brand loyalty. These studies have churned out different and varied results in developed and developing economies context, with a majority of scholars postulating several precursors of marketing programs for brands as well as factors that influence consumers' emotional attachments to particular brands (Lee *et al*, 2015; Odoom, 2016). Nonetheless, the findings reported in these studies cannot be generally applicable as a result of the differences that exist in the preferences and requirements of consumers across different geographical regions (Bishnoi and Kumar, 2016) often with different and unique environmental settings (Aksoy *et al*, 2015; Odoom, 2016).

With consumers exhibiting varied behavioural patterns in different geographical contexts, researchers in the field of branding have acknowledged the existence of gaps in the concept of brand loyalty and therefore call for further studies to explore the subtleties of consumer brand

loyalty in different economic and geographical contexts and also with different brand categories (Bishnoi and Kumar, 2016; Odoom, 2016). Besides, much has not been achieved in marketing literature in acknowledging the fact that brand loyalty exhibited by consumers are at varying degrees (for example high or low involvement) and also dependent on the product category (Bishnoi and Kumar, 2016) . Consequently, the majority of studies on loyalty rarely consider the nuances that exist in the brand loyalty spectrum when carrying out analysis and reporting findings on brand loyalty. Furthermore, a greater number of studies that are focused on the consumer and brand loyalty often rely on constructs that have been used over time (Odoom, 2016). The dependence on continuous weights/measures is not likely to paint an accurate picture of the degree of loyalty consumers' exhibit towards brands under predetermine predictors. Perhaps using dual or multiple measures would help reveal 'true' loyalty acts. It is for this reason that Odoom (2016) advocates for further studies in this sphere focusing on finding solutions to the aforementioned issues, lest these gaps continue to exist in the mainstream marketing literature. Odoom (2016) further warned that if the conceptualization on context-based marketing issues is not established researchers in the field of marketing risked being susceptible to leaky quirks.

Also, the literature suggests that most of the studies conducted on consumer choice regarding automobiles have largely been carried out in advanced economies (Odoom, 2016; Tang et al, 2011) there is therefore the dearth of studies on consumer behaviour in the automobile industries in emerging markets particularly sub-Sahara Africa (Ghana) (Narteh et al, 2012) hence this study. The study sought to achieve three objectives; thus, to examine; (1) the effect of specific brand marketing programs on brand loyalty of automobile users in Ghana, (2) the degree of influence of brand marketing efforts on consumers in both high or low loyalty segments and finally (3) to examine the significance of selected brand marketing activities in predicting the probability of consumers becoming more loyal to their preferred car brands.

This study makes at least three key contributions; First, the study contributes to the literature on marketing programmes and brand loyalty via the application of the TRA and the complexity theory in a unique and underrepresented context, sub-Sahara Africa (Ghana). Also, the study validates Keller's (2013) rarely examined view of marketing efforts impact on customers' loyalty in an underrepresented contexts; the automobile industry in an emerging economy. Second, the study has the potential to offer practitioners within the automobile industry in Ghana insight through empirical evidence on the nuances and the relationship that exists between their marketing efforts and the expected outcome. Third, it presents important cues to decision-makers in the automobile industry regarding the market effort in support of their brands.

Having provided a justification for the study, the remainder of the paper is organized as follows; the context of the study, review of literature, methodology, theoretical and managerial implications, discussion of the study findings and finally limitations and direction for future studies at the last section of the paper.

Context of the study
Ghana like many countries in Sub-Saharan Africa has over the years witnessed market liberalization which has led to the creation of intense competition in the marketplace as firms strive

to catch the eye of the few available customers (Narteh *et al*, 2012). This has resulted in customers being presented with a multiplicity of products and services designed to satisfy or exceed the requirement of customers. Walker (2008) asserts that the decision of consumers to settle on a particular product is often a conscious manifestation of their personalities and these selected brands possess certain features that the consumer can be associated with. Consequently, a lot of factors are taken into consideration as part of the consumer buying decision process and this process is even more extensive when dealing with costly products like cars. The consumer decision process is further complicated by the turbulent nature of the business environment where the consumer is constantly bombarded with a variety of brands, promotional activities and information about pricing (Hennessy and Tol, 2011; Huang *et al*, 2010).

Recent studies on the automobile industry suggest that while the markets for automobile products particularly cars are maturing in advanced economies, the markets for automobiles in the developing economies are still growing (Peters *et al*, 2011; Simon and Reed, 2007). Therefore, emerging markets like Ghana could serve as key markets for automobiles; hence it is imperative to understand the factors that influence consumers' brand choice. Such information would be vital in the strategic planning of automobile manufacturers and dealers. The automobile industry in general has made a significant contribution to Ghana's GDP. It is one of the sectors that have seen a considerable amount of foreign direct investment. The market is dominated by multinational corporations such as Toyota, BMW, VW, Mahindra, Hyundai, Mercedes-Benz and Nissan.

THEORETICAL REVIEW

Consumer brand loyalty could be explained from different theoretical perspectives (Corley and Gioia, 2011; Miles, 2012). The theory to be adopted in explaining consumer brand loyalty is largely influenced by the objective of the study. Hence, scholars have proposed different theories that could be used to explain brand loyalty (Odoom 2016; Rather, Tehseen, & Parrey, 2018). For instance, Russo *et al* (2016) proposed the use of complexity theory in explaining consumer brand loyalty. Russo *et al* (2016) further argue that, brand loyalty is essentially about the behaviour and attitudes of consumers, which is deemed to be complex; it will therefore require a framework like the complexity theory to better explain the phenomenon.

Perhaps, the proponents of the complexity theory are mindful of the fact that constructs relationships might not be linear in real life due to several intervening factors. Hence one cause can generate distinct results in different settings. Others like Lyong Ha (1998) on the other hand, prefer to use the theory of reasoned action (TRA) in explaining the phenomenon of consumer brand loyalty. Lyong Ha (1998) contend that, brand loyalty is multifaceted and that TRA is appropriate in explaining it. This theory was propounded to explain the consumer purchase decision-making process (Fishbein, 1980). As suggested by Lyong Ha (1998), attitude toward purchasing and external factors (subjective norm) are the antecedents of purchase or re-purchase. We find the TRA pertinent to this study, and argue that a person's loyalty to a particular brand could also be influenced by attitude and external factors such brand marketing programmes.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Brand Marketing Effort

Building and maintaining strong brands have been established to present firms with significant competitive advantage (Aaker, 1996; Hoeffler and Keller, 2003). When a consumer decides to purchase a brand, the consumer is not only buying the brand but also identity in the process (Rather, Tehseen and Parrey, 2018; Wilson and Gilligan 2012). As part of choosing and purchasing a car brand, for example, consumers usually compare brand features such as quality, price and design, engine capacity and durability (Odoom, 2016). The marketing strategies of brands adopted by marketing professionals in persuading consumers about the superiority of the brand attributes is a significant step towards attaining consumer loyalty (Odoom, 2016).

Brand identities and brand loyalty

Brand identification provides an elaborate conceptualization of the special relationship between consumers and brands (Rather, 2017; So et al., 2017; Tuskej and Podnar, 2018). Brand identities (also known as elements) play vital roles in differentiating companies offering from that of competition. According to Shirazi *et al* (2013) brand confers on products distinctive features which makes it stand out in the marketplace and resonate with a section of customers. Brand elements that aligned with the beliefs and personality of consumers tend to positively impact the self-esteem and confidence of the consumers (Rather, Tehseen and Parrey, 2018). Hence consumers purchase brands not only for their functional performance but the value the brands add to building the confidence of the consumer (So et al., 2017).

Carefully selected brand elements can help facilitate the acknowledgement and brand review by customers in an environment where there are numerous competitive alternatives (Sonnier and Ainslie, 2011). The brand element whether a name, symbol or image has the potential to influence the consumer buying decision process positively. By identifying the brand elements, the customer is impacted by the choice to buy the brand. The brand character includes a set of affiliations and image that permits the customer to recognize it and consider it in their decision-making process.

Marketing programs and brand loyalty

Marketing programs of brands today are focused on fostering relationships between the consumer and the brand (Kitchen, 2017). Marketing programs are about sharing information with customers that stimulate cognitive activities resulting in the consumer-brand relationship (kumar, Dash and Malhotra, 2018). A brand achieves prominence only when the brand elements selected elicit the right associations. Nonetheless, for a brand to attain prominence and stay at the top of the memory of consumers, the brand ought to be visible to customers via marketing programmes such as advertisement and promotion. Laran *et al* (2011) assert that consumers are more likely to purchase brands that are visible and in the top memory of consumers. It is therefore imperative that companies pay attention to marketing strategies that can propel their brands to consumers' evoke set. Machado *et al* (2012) contend that all kinds of brands require some marketing activities and

41

programs to escalate their prominence. According to Hynes (2009) previous studies have reported that marketing programs can be used to keep brands in consumers' memory and induce affective reactions.

Keller and Lehman (2006) also report that marketing practitioners often adopt a multiplicity of communication techniques that are blended to promote brand value and promise. This is often done through mediums such as electronic media, print and outdoor advertisement. The increase in popularity of the new media has presented marketing professionals with an additional medium through which important information about brands are communicated (Mitic and Kapoula, 2012). Though some of the marketing programs can be expensive to run, it is a necessary evil as companies need to use them to support their brands and strengthen the loyalty of consumers to the brand.

Secondary brand associations and brand loyalty
The best description of consumers' minds would be a battlefield where competing brands struggle for attention. According to Gagnon and Lexchin (2008) companies expend a lot of resources to acquire a space in the consumer's mind. The consumer buying decision-making process is an intense mental activity (Laran *et al*, 2011). Hence becoming loyal to a brand is a process where a customer develops a special emotional attachment to the brand (Fastoso and González-Jiménez 2020; Shimul et al. 2019). It is at this point that companies strategize by using brand associations to impact the consumer buying decision making process.

According to Keller (2003) a critical element of building strong brands is creating effective brand association which positively impacts brand equity. This is a view shared by other scholars who contend that secondary associations serve as cues that remind consumers of particular brands when consumers make purchase decisions (Odoom, 2016; Romaniuk and Nenycz-Thiel, 2013). It is argued that brand association is a technique used by companies to foster a good bond between brands and consumers to provoke the appropriate response from consumers (Koll and von Wallpach, 2014).

Equally, Brown *et al.* (2006) observed that secondary associations are signs and symbols used by companies to create awareness about their brands with the ultimate goal of establishing a mutually beneficial relationship between the brand and consumers. Professional views taken together with the findings of empirical research suggest that effectively deployed brand association could result in the desired outcome – loyalty (Odoom, 2016; Thomas, 2015). Therefore, brand loyalty could be achieved by companies if the right brand association is effectively created.

Integrated marketing communication and brand loyalty
As stated early on, strong brands are those that are supported with effective integrated marketing communication (IMC). Oluwafemi and Adebiyi (2018) describe IMC as a communication tool that ensures a two-way flow of information between consumers and brands. Effective marketing communication is customer centered – thus reflecting the aspirations and expectations of consumers (Hänninen and Karjaluoto, 2017; Oluwafemi and Adebiyi, 2018). Currently the

effectiveness of marketing communication is considered a key tool in building strong brands (Keller, 2009). Perhaps this is because firms have realized that IMC has the potential to influence consumer purchase decision positively. For instance, previous studies suggest that IMC could have a direct and indirect impact on various shades of consumer behaviour particularly loyalty to brands (Aaker, 1997; Oluwafemi and Adebiyi, 2018). For a company's IMC to succeed in delivering a consistent message and achieve strategic positioning in the consumers' minds, the IMC elements have to be effectively integrated (Keller, 2009).

Businesses today are broadening the communication mix to include the new media. Firms use social media to drive sales and consumer loyalty by encouraging consumers to share their brand experience and purchases online to influence behaviours and attitudes among friends (Bilgin, 2018; So, et al., 2017). Considering that most consumers are likely to develop emotional attachment or loyalty to brands that are easily accessible to them to patronize (Uncles *et al*, 2003), the tactics used in promoting, distributing and selling brands (via marketing channels) can significantly impact sales turnover rate of a brand (Kapferer, 2012). Previous studies have found elements of marketing communication mix (Yeshin, 2012) to have the ability to drive and instigate strong customer-brand relationships which eventually could lead to loyalty (Keller, 2009; Schultz *et al*, 2014). As a result of the wide array of marketing communication channels, it has become necessary to coordinate and harmonize these channels with a uniform message (Payne, Peltier and Barger, 2017).

METHODOLOGY

Research instrument
The Questionnaire was the main tool used for data collection in this study (Malhotra and Birks, 2007). The instrument was structured in three parts. The first part had questions on demographic features of the respondents, covering the age, gender, educational level, number of cars own, primary car brand and how long a respondent have used their preferred car brand. The second section contained 21 statements on brand marketing efforts adapted from Odoom (2016) and was measured with a Likert-scale which ranges from 1=not at all, through to 4=neutral, to 7=extremely important. The third and final section of the questionnaire dealt with statements on brand loyalty, adapted from (Brakus *et al,* 2009; Odoom, 2016). These were measured on a 7-point Likert-scale where 1=extremely unlikely, 4=neutral and 7=extremely likely.

Sample, Data Collection and Analysis
In preparing for data collection, the researchers contacted car dealerships and garages in Accra and Kumasi (the two largest cities in Ghana) requesting customers' information. The criteria for the selection of the car dealerships and garages was based on whether a garage deals in at least one of the four car brands the study focused on and also had at least current and up-to-date contact information on customers they transacted business with. In all, seven car dealerships granted the request – four from Accra and three from Kumasi, who shared their customer contact information with the researchers. Purposively selected respondents were contacted on phone for an appointment for questionnaire administration. The respondents were selected based on their usage

43

of at least one of the four car brands the study focused on. The data collection exercise lasted for 8 weeks.

As part of the data analysis process various test was conducted. To begin with, the validity and reliability of the measurement scales were determined by carrying out EFA and CFA tests. Next was the extraction was PC factors from the varimax rotation in the EFA which represented the brand marketing effort in the ANOVA test, which was carried out to assess the effect of the selected brand marketing efforts on consumer loyalty in the two segments (high and low). A logistic regression analysis was carried out to evaluate the impact of a brand marketing effort on predicting car user's loyalty towards their primary car brand.

Profile of Respondents

The respondents' demographic characteristics as displayed in Table 1 show that majority of the participants in the survey were male representing 53.08 percent while 46.92 percent were females. concerning the ages of respondents, majority (37.08) of the respondents were in the cohort of 36 years to 45 years, 31.23 percent were in the age category of 46-55, while 16.92 were in the age group of 25-35 and those above 55 years made up of 14.77 percent of the sample. This demonstrates that most car users in Ghana are within the economical active year groups. With the educational qualification, 47.08 percent had education up to postgraduate level, 22.15 percent were degree holders, 15.08 percent were diploma holders while 8.9 percent were PhD holders and 6.80 percent were SHS graduates. About the number of cars used by respondents, it was revealed that the majority (59.23 to be exact) use a single car while 40.77 use multiple cars. A further probe about primary car revealed that 44.00 percent of respondent use Toyota as a primary car, Nissan is a primary car for about 25.85 percent of the respondents whereas 16.30 percent use VW as a primary car 13.85 use BMW as primary car.

Characteristics	n	%
Gender		
Male	345	53.08
Female	305	46.92
Total	650	100
Age of respondents		
25 – 35	110	16.92
36 – 45	241	37.08
46 – 55	203	31.23
Above 55	96	14.77
Total	650	100
Educational qualification		
SHS	44	6.80
Diploma	98	15.08
Degree	144	22.15
Postgraduate	306	47.08
PhD	58	8.9
Total	650	100
Number of cars used		
One	385	59.23
Multiple	265	40.77
Total	650	100
Primary car brand		
Toyota	286	44.00
Nissan	168	25.85
VW	106	16.30
BMW	90	13.85
Total	650	100
Years with primary car brand		
1-2	122	18.77
3-4	156	24.00
5-6	203	31.23
7-8	83	12.77
9-10	53	8.15
Above 10 year	33	5.08
Total	650	100

Note: n = 650

Table I.   Characteristics of Respondents

Validity and Reliability of Measurement Scales
The validation of the measurement scales of brand marketing programs was done in 2 phases. First, Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) was carried out using principal components extraction and orthogonal varimax rotation techniques. Before the extraction of factors, the Bartlett test of

45

Sphericity showed (Approx.: Chi-square ¼ 8,124.383, df. 753, sig. 0.000) and that Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin statistic (KMO) showed sample adequacy (value of 0.831). This indicated that the variables being measured were significantly correlated to merit the application of EFA. Factors selection was based on eigenvalue being equal to or greater than one (Malhotra and Birks, 2007). Furthermore, variables selected for the analysis were those whose loadings exceeded 0.5 as well as factors that met suggested reliability criteria of 0.7 (Nunnally, 1978). With regards to consistency internally, Cronbach's α values for the four variables as illustrated in Table II ranged from 0.743 to 0.893 as well as corrected item-to-total correlations all recording values beyond the suggested limit of 0.50.

The second part of the analysis under this section involved confirmatory factor analysis on brand marketing programs and brand loyalty with the help of AMOS. The fitness of the model was calculated using the Chi-square index (χ^2/df), the goodness of fit index (GFI) and the comparative fit index (CFI). Next was the Tucker–Lewis index (TLI), normed fit index (NFI) and the root mean square error of approximation index (RMSEA) proposed by Anderson and Gerbing (1988). Based on these indices of fitness, the CFA resulted in χ^2/df = 2.181, GFI = 0.955, CFI = 0.962, TLI = 0.968, NFI = 0.947 and RMSEA = 0.058. As illustrated in Table II the results showed that the five constructs composite reliabilities were in the range of 0.754 to 0.911 which are all above the 0.7 requirement (Nunnally, *et al,* 1978). Furthermore, the coefficients of the composites relative to the manifest indicators were all significant (see *t*-values > 2.0). Also, the discriminant validity as illustrated in Table 3 was arrived at by juxtaposing the shared values of average variances extracted of pairs of constructs with their squared phi correlations. The results show that all the constructs have AVE values greater than the shared squared phi correlations related to all the constructs and this gives credence to the constructs' discriminant validity. The rest of the descriptive statistics and correlations resulting from the discriminant validity test is displayed in Table 3.

Variables	EFA Components						CPA		
	1	2	3	4	CITTC	α	Standard Loading	t-value	CR
Integrated marketing communications						0.869			0.878
Advertising campaigns deployed by the brand	0.652	0.439	0.119	0.360	0.712		0.972	Fixed	
	0.746	0.253	0.344	0.237	0.739		0.821	19.317	
	0.687	0.278	0.463	0.354	0.713		0.767		
Sponsored events by the brand								18.686	
	0.542	0.295	0.089	0.273	0.727		0.763		

Activities associated with the brand	0.678	0.270	0.436	0.153	0.598		0.662	15.39 5	
						0.893			
Brochures encouraging me	0.261	0.792	0.364	0.256	0.578		0.782	18.33 9	0.86 8
to buy the brand	0.276	0.775	0.329	0.245	0.651		0.673		
Consumers	0.319	0.619	0.097	0.271	0.601		0.743		
promotions on the	0.439	0.680	0.232	0.128	0.647		0.650		
brand								Fixed	
	0.471	0.685	0.372	0.233	0.647		0.736	14.80 5	
	0.371	0.614	0.406	0.238	0.682		0.696	16.75 8	
Brand identities									
The name of the brand						0.789		14.70 0	
Brand features and quality	0.463	0.411	0.774	0.178	0.547		0.761	16.94 5	0.86 1
	0.337	0.084	0.762	0.329	0.650		0.730		
Logos and symbols of brand	0.289	0.087	0.549	0.208	0.682		0.663	15.88 2	
Slogans associated with brand	0.387	0.278	0.567	0.265	0.615		0.662		
Animated characters						0.743			
representing the brand	0.188	0.337	0.371	0.779	0.607		0.707	Fixed	
	0.499	0.265	0.118	0.661	0.533		0.716	15.53 3	0.75 4
Packaging of the brand	0.015	0.169	0.138	0.597	0.618		0.742		
								14.75 1	
Marketing program							0.849		
Favourable reviews and opinions from users							0.823	14.71 2	0.91 1
							0.816		
Reasonable pricing of brand							0.799	Fixed	
Easily accessible channels to obtain							0.752	15.23 9	
the brand	8.841	2.413	1.395	1.099				16.65 8	
Availability of brand in my geographical location								Fixed	

Secondary associations	17.321
Celebrities/popular people using and endorsing the brand	16.442
Country of origin of the brand	
The company of the brand	18.031
	16.993
Brand loyalty	
Buy this brand again	
Recommend this brand to others	
Not buy other brands if this brand is available in the store	
In future, I will be loyal to this brand	
Brand will be first choice in future	
Eigen value of EFA	

Note: Total variance explained for four factor solutions =65.975 percent, CITTC = corrected item-to-total correlation. CR= composite reliability, CFA model fit indices: χ^2 =728.45; df = 350; GFI = 0.945; CFI = 0.972; TLI = 0.964; NFI = 0.944; RMSEA = 0.052. All t-value estimates significant with $\rho<0.001$

Table II.   EFA and CPA Results

Construct	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4	5
Integrated marketing communication	5.21	1.33	(0.536)				
Brand identities	5.07	1.62	0.456**	(0.512)			
Marketing programs	4.79	1.54	0.625**	0.387	(0.702)		
Secondary associations	4.83	1.28	0.422**	0.521**	0.423**	(0.516)	
Brand loyalty	5.92	1.37	0.505**	0.425**	0.385**	0.351**	(0.618)

Note: **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed); AVE values are on diagonals in brackets

Table III. Discriminant validity of constructs

Cluster Analysis
In furtherance of the aim of the study, it was imperative to assess the level of loyalty of the sample consumers of the automobile industry. To accomplish this, Ward Algorithm and K-means cluster analysis were adopted for the preliminary analysis which involved the classification of participants into two loyalty segments (high and low). Cluster analysis involves the assigning of cases to predetermined sets (clusters) whose features are usually determined by variables assigned to them by the researcher(s). Hence the consumers were clustered based on the 5 loyalty measures adopted by this study. One of the benefits of this approach is that it is insensitive to outliers in the data since its categorization is based on several reiterations (Odoom, 2016).

Two clusters emerged after the iteration and were saved for each case. Following this, it was revealed that 390 consumers had high loyalty levels while 260 consumers had low loyalty levels. On the whole, it was found that all the 5 loyalty measures made significant contributions to the categorization process (F= 1,856.902, $\rho<0.001$). The F=statistics showed substantial distinctions among respondents with regards to repeat purchase of the brand ($F =532.585$***); recommending a brand to others ($F =358.435$***); not buying other brands ($F = 655.601$***); being loyal to a brand in future ($F = 286.465$***) and brand being the first choice ($F =364.602$***). The rest of the results of the cluster analysis which illustrates the final clustering centers can be found in Table IV.

| | Clusters centres loyalty level | | | |
| | High | Low | Total | |
Loyalty measures significance	(n=390)	(n=260)	n = 265	F-value
Buy this brand again 0.000	6.23	3.36	4.73	532.585
Recommend this brand to others 0.000	5.72	4.75	4.83	357.435
Not buy other brands if this one is available at the store 0.000	5.74	3.63	4.88	655.601
In future I will be loyal to this brand 0.000	5.85	4.05	5.02	286.465
Brand will be first choice in the future 0.000	5.98	3.98	5.00	365.602

Notes *1= extremely unlikely, 7= extremely likely

Table IV. K-means cluster results

ANOVA Test

An ANOVA test was carried out to ascertain the significance of brand marketing efforts vis-à-vis the two-consumer loyalty group. The ANOVA test results as displayed in Table 5 show that there is a significant distinction between the two consumers (low and high loyalty) with the four key brand marketing efforts ($\rho < 0.001$). Among the four brand marketing effort measures, "the name of the brand" is found to be the highest differentiator ($F = 282.292$) and the least differentiator was "celebrities/popular people using/endorsing the brand" ($F = 121.983$). The test results also indicate that the cumulative mean values of the two segments – high and low loyalties favoured "favourable reviews/opinions from users" (*mean* = 5.14) being the highest among the four brand marketing efforts, while "celebrities and popular people endorsing the brand" recorded the lowest mean value (mean = 4.92). Using the scale measures anchor, it is to be noted that a brand marketing effort is considered to be important to consumers if their mean values are equal or greater than the mid-mean value of (4). The results as displayed in Table 5 shows that all the mean values of brand marketing efforts in the high loyalty customer segment are higher as compared to the mean values of the low loyalty customer segment.

| Brand marketing efforts[a] Significance | Loyalty of consumer | | | |
	High n= 390	Low n= 260	Total n= 265	*F*- value
Celebrities/popular people using/ endorsing brand 0.000	5.46	4.37	4.92	121.983
Favourable reviews/opinions from users 0.000	5.48	4.17	5.14	239.220
The name of the brand 0.000	5.39	4.24	4.96	282.292
Events sponsored by the brand 0.000	5.66	4.29	5.10	177.626

Note: 1 = not at all important, 5 = extremely important

Table V. ANOVA Test Result

Logistic Regression Analysis

To ascertain the brand-specific among the sampled consumers' loyalty and also to determine the predictive ability of the marketing of the brand, a multi-group logistic regression test was carried out. The four items used in the PCA is selected as predictor variables in each model. It is important to note that the dependent variable for this analysis was the two consumer segments (high and low binary) loyalty. As illustrated in Table 6, the model consisting of all the predictors and car brands were found to be statistically significant, thus $\chi^2 = 400.737$, df = 4, value ρ value < 0.001. The variances in loyalty as accounted for in the model ranges between 33.0 per cent (Cox and Snell $R2$) and 44.1 per cent (Nagelkerke $R2$) while a total extrapolative accuracy of 78.6 per cent of all cases was recorded. This suggests that the model indeed was able to make a distinction between the two segments of the consumer. The four car brands (Toyota, Nissan, VW and BMW) were used to ascertain specific brand loyalty probabilities. Each of the four selected car models – Toyota ($\chi^2 = 187.223$, df = 5, ρ-value < 0.001); Nissan ($\chi^2 = 123.411$, df = 7, ρ-value < 0.001); VW ($\chi^2 = 142.121$, df = 3, ρ-value < 0.001) and BMW ($\chi^2 = 156.341$, df = 4 ρ-value < 0.001) were all significant.

It is worthy of note that all the models were drawn from high loyalty consumer' groups, this was so because those of the low loyalty consumers' groups were considered as dummies in the logistic regression analysis. The model reveals a predictive accuracy rate of 78.8 percent. The results also indicate that three of the brand marketing effort "events sponsored by the brand" (Wald = 52.687, *p*-value < 0.001), "name of brand" (Wald = 36.164, *p* value < 0.001) and "favourable reviews and opinions from users" (Wald = 32.742, *p*-value < 0.001), all significantly contributed to the model. Furthermore, the odds ratio suggests that any increase in events sponsorship by the brand would see a likely increase in Toyota users' high loyalty to the brand by 2.891 times; 2.196 likelihood of consumers becoming highly loyal as a result of the brand name, and 1.686 likelihood that brand users reviews and opinions would influence consumers to become highly loyal to the brand.

51

The second model recorded a cumulative predictive accuracy of 77.9 percent in all cases. The results indicate that all four brand marketing efforts, thus "events sponsored by the brand" (Wald = 48.446, p-value < 0.001), "name of the brand" (Wald = 26.011, p-value < 0.001), "celebrities and popular people endorsing the brand" (Wald = 10.021, p-value < 0.05) and "favourable reviews and opinions from users" (Wald = 11.598, p- value < 0.05), significantly contributed to the model. Controlling all other predictors, the odds ratio revealed that users of Nissan are 3.607 times likely to show high loyalty towards the brand when a unit increase in "events sponsored by the brand" occurs; 2.105 chances of becoming a high loyal consumer because of the brand name and 1.567 likelihood of becoming high loyal consumer as a result of unit "endorsement by celebrities and popular people". The results however, indicate that there is 0.620 chance of respondents becoming low loyal consumers to the brand due to "reviews and opinions from other users."

With the third model, a total prediction accuracy of 84.7 percent in all cases was recorded. The test results suggest that three brand marketing efforts including "name of brand" (Wald = 27.834, p-value < 0.001), "celebrities and popular people endorsing the brand" (Wald = 15.323, p- value < 0.001) and "favourable reviews and opinions from users" (Wald = 8.251, p-value < 0.05) all significantly contributed to the model. With all other predictors under control, the odds ratio results indicate that there is 1.616 likelihood of VW customers showing high loyalty to the brand because of the brand name; 1.582 likelihood of consumers would exhibit high loyalty towards the brand if there is a unit more celebrities and popular people endorsement of the brand and 1.291 likelihood of consumers showing high loyalty to the brand as a result favourable reviews and opinions from other users.

With the last model, the cumulative predictive accuracy of 81.5 percent was recorded. The results demonstrate that all four brand marketing efforts thus "events sponsored by the brand" (Wald = 33.643, p-value < 0.001), "name of brand" (Wald = 42.342, p value < 0.001), "celebrities and popular people endorsing the brand" (Wald = 45.142, p-value < 0.05) and "favourable reviews and opinions from users" (Wald = 23.670, p-value < 0.05), significantly contributed to the model. With all other predictors under control, the odds ratio results indicate that there is 2.645 likelihood of BMW customers showing high loyalty to the brand because of the brand name; 2.067 likelihood of consumers showing high loyalty to the brand if there is a unit more celebrities and popular people endorsement of the brand; 1.865 likelihood of consumers showing high loyalty to the brand, as a result, favourable reviews and opinions from other users and 2.782 likelihood of consumers showing high loyalty to the brand when there is a unit increase in events sponsored by the brand.

Car Odds	Brand marketing effort	B	Wald	Significance
Toyota 0.987	Celebrities/popular people using/endorsing brand	-0.018	0.045	0.891
1.686	Favourable reviews and opinions from users	0.567	20.742	0.000
2.196	The name of the brand	0.782	36.164	0.000
2.891	Events sponsored by the brand	1.029	44.687	0.000
Nissan 1.567	Celebrities/popular people using/endorsing brand	0.465	10.021	0.002
0.620	Favourable reviews and opinions from users	-0.483	11.598	0.001
2.105	The name of the brand	0.753	26.011	0.000
3.607	Events sponsored by the brand	1.410	48.446	0.000
VW 1.582	Celebrities/popular people using/endorsing brand	0.397	15.323	0.000
1.291	Favourable reviews and opinions from users	0.363	8.241	0.007
1.616	The name of the brand	0.574	27.834	0.000
1.139	Events sponsored by the brand	0.162	1.758	0.177
BMW 2.067	Celebrities/popular people using/endorsing brand	0.654	45.142	0.002
1.865	Favourable reviews and opinions from users	0.546	23.670	0.001
2.645	The name of the brand	0.563	42.342	0.000
2.782	Events sponsored by the brand	0.243	33.643	0.000

Note: Selection category = high loyalty

Table VI. Logistic regressions with likelihood ratio tests on loyalty

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The study found that brand marketing effort has a significant impact on consumers purchase decisions and loyalty to automobile brands. In addition, the study sought to assess the degree to which identified brand marketing effort predicted consumers' propensity to exhibits high loyalty towards their primary car brand. The results of the study largely corroborate that of Odoom (2016). Hence the findings of this study contribute to the broadening of the frontiers of both theoretical and empirical research on brand loyalty in several ways. One of such significant contributions of this paper is that it fortifies the significant importance of the concept of branding and how it could help companies stimulate sales and ultimately achieve loyalty if effectively utilized.

In consonance with previous studies (Odoom, 2016) and theory advancement; the study consolidates the view that brand marketing effort could positively influence a brand's performance in the marketplace. Furthermore, the study illuminated the levels of importance of brand marketing efforts and their specific effects on consumer loyalty segment and customer loyalty for specific brands. In addition, the results of this study support similar findings in the literature that highlighted the importance of brand elements as vital components of brand marketing programmes as companies seek to influence consumer buying behavior (Aaker and Joachimsthaler, 2012; Brakus *et al*, 2009; Odoom, 2016). Previous studies in the literature (Kapferer, 2012; Odoom, 2016; Tong and Hawley, 2009) have found that IMC tools such as brand endorsement and sponsorships are vital in courting customer loyalty, a view supported by this study. The findings of this study are also in consonance with the view in literature (Keller, 2009; Schultz *et al*, 2014; Yeshin, 2012) that effectively deployed IMC tools could lead to consumers developing loyalty towards the company's brands.

In sum, this study shares the view espoused by Odoom (2016) that effective utilization of brand associations such as celebrity endorsement and reviews of products could positively influence consumer brand relationships leading to loyalty. Odoom (2016) argues that secondary associations have not been given the credit and attention it deserves in the mainstream marketing literature probably because of the high cost involved in deploying such tools. The findings of this study affirm this assertion as it reveals that the importance of secondary associations cannot be overemphasized particularly in developing economies where consumers' purchase decisions are influenced by the opinions of close relations, associates and influential people (Narteh *et al*, 2012; Odoom, 2016).

Theoretical Implications
This study makes modest but significant inputs to the literature and development of the concept of brand loyalty and the marketing literature as a whole. First, it corroborates the basic assumptions of TRA and complexity theory, as the empirical evidence from the study demonstrates that brand loyalty is multifaceted and could churn out different dimensions under different settings (Urry, 2005). This suggests that the marketing activities of global brands could be assessed using theories developed in the developed economies.

Second, the technique adopted in examining the loyalty levels in this study is quite different from the approaches adopted in similar studies in the past. For instance, whereas previous studies have largely used a continuous measurement approach, this study distinctively integrated lowly rated responses with highly rated responses. This approach coupled with the use of high loyalty segment in selecting the items in the logistic regression made it possible to distinguish real loyalty from "lower loyalty" responses. Equally worthy of note, is the use of four different brands in the same product category which has churned out varied drivers of consumer loyalty across the various brands. Third, the study also contributes to the empirical development of the rarely tested theory (brand marketing effort) of Keller (2013).

Managerial Implications

The findings of this study have some significant implications for brand managers and general marketing professionals in the automobile industry as a whole. The importance of the findings for companies in the automobile sector stems from the uniqueness of consumer behaviour to the geographical context, hence studies conducted in Europe or other developed market context would be substantially different from that of a developing market.

The findings present important cues to decision-makers in the automobile industry regarding the market effort in support of their brands. For instance, Toyota could increase their budget for events sponsorship in response to the empirical evidence produced by this study which suggests that an increase in events sponsorship by the brand would result in consumers exhibiting high loyalty towards the brand. Besides, marketing professionals and brand managers could be guided by the findings of this study to design marketing strategies that would drive sales and generate loyalty in the emerging market context. Another aspect of the findings that deserve the attention of practitioners is consumers' reviews and opinions about the brand as the findings suggest a strong correlation between it and consumer brand loyalty.

CONCLUSIONS

The object of the study was to assess the impact of brand marketing efforts on consumer loyalty at two level – low and high loyalties among car users. This paper was inspired by the theoretical views of Keller (2013) and the work of Odoom (2016). This study adopted measures of brand marketing program and consumer loyalty from Odoom (2016). The study revealed a positive and significant association between brand marketing efforts and consumers' purchase decisions and loyalty to automobile brands. Four brand marketing efforts were also found to be significantly associated with the two segments (high and low involvement) at varying degrees. These findings reinforce the significant importance of the concept of branding and how it could help companies stimulate sales and ultimately achieve loyalty if effectively deployed.

Limitations and Directions for Future Studies

This study like any literary work is not without limitations. As conceded in the discussions of the findings, consumers' behaviour varies based on geographical location hence the findings of this study ought to be interpreted in context and should not be generalized. It would be a useful

academic exercise to replicate this study in a multiple country contexts to validate the findings of the current study. Moreover, the variables of the study were adopted from the work of Odoom (2016), the discourse on brand marketing effort would be enhanced if future studies were to identify and use different variables in examining marketing efforts and brand loyalty. Also, the study did not examine the effect of demographic features (age, gender, educational background etc) on the models tested, it would therefore be interesting to find out how these demographic features could impact and vary the findings of future studies.

References

Aaker, J. L. (1997). Dimensions of brand personality. *Journal of Marketing Research,* 34(3), 347–356.

Aaker, D.A. and Joachimsthaler, E. (2012). *Brand Leadership*. New York, NY: Simon and Schuster

Aksoy, L., Keiningham, T.L., Buoye, A., Larivière, B., Williams, L. and Wilson, I. (2015). Does loyalty span domains? Examining the relationship between consumer loyalty, other loyalties and happiness. *Journal of Business Research,* 68(12), 2464-2476.

Bishnoi, V.K. and Kumar, A. (2016). Aaker's brand personality scale is not universal– explanation and reasons for bikes in India. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, 4(1), 14-27.

Brakus, J.J., Schmitt, B.H. and Zarantonello, L. (2009). Brand experience: what is it? How is it measured? Does it affect loyalty? *Journal of Marketing,* 73(3) 52-68.

Brown, T. J., Dacin, P. A., Pratt, M. and Whetten, D. (2006). Identity, intended image, construed image,and reputation: an interdisciplinary framework and suggested terminology. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 34(2), 99–106.

Corley, K.G. and Gioia, D.A. (2011). Building theory about theory building: what constitutes a theoretical contribution? *Academy of Management Review*, 36(1), 12-32.

Ezepue, P. O., and Ochinanwata, N. (2017). Business Development Frameworks for Establishing Innovative Born-Global Firms in Nigeria and Sub-Sahara Africa. *Journal of Advances in Management Sciences & Information Systems*, 3, 9-26.

Fastoso, F., & González-Jiménez, H. (2020). Materialism, cosmopolitanism, and emotional brand attachment: The roles of ideal self-congruity and perceived brand globalness. *Journal of business research*, *121*, 429-437.

Fishbein, M. (1980) *A Theory of Reasoned Action: Some Application and Implications, NE Symposium on Motivation*. Lincoln, NE: University of Nebraska Press

Hambrick, D.C. (2007) The field of management's devotion to theory: too much of a good thing? *Academy of Management Journal,* 50(6), 1346-1352.

Hänninen, N. and Karjaluoto, H. (2017). The effect of marketing communication on business relationship loyalty. *Marketing Intelligence and Planning,* 35 (4), 458–472.

Hennessy, H. and Tol, R.S.J. (2011). The impact of tax reform on new car purchases in Ireland. *Energy Policy*, 37(12), 5184-91.

Hepola, J., Leppaniemi, M. and Karjaluoto, H. (2020). Is it all about consumer engagement? Explaining continuance intention for utilitarian and hedonic service consumption. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 52, 1-9

Hoeffler, S. and Keller, K.L. (2003). The marketing advantages of strong brands. *The Journal of Brand Management,* 10(6). 421-445.

Huang, Y.A., Phau, I. and Lin, C. (2010). Consumer animosity, economic hardship, and normative influence: how do they affect consumers' purchase intention? *European Journal of Marketing*, 44(7/8), 909-37.

Kapferer, J.N. (2012). *The New Strategic Brand Management: Advanced Insights and Strategic Thinking*. London: Kogan Page Publishers.

Keller, K.L. (2003). Brand synthesis: the multidimensionality of brand knowledge. Journal of Consumer Research, 29(4), 595-600.

Keller, K. L. and D. R. Lehmannn (2006). Brands and branding: research findings and future priorities. *Marketing Science* 25(6). 740–759.

Keller, K.L. (2009). Building strong brands in a modern marketing communications environment. *Journal of Marketing Communications,* 15(2/3), 139-155.

Keller, K.L. (2013) *Strategic Brand Management: Global Edition*, Pearson Higher Ed, NJ.

Kerin, Roger A., Ambuj Jain and Daniel J. Howard (1992). Store Shopping Experience and Consumer Price–Quality–Value Perceptions. *Journal of Retailing,* 68 (4), 376–97.

Kitchen, P. J. (2017). Integrated marketing communications. Evolution, current status, future developments. *European Journal of Marketing*, *51*(3): 394-405.

Koll, O. and von Wallpach, S. (2014) Intended brand associations: Do they really drive consumer response? *Journal of Business Research,* 67(7), 1501-1507.

Kumar, R.S., Dash, S. and Malhotra, N.K. (2018) The impact of marketing activities on service brand equity. *European Journal of Marketing,* 52 (3/4), 596-618

Lee, D., Moon, J., Kim, Y.J. and Mun, Y.Y. (2015). Antecedents and consequences of mobile phone usability: linking simplicity and interactivity to satisfaction, trust, and brand loyalty. *Information and Management*, 52(3): 295-304.

Lieven, T., Mu¨hlmeier, S., Henkel, S. and Waller, J.F. (2011). Who will buy electric cars? An empirical study in Germany. *Transportation Research Part D*, 16, 236-43.

Lyong Ha, C. (1998) The theory of reasoned action applied to brand loyalty. Journal of Product and Brand Management, 7 (1): 51-61.

Miles, J.A. (2012) *Management and Organization Theory: A Jossey-Bass Reader*. San Francisco, CA: John Wiley and Sons.

Narteh, B., Odoom, R., Braimah, M. and Buame, S. (2012) Key drivers of automobile brand choice in sub-Saharan Africa: the case of Ghana. *Journal of Product and Brand Management,* 21(7), 516-528.

Nunnally, J. C. (1978) *Psychometric theory (second edition)*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Odoom, R. (2016) Brand marketing programs and consumer loyalty – evidence from mobile phone users in an emerging market. *Journal of Product & Brand Management,* 25(7), 651-662.

Oh, C., Roumani, Y., Nwankpa, J.K., and Hu, H.F. (2017). Beyond likes and tweets: consumer engagement behavior and movie box office in social media. *Information Management* 54 (1), 25–37.

Oluwafemi, A. J. and Adebiyi, S. O. (2018) Customer Loyalty and Integrated Marketing Communications among Subscribers of Telecommunication Firms in Lagos Metropolis, Nigeria. *Journal of Competitiveness*, 10(3), 101–118.

57

Payne, E.M., Peltier, J.W. and Barger, V.A. (2017) Omnichannel marketing, integrated marketing communications, and consumer engagement: A research agenda, *Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing,* 11(2), 185-197.

Peters, A., Gutscher, H. and Scholz, R.W. (2011). Psychological determinants of fuel consumption of purchased new cars. *Transportation Research, Part F*, 14, 229-39.

Rather, R. A., Tehseen, S. and Parrey, S. H. (2018). Promoting customer brand engagement and brand loyalty through customer brand identification and value congruity. *Spanish Journal of Marketing-ESIC*, 22(2), 321-339

Rather, R.A. (2017). Investigating the impact of customer Brand identification on hospitality Brand loyalty: a social identity perspective. *Journal of Hospitality Marketing and Management*, 27(5), 487-513.

Russo, I., Confente, I., Gligor, D.M. and Autry, C.W. (2016). To be or not to be (loyal): is there a recipe for customer loyalty in the B2B context? *Journal of Business Research*, 69(2), 888-896.

Schultz, D.E., Block, M.P. and Viswanathan, V. (2014). Brand preference being challenged. *Journal of Brand Management*, 21(5), 408-428.

Shimul, A.S., I. Phau, and M. Lwin. (2019). Conceptualising luxury brand attachment: Scale development and validation. *Journal of Brand Management* 1, 2–8. https ://doi.org/10.1057/s4126 2-019-00158 -6.

Simon, B. & Reed, J. (2007). Foreigners steer US luxury market", from: http://us.ft.com/ftgateway/superpage.ft?new s_idfto0918200071630013971 (accessed August 16, 2011).

So, K.K.F., King, C., Hudson, S. and Meng, F. (2017). The missing link in building customer Brand identification: the role of Brand attractiveness. *Tourism Management,* 59, 640-651.

Tong, X. and Hawley, J.M. (2009). Measuring customer-based brand equity: empirical evidence from the sportswear market in China. *Journal of Product and Brand Management,* 18(4), 262-271.

Tuskej, U. and Podnar, K. (2018). Consumers' identification with corporate brands: Brand prestige, anthropomorphism and engagement in social media. *Journal of Product and Brand Management,* 27(1), 3-17.

Uncles, M.D., Dowling, G.R. and Hammond, K. (2003). Customer loyalty and customer loyalty programs. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 20(4), 294-316.

Urry, J. (2005). The complexity turn. *Theory Culture and Society*, 22(5), 1-14.

Tang, Z., Lou, J. and Xiao, J. (2011). Antecedents of intention to purchase mass customized products. *Journal of Product & Brand Management,* 20(4), 316-26.

Thomas, R. J. (2015). Out with the old and in with the new: a study of new kit sponsorship and brand associations in the Barclays Premier League. *Journal of Product & Brand Management* 24(3), 229-251

Walker, R. (2008). *Buying in: The Secret Dialogue Between What We Buy and Who We Are*. New York, NY: Random House

Wilson, R.M. and Gilligan, C. (2012). *Strategic Marketing Management*, Oxford: Routledge.

Yeshin, T. (2012). *Integrated Marketing Communications*, Oxford: Routledge.