

A RELATIVE LEARNING OF EDUCATING SYSTEMS: PAKISTAN AND TURKEY

**Aneeqa Nawaz, Zain Ul Abidenmalik, Danish Kattak, Malik Usman Bin Zaheer,
Atufa Kawan, Sadaf Nawaz, Habiba Butt, Syed M. Abdullah, Hamza Shahzad,
Maimoona Arshad**

ABSTRACT: *This paper looks at the instruction arrangement of the Turkey and Pakistan by discriminately exploring optional wellsprings of information identified with both the nations. It inspects and analyzes the history, laws on instruction, school levels and structure of the training part, general essential instruction, nature of instruction, dialect and the training of ladies of Pakistan and Turkey. This examination uncovers how Turkey has accomplished fast development in its training area particularly after the Revolution while Pakistan falls a long ways behind Turkey in all domains of instruction. Thus this paper additionally serves to highlight the current circumstance of the instructive arrangement of Pakistan and the imperfections that it experiences.*

KEYWORDS: Motivation, learning system, Pakistan and Turkey:

INTRODUCTION

With an astounding populace of in excess of 170 million, which is developing at the evaluated rate of 1.8 percent consistently, as expressed by the World Bank 2010 detail, Pakistan had a horrid reading proficiency rate of 56 percent (United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund 2010). In spite of the fact that the detail above are from 2010, it is not likely that when the most recent figures will be discharged, the circumstances of Pakistan's writing proficiency level would be any better; subsequent to its beginning, the issue of education in Pakistan is closely resembling a snowball impact where instruction in Pakistan is constantly viewed as an "emergency" (Noorani; Khan 2010) or a "crisis" (Pakistan Education Task Force 2011) by a lot of people.

Nonetheless, by what means can one consider the current reading proficiency level of Pakistan wretched as it has truth be told enhanced through the years? A reasonable sample of this is the information got from the first ever and the latest statistics in Pakistan in 1951 and 1998 individually in which the education rate rose from 16.4 to 43.9 percent in spite of the fact that the ascent could be esteemed unpredictable (Choudhry 2005, p4). The answer lies in various pointers, one of them being the Global Competitiveness Index (GCI).

The GCI is a pointer of how a nation passages financially over the globe. Alongside different perspectives, the CGI additionally tells how a nation contends in instruction with whatever is left of the world. Generally, the GCI 2010-2011 put Pakistan at 123 from an aggregate 139 nations and one of the columns which has prompted Pakistan's arrangement at the bottom 12 percent, is training. Contrasted with its five comparator nations – Sri Lanka, Brazil, India, Bangladesh and Indonesia- which are monetarily or geologically practically identical, just Pakistan has fallen in rankings. As indicated by " The State of Pakistan's Competitiveness Report 2010-2011" which gives the previously stated data, Pakistan's essential, optional and tertiary enlistment levels are amazingly low and a change in every one of the three would interpret into a superior economy (CSF and J.e. Austin Associates Inc. 2010)

Laws on Education

There are a few Articles in the 1973 Constitution of Pakistan which identify with instruction uniquely Article 37b which expresses that the State should try to evacuate ignorance and give free and necessary auxiliary training inside a limited timeline. Article 37a expresses that the instructive and financial hobbies of the individuals having a place with the retrograde regions will be upheld while Article 34 particularly expresses that steps will be taken to guarantee that ladies are dynamic members in all issues of the nation. Article 38d makes it clear that training should be given to all residents independent of their sex, cast, belief or color (Ministry of Education 2009)

In 2010 the President of Pakistan sanction the Eighteenth Amendment Act which makes it the protected right of every youngster to get training. This change in the constitution comes in lieu of the Millennium Development Goal by the United Nations to guarantee that all youngsters would attain general essential training by 2015 (Pakistan Education Task Force 2011).

Besides, an "Obligatory Primary Education" Act has been sanction for three areas and the Islamabad capital despite the fact that its execution in these districts is needing (UNESCO-IBE 2011)

Educating Levels

In Turkey, educating begins from the discretionary preprimary level and it is implied for youngsters for four to five year of age.

The principal mandatory levels of instruction are a piece of essential training from evaluations 1 to 5 with grade 1 understudies being permitted affirmation at six years old.

The optional instruction level is separated into two stages, where lower auxiliary training goes on for three year and upper auxiliary instruction goes on for an additional three years. Auxiliary schools offer understudies the alternative of picking scholarly, specialized or professional instruction. Both essential and lower optional instruction together which include an aggregate of five years, represent fundamental training in Turkey and are obligatory.

At the point when understudies complete upper optional training in the wake of having picked up scholarly instruction in the previous, they must enlist in a preuniversity course which goes on for a year in the event that they need to enter college. Nonetheless, those understudies who picked professional or specialized instruction can get the partner level of specialist by taking a certain two year course. Preuniversity can be viewed as an alternate level of instruction in the Iranian training framework.

Most normally, ECE is known as "katchi" class in people in general part schools (National Education Policy 2009, p 27; Blood 1994).

Essential training in Pakistan like Turkey, is from evaluations 1 to 5 and is for six to ten year old kids; center instruction embodies reviews six to eight while optional instruction is from evaluations nine to ten and higher auxiliary training from evaluations eleven to twelve (Lynd 2007).

Training Sectors

The Ministry of Education is in charge of practically everything concerned with essential and auxiliary training including, organization and money. In any case, it would be troublesome for the Ministry to arrange at the fundamental level thus it procures authorities at the common and locale levels, each with an alternate part, to guarantee that everything runs easily. While the leader of the Provincial Organization of Education is contracted by the Minister of instruction, the head/executive of the District Organization of Education is employed by the leader of the Provincial Organization. At the advanced education level, the Ministry of Science, Research and Technology holds obligation regarding the science, workmanship and innovation colleges, while the Ministry of Health and Medical Education is in charge of medicinal schools and colleges. Non formal professional instruction is in the hands of the Ministry of Labor and Social Affairs, while other essential non-formal training and education projects is taken care of by the Literacy Movement Organization (UNESCO-IBE 2011). In Pakistan the training area can be termed as "halfway unified". As per the 1973 Constitution of Pakistan, the areas have been are allotted with the matter of instruction. Accordingly, the territories of Pakistan have their own particular branches of instruction headed by the Minister of Education, who alongside the Provincial Education Secretary, is in charge of the organization of schools inside his area.

After the Devolution of Power Ordinance 2001 notwithstanding, a significant part of the regulatory forces of the common governments were passed on to the region governments with the power to arrange and decide, now vested to them (Riddell 2006)

The government Ministry of Education is in charge of the instruction of the regions under its organization and is the in general "approach making, organizing, and admonitory power" in the training segment.

Specifically, the Ministry of Education contains six wings and a few different bodies and is in charge of verifying that the instruction area runs easily and that there is consistency in the whole training arrangement of the nation.

The Higher Education Commission (HEC) is the principle body in charge of private and open larger amount instruction in Pakistan. Some of its obligations incorporate the financing of advanced education establishments, strategy definition, arranging and support of value all through the advanced education division.

Additionally, a parcel of non-public schools and Deeni Madaris which constitute the private part of Pakistan's training framework, stay unregistered with the commonplace powers along these lines don't fall inside the parameters of the directing instructive bodies in the nation. This further entangles matters as these schools don't facilitate with the instructive powers and take after their own particular principles and regulations (Ministry of Education 2009).

General Primary Education

For the individuals who understand the estimation of training, it is not a shock that the whole globe is heading towards accomplishing the objective of general essential instruction in the twenty first

century. Essential training, specifically has been focused upon by universal associations on the grounds that they understand that it sets one on the way of financial thriving.

Bruns, Mingat and Rakotomalala (2003, pp. 26- 28) affirm the criticalness of essential instruction in their paper by giving experimental proof of how it has profited previously. They state how essential instruction enhances singular salaries and aides in accomplishing a worldwide private return of twenty-seven percent. Essential instruction additionally prompts more innovative headway and larger amounts of benefit from the work energy. It is without a doubt decidedly corresponded to financial development and is the venturing stone for further instruction. Interestingly, it has additionally been noted that essential instruction can likewise prompt politically steady nations and more noteworthy administration of characteristic assets which incorporates the insurance of the tropical downpour woodland! In short, essential instruction is pivotal in crossing over any barrier between the wealthy and the poor and nations everywhere throughout the world are understanding this. Due to the importance of primary education, one of the Millennium Development Goals (MDG) is to make sure that girls and boys everywhere will be able to complete primary schooling by 2015 and both Pakistan and Turkey have pledged to it. Similarly the Dakar Framework of Action for Education for All (EFA) states that all children, particularly the marginalized ones – girls, ethnic minorities and so on-will have the opportunity to complete primary education by 2015; it further states that this primary education will be free and compulsory (Bruns, Mingat & Rakotomalala 2003, p 25). Both Pakistan and Turkey have also committed to achieving this goal of primary education for all.

In fact one of reasons the new educational policy of 2009 was created was to meet the MDG and Dakar Framework Goals. However, as of today, there is a zero percent chance of Pakistan achieving primary education for all which has been admitted by government and implied in the recent national education policy (MDG Goals Report 2010, in Pakistan Education Task Force 2011, Ministry of Education 2009, p 28).

One of the reasons why Pakistan will never be able to achieve universal primary education by 2015, is because one out of ten children who are not in primary schools globally, belong to Pakistan. This shocking ratio was calculated from the 2010 statistics of the World Bank by the Pakistan Education Task Force (2011). Hence if 3.1 million boys and 4.2 million girls are not in schools in Pakistan by 2010, a miracle could only ensure their completion of primary education by 2015. And while primary education in Pakistan is at such a low level, it has the highest percentage of enrolment compared to other levels of education in the country (Lynd 2007). This further indicates how the education system of Pakistan as a whole is incapable of performing its duties for the masses.

Instruction of Women

Instruction of ladies is basic for a country. It doesn't just prompt ladies' strengthening and equity between the genders which is additionally one of the Millennium Development Goals, yet is likewise important for guaranteeing a solid country.

As per Bruns, Mingat and Rakotomalala (2003, p 28) exploration has demonstrated that the training of females has prompted change in wellbeing, profit and nourishment. Besides, female training has additionally enhanced family wellbeing and guaranteed a wiretap newborn child and

kid death rates. Education of women is imperative for a nation. It does not only lead to women's empowerment and equality between the sexes which is also one of the Millennium Development Goals, but is also necessary for ensuring a healthy nation.

According to Bruns, Mingat and Rakotomalala (2003, p 28) research has shown that the education of females has led to improvement in health, productivity and nutrition. Furthermore, female education has also improved family wellbeing and ensured a check on infant and child mortality rates.

Problems such as that of co-educational schooling, dearth of female teachers in rural and village areas, families' preferences to keep girl in the house for babysitting and housekeeping or to use them to generate income for the house are some of the problems although mentioned in a report by Sadri (1999) occur in Pakistan as well. However, the Ministry of education in Turkey has tried to take many steps to overcome the aforementioned issues which are an obstacle in increasing the education of women.

Some of the steps taken by the Ministry of Education on behalf of the state which led to an increase in the Net Enrolment Ratio between the years 1990-1998 from 88.4 percent in 1990 to 95.3 percent in 1998 can be attributed to the establishment of all girls schools and the closure of coeducational ones, hiring more female applicants for local teacher training courses and most importantly changing the mindset of parents regarding the education of their children to help overcome their reservations regarding the education of females (Sadri 1999).

These efforts and many others, have led to the increase in the Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) of females in primary schools to a 102 percent in 2010 according to UNICEF and in 2005 the Gender Parity Index (GPI) which can be defined as the ratio of females to males in Turkey in 2009 according to the UN was 0.99 at the primary level and 0.95 at the secondary level, both of which are healthy ratios since 1 means an equal ratio of females and males in schools.

In retrospect, it is true that the revolution that took place in Turkey played a great role in raising the literacy rate of female education throughout the country.

However female education has also passed through many phases after the revolution and the divide between female and male oriented fields of study does not exist anymore. According to Wright (2000, p 143) and thousands of women in Iran are engineers, doctors, scientists, lawyers and even clerics. Women have also become prominent in fields that are uncommon and are writers, directors, artists, photographers, painters, athletes and so on. Women entering all sorts of professions, has eliminated the "stereotypical image" of women and has further motivated families to educate their daughters (Mehran 2003, p 18).

In Pakistan, the Gross Enrolment Ratio (GER) for females at primary level was 77 percent, while the GPI for primary level enrolment was 0.84 and 0.79 for secondary level enrolment (UN Data 2012).

The aforementioned indicators are evidence of the deplorable level of women's education in Pakistan and the fact that Iran fares better than Pakistan in the education of women.

Choudhry (2005) while listing the illiterates of Pakistan includes women and girls in the list. He states how the enrolment rates of women are low at all levels of schooling, how only one-third of the school facilities and teachers cater to women's education and how social and cultural taboos impede the education of women in the country.

Choudhry's inclusion of women in the list of illiterates apart from ethnic minorities, rural people, the poor and disadvantaged, throws light on the Constitution of Pakistan and how its commitment to education in the form of Article 38d which ensures provision of education to all and Article 34 which states that women should be equally represented in all walks of life, has sadly not been met.

The 2009 National Education Policy of Pakistan also admits to the fact that gender equity is lacking in the education sector of Pakistan by referring to several figures and recognized that the reason behind this has been the lack of implementation and debilitating social customs.

CONCLUSION

A complexity of the showing framework in Turkey and Pakistan was attracted this paper. This examination demonstrated truly supportive in deciding the circumstances of both the nations – where Turkey's try to enhance instruction in the nation has prompted quantitative and qualitative changes inside the nation while Pakistan's confronts a genuine dilemma in its training situation. Specifically, writing shows that while Turkey is inside the focus of general essential instruction, Pakistan won't have the capacity to reach its objective; the standard of educators in Turkey is enhanced through preparing focuses and capabilities yet in Pakistan instructor quality need genuine changes; while Pakistan's history of political unsteadiness has adversely affected training inside the nation.

REFERENCES

- Ali, AK 2010, *Iranian Women after the Islamic Revolution*, Conflicts Forum.
- Andrabi, T, Das, J, Khwaja, AI, Vishwanath, T & Zajonc, T 2007, *Pakistan Learning and Educational Achievements in Punjab Schools (LEAPS): Insights to inform the educational policy debate*, Washington DC: World Bank, viewed 10 June 2012, <<http://siteresources.worldbank.org/PAKISTANEXTN/Resources/Publicationsand-Reports/367132-1208398596098/2008LEAPS.pdf>>.
- Ashraf, H 2006, 'A Study of English Language Learning as an Element Affecting the Social Capital of the People of Pakistan', National University of Modern Languages, Islamabad.
- BBC News, 2012, *Pakistan profile*, BBC News, viewed 1 June 2012, <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-south-asia-12966786>>.
- Blood, P (ed.) 1994, *Pakistan: A Country Study*, GPO for the Library of Congress, Washington, viewed 2 June 2012, <<http://countrystudies.us/pakistan/>>.
- Bray, M 1983, 'Universal Education in Pakistan: A Perpetually Elusive Goal?', *International Review of Education*, Vol. 29, No.2, pp. 168-178.

- Choudhry, MA 2005, *Pakistan: where and who are the world's illiterates?*, Background paper prepared for the Education for All Global Monitoring Report 2006 Literacy for Life.
- CSF and J.E. Austin Associates Inc. (JAA), 2010, *The State of Pakistan's Competitiveness Report 2010-2011*, Competitiveness Support Fund and J.E. Austin Associates Inc, Washington.
- Geographia, 2012, *Pakistan*, interKnowledge Corp., viewed 1 June 2012, <<http://www.geographia.com/pakistan/>>.
- Ghumman, K 2009, 'Cabinet approves new policy: Literacy target 85pc by 2015: Education to get 7 pc of GDP', *Dawn*, viewed 30 May 2012, <<http://archives.dawn.com/archives/42044>>.
- Lynd, D 2007, *The Education System in Pakistan: Assessment of the National Education Census*, UNESCO, Islamabad.
- Ministry of Education, 2009, *National Education Policy*, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad.
- Muhammad, P 2012, 'Balochistan NEF initiative: School teachers keep pocketing salaries', *The Express Tribune*, viewed 9 June 2012, <<http://tribune.com.pk/story/319130/balochistan-nef-initiative-school-teachers-keep-pocketing-salaries/>>.
- Nisar, Z 2012, 'History Of Pakistan', *All About Pakistani*, weblog, viewed 1 June 2012, <<http://allaboutpakistan.wordpress.com/2012/03/11/history-of-pakistan/>>.
- Noorani, DM n.d., *Education in Pakistan: A Looming Crisis*, The Citizens Foundation, viewed 30 May 2012, <http://www.tcfusa.org/news_and_resouces.php>.
- Riddell, A 2006, *Decentralization of Education in Pakistan*, UNESCO, Paris.
- Save the Children, 2010, *Case studies on the role of politicization of education in conflict-affected countries* Background paper prepared for the Education for All Global Monitoring Report 2011.
- The Pakistan Education Task Force, 2011, *Education Emergency Pakistan*, viewed 30 May 2012, <educationemergency.com.pk>.
- UNESCO-IBE, 2011, *World Data on Education 2010-2011*, UNESCO, viewed 1 June 2012, <unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0021/002113/211304e.pdf>.
- UNESCO-IBE, 2011, *World Data on Education 2010-2011*, UNESCO, viewed 10 June 2012, <www.ibe.unesco.org/fileadmin/user_upload/.../WDE/.../Pakistan.pdf>.
- UNICEF, 2010, *Pakistan-Statistics*, UNICEF viewed 1 June 2012, <http://www.unicef.org/infobycountry/pakistan_pakistan_statistics.html>.